i	Fy 1 01 291	
		1
1		
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
4	05-44481-rdd	
5	x	
6	IN RE:	
7		
8	DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	
9		
10	Debtor.	
11		
12	x	
13		
14	February 10, 2006	
15	2:10 PM	
16		
17	United States Custom House	
18	One Bowling Green	
19	New York, New York	
20		
21	Before:	
22		
23	HON. ROBERT D. DRAIN, US BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
24		
25		

2 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 HEARING re Motion to Approve Motion for an Order Under 11 U.S.C. Sections 363(b) and 3 4 365(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019 Approving 5 Procedures to Assume Certain Amended and 6 Restated Sole Source Supplier Agreements 7 HEARING re Motion to Authorize Motion for 8 9 Order Under Sections 105 and 363 Authorizing 10 the Debtors to Implement a Key Employee 11 Compensation Program 12 13 HEARING re Objection to Motion and Memorandum 14 of Law in Support of Objection of IBEW Local 15 63 and IAM District 10 to Motion for Order 16 Authorizing Debtors to Implement a Key 17 Employee Compensation Plan 18 HEARING re Objection to Motion and Memorandum 19 20 of Law of International Union of Operating 21 Engineers Local Union Nos. 18, 101 and 832 to 22 Debtor's Motion for an Order Authorizing the 23 Debtors to Implement a Key Employee 24 Compensation Program 25

		3
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	HEARING re Motion to Authorize Motion for	
3	Order Under Sections 105 and 363 Authorizing	
4	the Debtors to Implement a Key Employee	
5	Compensation Program	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	Transcribed By:	
22	Pnina Eilberg	
23		
24		
25		

	4
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
2	APPEARANCES:
3	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
4	Attorneys for Debtors
5	333 West Wacker Drive
6	Chicago, Illinois 60606
7	
8	BY: JOHN WM. BUTLER, JR., ESQ.
9	DAVID E. SPRINGER, ESQ.
10	
11	SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
12	Attorneys for Debtors
13	Four Times Square
14	New York, New York 10036
15	
16	BY: KAYALYN A. MARAFIOTI, ESQ.
17	
18	NIX, PATTERSON & ROACH LLP
19	Attorneys for United Steel Workers
20	205 Linda Drive
21	Daingerfield, Texas 75638
22	
23	BY: BRADLEY E. BECKWORTH, ESQ.
24	JEFFERY J. ANGOLOVICH, ESQ
25	

	5
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
2	LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
3	Attorneys for Official Committee of
4	Unsecured Creditors
5	885 Third Avenue
6	New York, New York 10023
7	
8	BY: ROBERT ROSENBERG, ESQ.
9	
10	MURRAY, FRANK & SAILER, LLP
11	Attorneys for International Union
12	of Electrical Workers
13	275 Madison Avenue
14	New York, New York 10016
15	
16	BY: TOM KENNEDY, ESQ.
17	
18	COHEN, WEISS & SIMON, LLP
19	Attorneys for UAW
20	330 W. 42nd Street
21	New York, New York 10036
22	
23	BY: BABETTE CECCOTTI, ESQ.
24	
25	

	6
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
2	MEYER, SUOZZI, ENGLISH & KLEIN, PC
3	1350 Broadway, Suite 501
4	New York, New York 10018
5	
6	BY: LOWELL PETERSON, ESQ.
7	
8	KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART NICHOLSON GRAHAM LLP
9	Attorneys for Wilmington Trust
10	Company
11	599 Lexington Avenue
12	New York, New York 10022
13	
14	BY: EDWARD FOX, ESQ.
15	
16	UNITED STATES TRUSTEE
17	33 Whitehall Street, 21st floor
18	New York, New York 10004
19	BY: ALICIA M. LEONHARD, ESQ.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Be seated.

Your Honor, good MR. BUTLER: afternoon. My name is Jack Butler. I am from the law firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom, LLP. I'm here with my partners David Springer and Kayalyn Marafioti for this specially set hearing on a motion for order under 11 USC 105 in the 363, to authorize the debtors to implement a Key Employee Compensation Program, originally filed in October of 2005, at docket number 213. Your Honor, by agreement with the creditors committee entered into in December of 2005, the debtors agreed to adjourn to the July 27th, 2006 hearing. All aspects of the KECP motion relating to annual incentive plans after June 30th of 2006, relating to emergence cash awards, and relating to emergency -emergence equity awards. We have agreed to proceed only with the annual

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd incentive plan for the period from October 8th through June 30th of 2006. The debtors previously dealt with an aspect of the pre-petition long-term incentive plan and with the severance program as part of the human capital obligations order, which is a final order of the Court, which also dealt with the payment of full performance of payment obligations to the debtors, 46,000 member domestic human capital work force. And the debtors also, Your Honor, as part of this program, have cancelled the pre-petition long-term incentive program, other than for a limited performance program dealt with under the human capital obligations order. And also cancelled a prepetition retention program, or stay-forpay program, that had been approved back in February of 2005. Your Honor, so the only matter that is before the Court today, is the annual incentive plan for the period covering the first nine

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd months of the debtors' cases. As part of our agreements with the unsecured creditors committee, we have agreed to forgo the program for the fourth quarter of 2005. That period's obviously behind It was not behind us when the us now. motion was filed in October of 2005, but as the time has marched on, and the debtors have adjourned these matters for a period of four months to work through the mechanics and the form and substance of the program with the creditors committee, it was agreed that the program would cover only the period January 1 through June 30th of this year. Your Honor, the program that is before the Court at target performance has a pool of just under 21 million dollars, with no more than about 5.7 million of that available for the 22 or 23 most senior officers at the company, that are called - that are comprised the Delphi strategy board, with the exception of Mr. Miller, our chief

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd executive officer, who is in court today, who has voluntarily reduced his compensation, effective January 1st, to a dollar a year and has declined participation in this program. Your Honor, to put this in perspective, we're here before the Court on a summary hearing regarding the section 363 use of estate property for what the debtors believe, at least as it relates to this portion of the KECP, is an ordinary course program, and just to put it in perspective, the document that's blown up on the board which is also part of Exhibit 1, makes clear, from the debtors' perspective and the testimony will make clear as we move forward, that the annual incentive program, the wedge of the pie that is yellow, is one of four elements of basic compensation that has been part of Delphi's historic cash structure -- incentive structure and compensation structure, for it's executives world wide, since the spin

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd off from General Motors. There are four pieces. There's a salary amount, there are benefits, there's an annual incentive opportunity and there's a long-term incentive opportunity. And, that comprises the unitary compensation structure for the company. October 8th of this year, through today, the debtors have been providing their executives only salary and benefits, but not providing any of the other programs, the annual incentive program or the long-term incentive program. And so, there has been two of the four pieces of the puzzle that are part of the unitary program, have, in fact, been unavailable for the last 120 days. And as the testimony will show, there's been a competitive shortfall of that. What we are here today to talk about is only one additional piece of the pie, this third piece dealing with annual incentive opportunity and even if we are able to prevail on our motion today, because we

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd put off the balance of the KECP to address the long-term incentive issues, the work force -- the executive work force, will be operating on something like two thirds of their basic program, that's been the basic program since the debtors became a public company years ago. And that's just, Your Honor, the summary of what we're here to talk about today. In reviewing the objectives and the evidence, we have made some progress and I'd like to report to the Court on, if I may.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, there are, before the Court today, 10 objections to be dealt with. There has been one objection that was withdrawn as it relates to the AIP, the prepetitioned bank group, which had filed their objection at docket number 1157, had withdrawn their objection to the AIP that's before the Court today at docket number 2227. In addition, Your Honor,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd there are two unions; I'm just going to run through the other objections. There are five objections that come from the creditors committee and four of its There are three other unions members. that have objected and then there is an objection from the lead plaintiffs in the securities litigation and from the United States Trustee. Addressing the order of the objectors, there has been an agreement, that the objectors in dealing with the evidence to glean today, that the first objector that will deal matters will be the IUECWA. objection is filed at docket number 1164 and they will be the first of the objectors to present today, and they have also intend to present evidence in the form of Henry Riker who has submitted a declaration at docket number 1164, and a supplemental declaration at docket number 2223. The second, in the order of objectors dealing with matters, will be the UAW. Their objection is at

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd docket number 1135 and they have also filed a declaration at that docket number in support of their objection. The third objector will be the United Steel Workers, who have filed their objection at docket number 1134. They have also a declarant and that is Mark Shaw at docket number 2054. Those are the only three of the objections, Your Honor that have, actually, attest declarations to go in today. THE COURT: Do you contemplate cross examination of those individuals? MR. BUTLER: Very limited, Your Honor, and they are present in the court room today. THE COURT: Okay. MR. BUTLER: The fourth objector would be Wilmington Trust Company. Their objection is at docket number 1133. The fifth objector would be the lead plaintiffs. document's at docket number 1161. The sixth objector, if they choose to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

15

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd present anything, would be the Pension Benefit Guarantee Corporation, another member of the committee, and they're at docket number 1141. And, finally, the last objector would be the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors at docket number 2099. And the United States Trustee, obviously, is here by Miss Leonhard, to participate as well. Their objection is at docket number 1288. We've been advised that -- by counsel to the IBEW, which filed an objection at 1156 and by the IUOE which filed an objection 1159 that they do not have any plans to formally participate in this afternoon's hearing. Your Honor, there is a joint exhibit book, and there have been stipulations with respect to the evidence. I'd like to present those exhibit books at this time. THE COURT: Okay. MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, there are 37 exhibits in the exhibit book.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 There's an agreement that Exhibit 1 3 would be admitted for -- as a 4 demonstrative exhibit only. There are 5 no objections to Exhibits 2 through 25. 6 The debtors have an objection to Exhibit 7 26, which is the KECP participants' 8 designation by the lead plaintiffs. The 9 debtors have a hearsay objection to 10 Exhibit 27. Exhibits 28 and 29 have 11 been withdrawn. And, the deposition 12 testimony designates in 31 through 33 are coming in, Your Honor, with an 13 14 agreement that the designators, the UAW 15 and the IUE would not have an opportunity to cross examine Mr. Weber, 16 17 Mr. Bogdnavich and Mr. Opie, but instead 18 would rely on their designations from 19 the depositions. And, but they did 20 reserve the right to re-cross with 21 respect to any re-direct that I may 22 offer. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 So with that in MR. BUTLER: 25 mind, Your Honor, I'd like to move the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd admission of documents Exhibits 1 through 25, and 30 through 37.

THE COURT: Okay. I assume there are no objections, in light of what we've just stated, so I'll admit them.

MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, in connection with the -- the order, the line of testimony, and there have been meet and confers held in this case in a variety of information that has been exchanged in connection with this, the direct examination for each of the six witnesses, the three for the debtors and the three for the objectors, is proceeding by declaration and supplemental declaration subject to cross examination. And, the order would be first, the debtors will offer Mr. Webber's declaration, both his principal and supplemental declarations. declaration of the debtors' compensation consultant, Nick Bogdnavich. Then the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd declaration of the debtors' lead independent director, Mr. John Opie. That would be followed, then, after we've completed the debtors' declarants; we'll then be dealing with the declarations that are being offered by the objectors, presumably in the same order then, that we dealt with them. The first one would be the principal declaration and supplemental declaration of Henry Riker on behalf of the IUE. The second declaration for the objectors would be the declaration of Mr. Steve Grandstaff on behalf the UAW, and the third declarant would be the declaration of Mr. Mark Shaw on behalf of the United Steel Workers. And, we plan brief cross examinations of those witnesses. Honor, upon the completion of that, that should complete the evidentiary record and we'll deal with the remaining exhibits at that time. And then we ask Your Honor to move to close the record and make that motion before the Court.

Pg 19 of 297 19

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUTLER: If that's appropriate to proceed, we'll proceed in that fashion.

THE COURT: That sounds fine.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I then would like to begin the record today by offering in, they've already been admitted into evidence, these are the declarations, and I should have made the point when I moved their admission, obviously, and I think it goes without saying but I'll say it, the declarations 15 through 22nd, Your Honor, moved into evidence subject to cross and re-cross and re-direct that we are planning to do. With that in mind, I'd like to, at this point, offer for cross examination Mark R. Webber, and with respect to his declarations that have been admitted into evidence as Exhibits 15 and 16.

THE COURT: Okay. If you could take the stand up here Mr. Webber. speak loudly please.

		20
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	MR. WEBBER: Speak loudly.	
3	(Witness is duly sworn.)	
4	THE COURT: So, I forget which	
5	union we're doing first, but	
6	MR. BUTLER: IUE I believe,	
7	number one.	
8	MR. KENNEDY: No, the IUE had	
9	indicated by the introduction Your	
10	Honor, my name is Tom Kennedy, I	
11	represent the IUE. By the introduction	
12	of the designated portions of Mr.	
13	Webber's deposition, we've agreed, as a	
14	consensual matter, to not burden the	
15	Court with further cross examination.	
16	THE COURT: All right. Very	
17	well.	
18	MR. BUTLER: That would be true	
19	for the UAW, I believe.	
20	MS. LEONHARD: It would, Your	
21	Honor. Thank you.	
22	THE COURT: Okay.	
23	MR. BUTLER: That takes us to	
24	the United Steel Workers.	
25	MR. PETERSON: Lowell Peterson,	

		21
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	for the Steel Workers, we have no	
3	questions at this time, subject to	
4	reserving the right to re-cross.	
5	THE COURT: Okay.	
6	MR. BUTLER: Wilmington Trust.	
7	MS. LEONHARD: We don't have	
8	any questions at this time.	
9	MR. BUTLER: Lead plaintiffs.	
10	MR. BECKWORTH: With the	
11	Court's permission we do have a few	
12	questions.	
13	THE COURT: Fine.	
14	MR. BECKWORTH: Would it please	
15	the Court, Your Honor, my name is Brad	
16	Beckworth. I'm with the law firm Nix,	
17	Patterson and Roche, from Daingerfield,	
18	Texas. I represent the Teacher's	
19	Retirement System for the state of	
20	Oklahoma, the Public Employees	
21	Retirement System for the state of	
22	Mississippi, ABP, which is the National	
23	Pension Fund of the Netherlands and also	
24	Refesem which is a mutual fund	
25	management company in Vienna. We have,	

22 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 I guess, commonly been referred to as a 3 securities lead plaintiffs in this case. 4 With that, I'd have a few questions for 5 Mr. Webber, if it pleases the Court. 6 THE COURT: Go ahead. 7 **EXAMINATION BY** 8 MR. BECKWORTH: 9 Mr. Webber, good afternoon. Ο. 10 You had your deposition taken in this case, 11 last week, I believe? 12 Α. I did. 13 Q. And, you've also submitted the 14 declaration? 15 Α. That's correct. Just to kind of summarize for 16 Q. 17 the Court, what's in your declaration, could 18 you just describe, briefly, what your 19 involvement was on the compensation committee 20 in the process of fashioning the current 21 version of the KECP or AIP that the debtor is 22 asking the Court to approve? 23 I'm the secretary of the 24 compensation committee, school rules and 25 facilitator (indiscernible) advisor

23 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 (indiscernible) we want to discuss matters 3 (indiscernible) decision --You were involved in both the 4 0. 5 promulgation and the continued modification of 6 the KECP that we're ultimately here looking at 7 today. (Indiscernible.) 8 9 And you yourself would be an 0. 10 eligible participant under that KECP, is that 11 correct? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Q. I would like to ask you a few 14 questions about what the KECP, as it's 15 currently proposed, does, or does not do. 16 there a component in the KECP that requires an 17 eligible employee participant to sign any 18 statement that that employee has not been 19 involved or participated in, insider trading 20 in violation in one of the United States 21 securities exchange acts? 22 Α. I don't believe 23 (indiscernible). 24 Is there a provision in the 0. 25 current AIP or KECP that eliminates the

24 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 ability of an eligible participant to 3 participate if that person is named as a defendant in the securities class action 4 5 litigation that is pending? 6 THE COURT: Your suit? BY MR. BECKWORTH: 7 8 Yes, Your Honor, I mean, yes, 0. 9 sir. Okay. Is there any provision in the AIP 10 KECP that's being proposed today that 11 precludes someone from participating if they 12 have been named by the internal committees, audit committee or other investigative 13 14 committee appointed by the debtor, if that 15 person has been named as someone who acted with dishonesty against the best interest of 16 17 the company? 18 You asked me if there was a Α. 19 provision prohibits participation, if you had 20 been named by the audit committee of the 21 company? 22 Q. Yes or --23 -- dishonesty? Α. 24 -- yes, sir, or any other 0. 25 investigative committee appointed by the

25 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 1 05-44481-rdd 2 That would be participation on the debtor. 3 front end. 4 Α. Okay. I'm trying to run 5 through the prophylactic protections that we 6 have and I'm trying to imagine how that 7 question fits. 8 Q. Maybe I can help you. The 9 current prophylactic measures that are in 10 place have a claw-back provision that deal a 11 standard that will talk about dishonesty. 12 What I'm asking you for now is, is there any 13 front-end eligibility requirement that 14 precludes participation if someone has been 15 named previously by the investigative 16 committees that have been used by the debtor? 17 The name? Α. 18 As a person who acted against 0. 19 the best interest of the company or acted 20 dishonestly. 21 I don't think that we have a Α. 22 rule. 23 Excuse me? Q. 24 I don't think we have rules Α. 25 about --

26 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 1 05-44481-rdd 2 You don't think they would be **Q.** 3 on roll. What does that mean? I don't think being dishonest 4 Α. 5 6 0. Yes. Do you know if there's 7 anyone? I don't know of anyone. 8 Α. 9 Okay. Thank you, that's fine. 0. 10 Finally, there is no provision in KECP that we 11 have now that precludes participation or 12 requires disgorgement of funds that are earned 13 under this program. If someone is found to 14 have acted dishonestly by the court or jury in 15 the securities litigation, that is presently 16 pending against the debtor. Is that correct? 17 Your litigation? Α. 18 Yes, sir. Yes, sir. 0. 19 Α. Say that one more time. 20 There is no provision that Q. 21 precludes participation or requires forfeiture 22 of any money earned under this KECP of someone 23 who is found to have acted dishonestly against 24 the best interest of the company by the court 25 or the jury in the securities litigation,

27 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 pending its outcome. That's correct, isn't 3 it? 4 Α. Specifically that? 5 Yes, sir. 0. 6 Α. I don't know that specifically 7 that is mentioned. 8 Okay. So to your knowledge, Q. 9 it's not in there. It's okay. I would like 10 to turn to the prophylactic measures that are 11 being proposed by the debtors. Specifically, 12 I'd like to speak to you for a minute about 13 the claw-back procedures. You're familiar 14 with that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 Q. Could you tell the judge what 17 that means, what -- in your mind the claw-back 18 procedure? 19 Α. There are a number of 20 provisions that would cause escrow funds. 21 However, those funds are in escrow and if they 22 are found to be a payment method for due 23 process to learn how to claw-back. 24 That's basically a forfeiture 0. 25 provision.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

A. Colorful.

- Q. That standard the claw-back provision has component of finding out when someone should have to forfeit. And I believe, in your words, in your deposition, your declaration; it is if someone acted in bad faith against the best interest of the company. If that is found then they would be required to give money back. Is that correct?
  - A. (Indiscernible)

MR. BUTLER: Objection. Your
Honor, that document speaks for itself.
It's in the record. I don't know that
we need to have it recited here. It may
be, or perhaps we could get the document
in front of the Court and in front of
Mr. Webber.

MR. BECKWORTH: That's fine, or I could just summarize it, it just be a long.

THE COURT: That's fair. Well, no, I mean, I don't want you to be putting words in his mouth. If you're going to ask him about the specific

		29
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	document, you know, if you want	
3	clarification of it, then we should	
4	refer into the document.	
5	MR. BECKWORTH: The	
6	prophylactic, can you give me one	
7	second, Your Honor; I'll get it.	
8	THE COURT: Sure. It's Exhibit	
9	5.	
10	MR. BECKWORTH: I'm just going	
11	to give him a courtesy copy so that he	
12	could look at it. May I approach the	
13	bench, Your Honor?	
14	THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Webber,	
15	if you could still speak up a little,	
16	because it has to be picked up on the	
17	microphone.	
18	MR. BECKWORTH: Your Honor, Mr.	
19	Butler has informed me it's Exhibit 5 in	
20	the big notebook that Your Honor has	
21	before you.	
22	THE COURT: That's fine.	
23	MR. BECKWORTH: It's in the	
24	large book; Exhibit 15 and 16 Mr.	
25	Webber, we may be able to help you if we	

30 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 direct your attention to the actual 3 prophylactic. 4 MR. BUTLER: I'm very sorry 5 about this. BY MR. BECKWORTH: 6 7 Q. Maybe we can do it this way. 8 After you submitted your declaration, the 9 prophylactic measures were amended. Is that 10 correct, that there was a new version put in 11 place? 12 I could never remember. 13 Okay. And I would like to Q. 14 talk to you specifically about those and to 15 help you, sir. So, if you will turn to the second page of Exhibit 5 which is the current 16 17 prophylactic --18 THE COURT: Are you going to 19 read that for us? 20 BY MR. BECKWORTH: 21 Q. Yes, Your Honor. Yes, sir, I 22 do. Look at the first paragraph on the second 23 page. You are familiar with those 24 prophylactic measures are you not? 25 Α. I am.

I believe it is appropriate.

24

25

Court for forfeiture?

Α.

32 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 It is appropriate? Okay. 0. 3 Fine. Has the debtor determined any objective 4 criteria for what types of conduct would 5 violate that standard? 6 Α. No. 7 Q. Can you illuminate that to the Tell us what those are, if there are 8 Court? 9 any? 10 Α. We're talking about 11 (indiscernible). 12 0. Yes, sir. 13 If someone (indiscernible) the Α. 14 number of categories that are listed on hand-15 held, often delete after a due process, 16 determined to be guilty. 17 Determined by who to be Q. 18 quilty? 19 Α. By the company. 20 By the company, okay. Would Q. 21 the following of false financial statements be 22 something that would trigger that claw-back if 23 someone was found to be guilty of that? 24 Α. Certainly. 25 Q. What about improperly

33 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 recording loans of money when in fact, it was 3 a sale. What if you improperly recorded a 4 sale if, in fact, it was a loan? What about 5 delaying the timing of the recording of inventory in violation of the company's 6 7 internal accounting policies and procedures? 8 Α. It could be. 9 What about violations of 0. 10 Delphi's code of conduct? 11 It could be. Α. 12 Why do you -- just for 0. 13 clarification, you keep saying it could be. 14 What? Why is that the standard you're using? 15 (indiscernible) Due process. Α. Does the claw-back procedure 16 Q. 17 afford due process to such employees accused 18 of these things? 19 Α. They would go through due 20 process. 21 Can you explain for the Court 0. 22 was is involved in that due process? 23 I imagine that would be an 24 investigation on the part of the company, to 25 hear all the evidence and the testimony and a

34 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 decision would be made. 2 3 Is that a time consuming or Q. 4 burdensome endeavor by the debtor? Or could 5 it be? 6 Α. It could be. Is the employee entitled to be 7 Q. 8 represented by counsel in such a procedure? 9 I don't know the answer to Α. 10 that (indiscernible). 11 Under your current standards Q. 12 in place, with dealing with indemnification of 13 an employee and proceedings related to that, 14 is someone entitled to --15 I think they would be, yeah. Α. 16 Q. Okay. And these are based upon the indemnification standard in your by-17 18 laws, is that correct? 19 Α. I do believe. 20 Okay. So, then the answer Q. 21 would be that if someone were brought through 22 this process, they would be entitled to be 23 represented by counsel. 24 Α. Yes. 25 Q. Who would pay for that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

35

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd counsel? We have seen testimony, and I believe your testimony was this as well, that it was the belief in fashioning the KECP that if an employee was currently employed by Delphi then they should be eligible as an executive to participate. Is that correct? MR. BUTLER: Objection. Honor, counsel just testified what Mr. Webber testified to. I'd like to know where that testimony is. I'd like you to refer to the deposition or some piece of paper and repeat the question. THE COURT: Well I don't know. I think I remember him saying that in his deposition. MR. BECKWORTH: I'm just trying to move things along. I can ask it more quickly, just trying to get the process rolling. MR. BUTLER: All right. I'll withdraw, Your Honor. BY MR. BECKWORTH: Your Honor, I'll ask it a 0. different way; it should help. Is that true

involved with the compensation committee in

25

37 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 this process, aware of what that culpability 3 standard was, used by the audit committee? 4 Α. Can you rephrase that? 5 To your knowledge, 0. Sure. 6 let's go at this way. Who else was on the 7 compensation committee with you that helped decide about this KECP program? 8 9 Α. John Opie 10 0. In your communication with 11 those individuals and your experience in 12 developing this program, to your knowledge, 13 was anyone aware of what standard the audit 14 committee used in determining whether a 15 person's employment at Delphi should be 16 stopped, terminated? 17 Α. I believe, yes. 18 Who? Q. 19 Α. Mr. (indiscernible) Did the compensation 20 Q. 21 committee, let's just say you specifically 22 first, make any effort to compare whether the 23 claw-back standard we just talked about, you 24 remember the good faith and best interest of 25 the company, did you make any effort to

38 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 determine whether that standard was the 3 equivalent of the standard used by the audit committee? 4 5 I did not. Α. 6 0. For all you know, the audit committee standard could have been much 7 8 higher. 9 Α. Presumably. 10 0. Could it have been something 11 like criminal conduct, right? 12 Α. I don't know. 13 Q. So, you have no idea? Okay. 14 Is that troublesome to you at all sir, that 15 you started from a premise that people should 16 be allowed to participate in the KECP if they 17 were still employed after the audit committee 18 pleaded its review. Yet, you don't know what 19 standard of culpability the audit committee 20 used? 21 Α. No. 22 Q. Why not? 23 Because I believe prophylactic Α. 24 measures we had in place took care of it. 25 Q. Did you have any prophylactic

		39		
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd			
2	measures in place at the time that you			
3	submitted the proposed KECP to this Court?			
4	A. No.			
5	Q. In fact, it was not until			
6	after January 5th, 2006 that KECP program			
7	first had prophylactic measures. Is that			
8	correct?			
9	A. I don't know about the date.			
10	Q. Does that sound right to you?			
11	A. January 6th			
12	Q. January 5th?			
13	A. Could be.			
14	Q. It was very recent, is that			
15	right?			
16	A. Uh-huh.			
17	Q. So when you first proposed the			
18	eligibility requirements to the KECP, you			
19	didn't know whether those claw-back or			
20	prophylactic measures would be in place, did			
21	you?			
22	A. No.			
23	Q. And to this day, you don't			
24	know whether the prophylactic measures that			
25	are being used are the same as what the audit			

40 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 committee used, or different, do you? 3 No, I don't. Α. 4 Q. Okay. Is there any reason, in 5 your mind, why the compensation committee could not take this claw-back standard and use 6 7 it as a standard for escrowing money? Let me ask this a little different way. You have a 8 9 different standard in place for the escrow 10 provisions, is that correct? 11 Α. Yes. 12 I'm sorry? 0. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Those are, and I'll just 0. 15 summarize it, on the first page, lower 16 paragraph. If a participant is given the 17 right to make a well submission by the FCC, if 18 a participant is sued or informed, or the 19 company is informed that they will be sued by 20 the FCC, that person is notified, or the 21 company is notified that the participant is a 22 target of criminal investigation. If a person 23 is indicted or agrees to the filing of a 24 criminal information against him, or if the

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

person declines to answer questions on grounds

25

41 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 of his Fifth Amendment right against self-3 incrimination. Any of those things could 4 trigger an escrow, correct? 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. Those are higher standards, 7 from a culpability view, than the claw-back provisions which simply are fail to act in 8 9 good faith, acted against the best interest of 10 the company, are they not? 11 Higher in what way? Define Α. 12 higher for me. 13 Q. Well, for instance, the claw-14 back provision deals with failing to act in 15 good faith and against the best interest of 16 the company, correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 But that doesn't rise to the 0. 19 level, perhaps, of being indicted or found to 20 be guilty by a jury? 21 Α. Yes. 22 Now, let me ask you this. Q. 23 What reason does the compensation committee 24 have for using these five standards that we 25 just listed for escrow as opposed to using the

42 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 threshold standard we talked about on the 3 claw-back provisions? 4 Α. It seems to me that escrowing 5 the (indiscernible) not paid out. The claw-6 back, you give it back. I don't know that the standard is different. 7 8 Q. That's your reason? 9 I think so. Α. 10 Okay. Is there any Q. 11 preventative reason, or just reason why you 12 could not take that claw-back provision and 13 make that the standard for escrowing money? 14 Not to my knowledge. Α. 15 If you escrowed money using 0. 16 the claw-back provision, would the employee on 17 the escrow side still be entitled to the 18 proceedings that have the lawyers and due 19 process things that you talked about? 20 They would be. Α. 21 They would be? Q. 22 Α. Yes. 23 Unless it was required 0. 24 otherwise by the Court. 25 Α. Yes.

43 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 I'd like to ask just a few 0. 3 questions, sir, about the investigation or 4 whether there -- let me take that back. I'd 5 like to ask you, not the results of the 6 investigations that were done, or what 7 actually occurred in those investigations. want to ask you about what the compensation 8 9 committee may have considered in determining a 10 KECP or AIP to present to the Court, okay? 11 You are aware, are you not, that there is an 12 ongoing FCC investigation regarding certain 13 conduct on behalf of either present or former 14 employees at your company. Is that correct? 15 Yes. Α. 16 Did the compensation Q. 17 committee, in fashioning this program, do 18 anything to determine whether eligible 19 participants were targets in that FCC 20 investigation? 21 I don't know how they would Α. 22 have known to target somebody. 23 I have one issue I'd like to 0. 24 take up. This deals with a confidential 25 matter, and I don't know if -- may, we just

44 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 approach the bench real quick. I don't want to --3 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 BY MR. BECKWORTH: 6 0. -- I don't want to transgress 7 on the confidentiality privilege. Try this 8 again. Is it true that the compensation 9 committee did not have before it, in 10 fashioning the KECP, the results of any 11 investigation in relation to the ongoing FCC 12 investigation? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Is it true that the audit 0. 15 committee of Delphi concluded a special 16 investigation regarding some of the accounting 17 and control improprieties that are going on 18 regarding Delphi, or had gone on regarding 19 Delphi? 20 Α. Yes. 21 Those have led, in part, to Q. 22 the restatement of Delphi, is that correct? Did the compensation committee 23 24 rely, in any way, upon the findings of the 25 audit committee, other than what we talked

45 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 about earlier, with the fact that certain 3 employees were allowed to remain at the 4 company? No. 5 So you did not -- you or no 0. 6 one else, to your knowledge, at the 7 compensation committee actually looked at the findings by law, or anything of that nature? 8 9 Α. No. 10 0. Are you aware of whether there 11 is a Department of Justice investigation going 12 on here? 13 Α. Huh-uh. 14 Have you, or anybody, to your 0. 15 knowledge, on the compensation committee, looked at any of the documents or other 16 17 evidence that's been gathered from that 18 proceeding? 19 Α. No. I do not know. 20 Q. Okay. They were not 21 considered in any way when fashioning the 22 prophylactic measures or the KECP, correct? 23 Uh-huh. Α. 24 So, I'm correct. Okay. Isn't 0. 25 it also true that Delphi had an investigative

46 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 committee or process that involved an outside 3 auditor, PWC and also the law firm Wilmer, 4 Cutler (indiscernible). Did you, or any 5 person on the audit committee look at the 6 results of those findings, or have you, in 7 fashioning the KECP? Compensation committee. 8 Α. 9 Yes, sir. Did you work at any 0. 10 of the exit memos that were prepared for 11 employees who left Delphi? Executive 12 employees who quit or were terminated? 13 Α. I don't know. 14 To your knowledge, has anyone 0. 15 on the compensation committee done that? 16 Α. Not to my knowledge. 17 At the time of your Q. 18 deposition, you had not read the consolidated 19 class action complaint that was filed by the 20 securities lead plaintiffs, is that correct? 21 It's too big. Α. 22 Yes, sir. You have read our Q. 23 objection. 24 Uh-huh. Α. 25 Q. After looking at our

		47
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	objection, did you endeavor to investigate any	
3	of the allegations in	
4	A. No.	
5	Q. To your knowledge, has anyone	
6	on the compensation committee done that?	
7	A. Not that I know.	
8	Q. That's witness, Your Honor.	
9	THE COURT: Okay.	
10	MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the	
11	next party would be the PBGC on a cross.	
12	MR. WILSON: Good afternoon,	
13	Your Honor, Eric Wilson for the PBGC.	
14	Your Honor the issues of concern to the	
15	PBGC are largely identical raised by the	
16	committee, so we'll be deferring to the	
17	committee on this proceeding.	
18	THE COURT: Okay. Very well.	
19	MR. WILSON: Thank you.	
20	MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the	
21	last cross examiner would be the	
22	committee.	
23	THE COMMITTEE: No questions	
24	here, Your Honor.	
25	MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the	

48 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 debtors have no re-direct. 3 THE COURT: All right. Let me 4 just see if I have any questions. 5 Webber, in addition to being the 6 secretary of the compensation committee, 7 you're the EBP of Human Resources? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: So, you generally 10 are in charge of personnel matters 11 throughout the entire corporate 12 structure. As I read your affidavit and 13 Mr. Bogdnavich's as well, I take it that 14 the reason that the debtors are seeking 15 approval of the annual incentive plan is 16 that they believe that without it 17 they're not competitive with other 18 comparably situated companies. Is that 19 correct? 20 THE WITNESS: That's one of the 21 reasons. 22 THE COURT: And what are the 23 others? 24 THE WITNESS: The organization, 25 the executive organization, first of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

49

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd all, needs to have a competitive compensation program, so you are correct on that aspect, Judge. Without this piece of compensation, they know that their competitive opportunity will lag behind other competitors. We can figure them out. Without it, we believe, we would have possibly demoralized organization and we would have the accelerated perks that we're seeing continuing, possibly even getting more accelerated to go. So it's a number of reasons why we don't have this (indiscernible) potential for (indiscernible) THE COURT: Well, have people told you that the reason they've guit is that they are not getting a bonus? THE WITNESS: At their exit interview, I have not seen those kind of perks summarized like that. The basic thread going through them is that they

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

seek other opportunities outside Delphi

that will, simply, encourage them to go

50 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 to competitive compensation. 3 THE COURT: Are those 4 opportunities in the automotive industry 5 or are they generally in just big 6 business? THE WITNESS: People have left 7 Delphi to go to companies inside and 8 9 outside the automotive industry. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Bogdnavich's 11 affidavit says that, at least for the 12 period from 2001 through 2004, there was 13 only one year in which there was any 14 bonus paid. Is that correct? Was that 15 a full bonus then? 16 THE WITNESS: A partial bonus. 17 THE COURT: If -- does the 18 debtor believe that these bonuses 19 actually will end up being paid? 20 It's hard to THE WITNESS: 21 know; we are talking about it now. 22 always believed that the above average 23 stocks, solid business plan, there was a 24 potential to receive the bonus, and 25 that's what's fair. You have to believe

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd you have the chance to achieve these opportunities. It's not a guarantee, just a chance.

THE COURT: He said, that in Mr. Bogdnavich's affidavit, I believe, he said, that if you look at just the base salary component of executive compensation, as I read it, it was actually in the, either in the top 25 percent or the top 40 percent depending on what level you were at. If, and he said that, I guess this is correct.

I'll ask him about this, but in comparison to competitors who apparently are able to pay their bonuses, if you factor in that amount, also, of the compensation, that the executives earn the bottom 25 percent.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. If these bonuses are paid in the target amount, the roughly 20 million as opposed to the 38, what percentage would it place the employees vis-a-vis their competitors?

		52
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	THE WITNESS: Is it a cash	
3	business we are talking about?	
4	THE COURT: Well the ARP plus	
5	their base salary?	
6	THE WITNESS: You can answer	
7	better than we can. My guess would be	
8	its something upper (indiscernible).	
9	THE COURT: Okay. So, around	
10	75 percent.	
11	THE WITNESS: I guess,	
12	depending upon the (indiscernible)	
13	THE COURT: Okay. The people	
14	who are leaving, obviously must be aware	
15	of the debtors' announcement that they	
16	are going to, in addition to negotiating	
17	with GM and the unions and the	
18	creditors, that they're going to	
19	rationalize their business, including	
20	getting out of various plants and the	
21	like. Is that right? I mean, that's	
22	been publicly reported, I'd assume it's	
23	generally knowledge among the	
24	executives.	
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, they've	

53 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 heard that discussion, yes. 3 THE COURT: Are the people who 4 have left in the last year, have they 5 been replaced? 6 THE WITNESS: Some of them have 7 been replaced, some of them are in the 8 process of being replaced. 9 THE COURT: So there is --10 another way to ask this is -- the 11 debtors believe that there is a need to 12 replace them? 13 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 14 THE COURT: It's not just that 15 they're leaving and its not -- given 16 that the debtors are going to be 17 downsizing aspects of this business 18 anyway, you don't need to replace them. 19 THE WITNESS: They've been 20 through some efficiency planning. But 21 by and large, these people are going to be replaced. Those things have not 22 disappointed. 23 24 THE COURT: When you look at 25 the cost of the bonuses being sought

54 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 here, how does that stack up with the 2 3 cost of replacing someone? 4 THE WITNESS: It would vary individual by individual. A senior 5 6 executive probably costs more to replace 7 initially because you have a finder's 8 fee when you search for an executive. 9 They typically ask for a sign-on bonus 10 or a year and keep bonus and typically, 11 comes to a base pay increase, which 12 could be equal. So, I would say on 13 balance it would cost more to replace 14 than to pay the bonus. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 16 MR. BUTLER: Thank you Mr. Your Honor, the debtors now 17 Webber. 18 call Mr. Nick Bogdnavich from the Watson 19 Wyatt firm to -- for cross examination 20 in connection with his declaration, 21 which has been admitted into evidence as 22 Exhibit number 17. Mr. Bogdnavich? 23 (Witness is duly sworn) 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, by

		55
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	virtue of the designations of testimony,	
3	with respect to Mr. Bogdnavich at	
4	Exhibit number 32 which has been	
5	admitted into evidence, the first two	
6	objectors, the IUE and the UAW passed	
7	direct cross examination. The next	
8	opportunity would go to the United Steel	
9	Workers.	
10	MR.BECKWORTH: Steel Workers	
11	pass at this time.	
12	THE COURT: Okay.	
13	MR. BUTLER: The next	
14	opportunity would go to Wilmington Trust	
15	Company.	
16	MR. FOX: I don't have any	
17	questions at this time.	
18	MR. BUTLER: The next	
19	opportunity for cross examination would	
20	go to the lead plaintiffs.	
21	MR. ANGELOVICH: We pass Your	
22	Honor.	
23	MR. BUTLER: And the PBGC is	
24	passed as well as US Trustee. That	
25	would leave the creditors committee.	

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

MR. ROSENBERG: Pass, Your

Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr.

Bogdnavich, I guess you heard my earlier questions of Mr. Webber. Was he right that if the target is met here, the roughly 20 million, the mid-target, that the combination of benefits, salary and AIP would put the executives roughly in the top 25 percent?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

Looking at the cash compensation only,

it would be the sum of salary and target

annual incentives. Assuming there are

adjustments the pay would be

approximately 75 percent.

THE COURT: Your introductory

clause, I just want to make sure I

understand that. Do you mean to say

that when you're comparing these

people's compensation arrangements with

the competition, that the competition

also has an extra component of some

other long-term plan that these don't?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

57

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd THE WITNESS: Yes. If you look at the exhibit that is on the easel, you can see that at Corporate America there are generally three components to the pay structures; salary, the annual incentive opportunity and the long term incentive. Now, at Delphi preferably when you have add all three to the structure, and assuming that you can pay target pay for the annual incentives, target rewards are a lot of money. then pay a little bit above the median compared to its peers. Stated another way, another way to analyze it would be that the cash pay that Delphi has a larger portion of the holdover mix with structures than it does in other companies. THE COURT: So if you factor in competitors non-cash pay component, which I guess is stock option plans, where does that place Delphi's workers?

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

THE WITNESS:

slightly above the median.

Either at or

		58
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	THE COURT: Okay. When you	
3	looked at this, did you look at the time	
4	when these workers, these executives	
5	came on to Delphi? Generally, when did	
6	they start working for Delphi?	
7	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I	
8	would assume that it's different for	
9	different executives. Some have been	
10	there for years, and others are	
11	relatively new.	
12	THE COURT: But you didn't	
13	break that out?	
14	THE WITNESS: I didn't.	
15	THE COURT: Okay. So, if	
16	someone got a signing bonus, that	
17	doesn't figure into this.	
18	THE WITNESS: That's correct,	
19	except to the extent that the signing	
20	bonus would count against the bonus	
21	earned under the annual salary.	
22	Sometimes provisions are drafted up, I	
23	do not know	
24	THE COURT: But this doesn't	
25	have that?	

		59
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	THE WITNESS: That would only	
3	be in an individual case.	
4	THE COURT: All right. Right,	
5	if you got a signing bonus I see. I	
6	take it that Wyatt let me ask you did	
7	Wyatt have anything to do with the	
8	actual target being set, or was that	
9	something that the board, I imagine, had	
10	set?	
11	THE WITNESS: That was derived	
12	from management's business department.	
13	THE COURT: Okay. Is the	
14	formula that's applied to the targets, a	
15	formula that's consistently used in	
16	these types of annual incentive plans?	
17	That is, if you're below the target by a	
18	certain percentage you get you don't	
19	you're not just wiped out of your	
20	bonus, you get a percentage of the	
21	bonus. Is that typical?	
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
23	THE COURT: And are these	
24	percentages typical?	
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.	

60 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 3 In your view, as an outsider, how 4 significant is it to retaining the 5 executives at this company that this annual incentive plan be put in place? 6 7 THE WITNESS: I think it's fair 8 and appropriate to be in the debtor's 9 best interest to provide opportunities 10 for incentive compensation for 11 (indiscernible). Again, the key word is 12 opportunities. The performance has to 13 be a certain performance for a mutual 14 (indiscernible) to work out. 15 Essentially all it is, is providing them 16 with an opportunity of competitive payable and is consistent of those of 17 18 their peers. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 20 MR. BUTLER: Can I ask a 21 question, Your Honor? 22 THE COURT: Sure. 23 MR. KENNEDY: Tom Kennedy, Your 24 Honor, for the IUE. 25 BY MR. KENNEDY:

61 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 Isn't it correct, sir, that 0. 3 you do not have expertise in labor relations? 4 Α. Yes. 5 And the plan that you drafted 0. 6 for Delphi, would you have drafted or proposed 7 essentially the same plan whether it had a unionized work force or not? 8 9 The answer is probably yes. Α. 10 0. So, is it fair to say that 11 your analysis of the plan as being in the 12 interest of the debtors is not based on a 13 comparison of the dangers that the plan might 14 pause, or rather cause, to the eventual 15 successful resolution of collective bargaining 16 negotiations? 17 I have no knowledge about the Α. 18 effect my plan may have on individuals. 19 MR. KENNEDY: Enough. 20 you. 21 THE COURT: Do you have any redirect? 22 23 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, for 24 the record Mr. Bogdnavich referred in 25 his responses to the Court, I referred

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

2 to a document that was on an easel.

That is, for the record, Exhibit number 1 of the trial exhibits for the trial today. Your Honor, the debtors would now call our final witness, and place Mr. John D. Opie, who is our outside lead director of the independent board of directors to be available for cross examination in connection with his declaration

(Witness is duly sworn)

evidence as Exhibit number 18.

which has been filed and admitted into

MR. BUTLER: Again, it is my understanding by virtue of having, and now its stating the fact the IUE asked some questions, I'll ask it again. The last witness, by virtue of having designated Exhibit 33, which is in evidence, with respect to Mr. Opie's deposition, my understanding, is that both the IUE and the UAW pass cross examination, is that correct?

THE COURT: Correct, pending any questions that might be asked. That takes us to United Steel Workers.

		63
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	MR. BECKWORTH: Pass at this	
3	time.	
4	MR. BUTLER: The next	
5	opportunity goes to Wilmington Trust	
6	Company.	
7	MS. LEONHARD: I don't have any	
8	questions.	
9	MR. BUTLER: The next	
10	opportunity goes to the lead plaintiffs.	
11	MR. ANGELOVICH: A brief cross	
12	examination, Your Honor.	
13	THE COURT: Okay.	
14	MR. ANGELOVICH: May it please	
15	the court, Your Honor, my name is Jeff	
16	Angelovich, I'm with the law firm of	
17	Nix, Patterson and Roach in	
18	Daingerfield, Texas; here on behalf of	
19	the lead plaintiffs in the	
20	securities litigation. If it pleases	
21	the Court, I'd like to proceed with the	
22	cross examination.	
23	THE COURT: That's fine.	
24	BY MR. ANGELOVICH:	
25	Q. Mr. Opie, how are you this	

	1 9 04 01 237		
		64	
DELPHI COR	PORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd		
afternoon?			
Α.	Fine.		
Q.	You are the lead independent		
director of	the debtors, is that correct?		
Α.	Yes.		
	THE COURT: You have to speak		
up jus	t a little because the microphone		
is over the desk.			
BY MR.	ANGELOVICH:		
Q.	Would you please tell the		
Court how long you have held that position			
with the debtor?			
Α.	Since 2002.		
Q.	To the present day?		
Α.	Yes.		
Q.	And during that same period of		
time that yo	ou've been on the compensation		
committee at Delphi?			
Α.	(Indiscernible).		
Q.	And, of course, then that		
would apply	equally, as well, to the audit		
committee?			
Α.	Yes.		
Q.	And is it true that the		
	afternoon?  A.  Q.  director of  A.  up just  is over  BY MR.  Q.  Court how low  with the del  A.  Q.  time that you  committee at  A.  Q.  would apply  committee?  A.	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd afternoon?  A. Fine. Q. You are the lead independent director of the debtors, is that correct? A. Yes.  THE COURT: You have to speak up just a little because the microphone is over the desk. BY MR. ANGELOVICH: Q. Would you please tell the  Court how long you have held that position with the debtor? A. Since 2002. Q. To the present day? A. Yes. Q. And during that same period of time that you've been on the compensation committee at Delphi? A. (Indiscernible). Q. And, of course, then that would apply equally, as well, to the audit committee? A. Yes.	

65 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 ultimate determination of who is or is not 3 going to be a KECP participant is going to be 4 made by the compensation committee? 5 Α. Yes. 6 0. And, of course, they take 7 recommendations, I assume, from human 8 resources or personnel, prior to making that decision? 9 10 Α. (Indiscernible). 11 And as such, it would make Q. 12 sense that the compensation committee was 13 actually involved in drafting\ what we call 14 either the prophylactic or the safe harbor 15 provisions of the KECP. Is that correct? 16 Α. Yes. 17 You were also in that role, Q. 18 involved in developing the events that would 19 trigger the placement of KECP payments into 20 escrow? 21 The discussion of how we came Α. 22 out with that report And I understand from your 23 **Q.** 24 deposition that you didn't draft the words 25 that were put in the document, but you were

66 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 1 05-44481-rdd 2 involved in the process in deciding what 3 needed to be done. Correct? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Okay. Mr. Webber was a little 0. bit unsure of the date. Does it seem to be 6 7 consistent with your recollection that the process of developing these safe harbor 8 9 provisions began in January of this year, 10 after Judge Drain indicated some hesitance in 11 approving the program without those measures? 12 Α. It was my understanding of how 13 it was developed, was in common discussions, 14 including creditors that ourselves, in terms 15 of being comfortable that the right people 16 were paid and the wrong people were not paid. 17 So that it was developed over a period of 18 time. 19 0. Okay. Was this being discussed in October of '05, when the original 20 21 KECP plan was filed with this Court, shortly 22 after the debtor filing bankruptcy? 23 To the best of my Α. 24 recollection, in that form, no. 25 Q. So that preceded somewhere

67 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 down between that date and where we are today? 3 Α. Yes. 4 Q. Okay. Is it a fair summary 5 that the goal of the safe harbor provision, is to make sure that no executive is paid under 6 7 the KECP that does not deserve to be paid? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Okay. And, within that 0. 10 category of executive that doesn't deserve 11 payment, would you agree that that would 12 include individuals who are -- that may have 13 participated in conduct that's the subject of 14 the SEC investigation? 15 They were found to be involved Α. in inappropriate accounting, yes. 16 17 Q. Yes, sir. And, similarly, it 18 may not, necessarily, but it may involve 19 individuals who are the subject of the conduct 20 of the Department of Justice investigation, 21 correct? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Okay. And, I suppose it would **Q.** 24 also include individuals who may have 25 participated in the events that led to Delphi

68 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 restating the financial records? 3 Yes, there's some relationship Α. 4 between the two, but yes. 5 Okay. And, just to make sure **Q.** 6 I'm clear from Mr. Webber's testimony, from 7 your declaration, your deposition, in the past, and as best I can tell going forward in 8 9 the future, the compensation committee is 10 relying on the audit committee to do an 11 investigation or committees of the audit 12 committee to do an investigation, and 13 determine whether potential beneficiaries were 14 involved in something improper. Is that 15 correct? 16 Α. Generally, yes. 17 Okay. And, as far as you Q. 18 understand it, and of course, you're on the audit committee, I'm not going to ask you any 19 20 details, but they are continuing to cooperate 21 with any ongoing governmental investigations, 22 is that correct? 23 Yes, it is. Α. 24 So, I want to break it up just Q. 25 real briefly into the past and what we see

1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 going on in the future. For those, and we'll 3 call them employment actions that the audit committee has taken, I think I've seen that 4 5 term in maybe your declaration, actions that they've taken in the past, if an employment 6 7 decision regarding an executive who was deemed inappropriate by the audit committee, it was 8 9 the position of the compensation committee 10 that that person would already be off the 11 payroll. Is that correct? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. And, as such, it's a natural 14 conclusion, that if they're not on the 15 payroll, they're not going to get paid under 16 the KECP? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Is it also correct that 0. Okav. 19 going forward in the future, the compensation 20 committee continues to rely on the audit 21 committee, to continue their investigations? 22 Α. We rely on them continuing to 23 give us feedback in terms of any additional 24 and extras that are taken. 25 Q. Okay. The compensation

21

70

1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd committee is not independently undertaking any 3 investigations to determine whether certain 4 beneficiaries should be eligible. Okay. And 5 I recall from your deposition, and I just want to make sure that this statement is something 6 7 you want to stand with, is if the audit committee takes action regarding a KECP 8 9 participant in the future, that your committee 10 or your other committee, the compensation 11 committee is immediately going to take him off 12 the KECP program? 13 Α. It depends on the nature of 14 that feedback, of course, in terms of what 15 action and what the implications are. the recommendation is that they're leaving the 16 17 payroll, then they would no longer be 18 eligible. 19 0. Okay. Okay. I want to talk 20 to you about the safe harbor provisions a little bit. Would it help you to have a copy 22 of that in front of you? 23 It would. Α. 24 Okay, let me get you one real Q. 25 quick. This also reads Exhibit 5 in the big

71 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 book there. I have one. Your Honor, may I 3 approach --4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 MR. ANGELOVICH: -- just give 6 him one of these. BY MR. ANGELOVICH: 7 8 I want to talk first, if we Q. 9 can, and just briefly, about what I call 10 escrow triggering events. And in that sheet 11 you have in front of you which is Exhibit 5, 12 it seems to me, and I tried to nudge Mr. 13 Beckworth because I think he missed a couple 14 when he was doing his cross examination, but 15 in the first paragraph there are a couple 16 things that can trigger escrow. The debtor 17 asserts a claim, that's one. The official 18 committee of unsecured creditors could inform 19 the debtor that they're going to come to the 20 judge here and say, can we file a claim, and 21 then proceed to do so. So those are the first 22 Then we have this notice, in the second two. 23 paragraph, the notice of the right to make a, 24 well, submission by the SEC. Maybe the

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

participant or the committee's told that the

25

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 person's going to be sued, or has been sued by 3 the SEC, notified that they're the target of a 4 criminal proceeding, indicted or agrees to 5 filing over criminal information, or declines to answer questions based on his fifth 6 amendment right. Are those all triggering 7 8 events? 9 Yes. Α. 10 0. Mr. Opie, there is nothing in 11 that list that ties any audit committee action 12 regarding the KECP participant to the 13 escrowing of any payment. Is that correct? 14 I don't quite understand the Α. 15 question. 16 0. Well, based on the exclusive list, and you would agree that this is an 17 18 exclusive list --19 Α. I would agree that the list 20 that's been established and agreed upon 21 between the two parties, the creditor and the 22 debtor. 23 And as such, on that list of 0. 24 seven triggering items, none of them are 25 actions taken by the audit committee, is that

73 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 correct? 3 They made the observations Α. 4 made by the audit committee (indiscernible). 5 Observations by the audit committee is not on the list either, is that 6 7 correct? (Indiscernible) they would 8 Α. 9 observe it, and then take action, and 10 recommend to the (indiscernible) committee 11 that they, that action be taken on the 12 individual, and, therefore, would be exempted 13 from the KEPC account. 14 Well, sir, and I understand 0. 15 that that may be your interpretation of this document, that document doesn't say that. 16 17 there anything in those provisions either 18 requiring or authorizing the compensation 19 committee to escrow funds? I'm going to ask 20 this one more time in response to audit 21 committee activity. 22 Α. Since their statement 23 (indiscernible). 24 Is there anything in these 0. 25 first two paragraphs that either requires or

74 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 authorizes the compensation committee to 3 escrow funds in response to audit committee actions? 4 5 No, it doesn't say it here, 6 but it wouldn't be too --7 Q. Does it say it there? I haven't looked where it 8 Α. 9 specifically says that, but we've said it many times, that we have no interest or intent to 10 11 pay anyone that doesn't deserve to be paid by 12 us. 13 Q. Okay. So maybe these 14 provisions would be better if that was explicitly spelled out. Is that a fair 15 16 statement? 17 It's a fair statement. Α. 18 Okay. Now let's turn the page 0. 19 real quick and look at their forfeiture, claw-20 back standards. And, I know you were in the 21 court room when Mr. Webber was giving his 22 testimony, and so I'm not going to go through 23 the standard again, but as it was read, it's a 24 good faith standard. Is that a fair 25 statement?

75 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 Yes. Α. All right. Do you agree with 3 Q. 4 Mr. Webber that it is the appropriate standard 5 to determine the eligibility for KECP 6 participation? 7 Α. Do you mean, should they be on 8 the payroll or not? 9 No, sir. Whether they acted 0. 10 in good faith or failed to act in good faith 11 and in a manner the company reasonably 12 believes to have been or not opposed to the 13 best interest of the company. 14 Yes. Our first test is there Α. 15 on the payroll. (Indiscernible) they are 16 acting on bad behavior. 17 Okay. Now, Mr. Webber Q. 18 testified that he believed that you knew both 19 the standard that the audit committee used in 20 their investigation and the standard that's 21 set forth in this document. Is that a correct 22 statement? 23 I think that's correct. Yeah. Α. 24 Can you tell me what that 0. 25 standard is --

76 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 1 05-44481-rdd 2 MR. BUTLER: Objection. BY MR. ANGELOVICH: 3 4 You are a committee employee. Q. 5 MR. BUTLER: Objection Your 6 Honor, we are now going into what the audit committee did in its 7 investigation. Your Honor ruled in pre-8 9 trial motion, that wasn't part of this 10 deal. 11 MR. ANGELOVICH: Your Honor, 12 briefly, that's correct, Your Honor did 13 rule that. What the debtor is asking 14 this Court to do is to say, well, the 15 compensation committee is going to rely on whatever the audit committee does. 16 Take our word for it, the standard that 17 18 the audit committee used, is using, is 19 appropriate, and I don't know -- I think based on their reliance, at least on the 20 21 results of that, at a minimum, we're 22 entitled to know that. 23 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, that's 24 now what the KECP AFP motion says. What 25 you said to ask the Court to be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

77

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd comfortable with the prophylactic, safe harbor provisions be put in. That's been -- that's the way this has been constructed. And not to go into what the audit committee thought or didn't think or what standards they used, or what the investigation was or any of those other matters that the lead plaintiffs were so interested in delving into.

MR. ANGELOVICH: You know, Your Honor, I appreciate the significance of the fact that we have the securities case on the bottom. We are here because we believe this KECP program is inappropriately lenient, and believe that the prophylactic measures are inappropriate. That's the reason we're here. The Court has made it very clear that we're not getting, going to get any discovery on this, but I think it's also very clear that if they are going to sit back and by that, I mean Delphi's compensation committee, and wait and see

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd what the audit committee does. We at least should know what standard that is.

THE COURT: But the audit, when you say what the audit committee does, I mean, that was a question I had with the original question, which is someone named by the audit committee? I think they're very different. They may well be very different points. I mean, you can name people in different ways doing different things.

MR. ANGELOVICH: And, Your
Honor, that's correct and perhaps I was
imprecise. I'll tell Your Honor that
I'll be upfront until the court order
can surface. If the audit committee is
using a criminal standard, that's
something that gets close to
willfulness. They may not take an
employment action against somebody who
has failed to act in the good faith of
the company and in a manner that the
company reasonably believes to have been
in or not opposed to the best interest.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
And so, our point is, without knowing
that standard, there may be people who
are filtering through the audit
committee who don't meet this standard.
Yet, at the same time, they are relying
on the audit committee to weed those
very people out, in those terms.

MR. BUTLER: No, I think you are assuming, you say they are relying on the audit committee to weed those people out, I think that's not an assumption you should make.

It's information that is relevant to them, but it's not the only basis.

MR. ANGELOVICH: Okay, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I think you can,
you know -- consistent with my earlier
rulings, I think you can certainly ask
me to read into my evaluation of this
motion, the fact that they're not
revealing this information. But, now
I'm seeing the two off against each
other, I don't think it's, I mean,

80 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 because they are not directly tied 3 together, I don't think its, it -- it is 4 so important to learn what their 5 standard is, overriding the other issues 6 that, that we've had other hearings 7 about, as to why were not having 8 discovery on that point. 9 MR. ANGELOVICH: Your Honor --THE COURT: I'll sustain the 10 11 objection. 12 MR. ANGELOVICH: And I'll 13 reserve that argument and move on to my 14 last few questions. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 BY MR. ANGELOVICH: Mr. Beckworth asked Mr. Webber 17 Q. 18 this question, and I'm going to ask you this 19 question. What consideration, if any, did the compensation committee give to the idea of 20 21 applying this good faith standard to the 22 triggering of escrow funds? Put it up on the front end and make that decision? 23 24 Well, when we put the flyer Α. 25 together, we were ready to go. We eased the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

81

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd storm that those on the payroll would be considered as partners. We had no reason to believe or pick or choose any employee, having (indiscernible) and the escrow held back. But, at some point during the process, and/or during the program, in ended up needing to be entertained when we, being the escrow account, began to surface? Okay. Was there an 0. impediment, or is there an impediment to the compensation committee obtaining access to exit interviews? I would say there's no Α. impediment, but it's not a practice. It's not something we do. We don't get into that depth. What we can count on the feedback from HR and the administration, in terms of the kinds of reasons that the people are leaving. Is there an impediment to the Q. compensation committee obtaining the results of the audit committee investigation? You know, you keep -- we can't put a wall between the two, it is confidential

and we are convening with the SEC and the POJ

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
on the audit side and we don't have that open
and cover for the rest of the topic.

- Q. Would there be something -- is that, that's just an internal decision.

  There's not actually something that precludes you from doing it.
- A. If you recall, I would say that would be a decision with all the parties involved.
  - Q. Okay. Is there any impediment to the compensation committee obtaining whistleblower reports? Or would it be the same as the answer you just gave?
  - A. No. A whistleblower report
    might come in from another avenue, another
    channel, through an ombudsmen, kind of input
    through HR so, no, that would go -- a
    whistleblower report didn't go with the audit.
  - Q. Okay. And in fact, sometimes those can go to you. There's a provision that allows for that, correct?
- A. There used to be one, but it generally goes through the audit committee.
  - Q. And then, finally sir, what

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd consideration, if any, did the compensation committee give to the cost, consider the cost to the debtor to recoup KECP payments that were already made, rather than escrow, if it

- A. The cost of getting the payment back?
- 9 Q. Yes.

came to that?

- A. I don't -- we just assumed that if there was a situation where there may need to be asked for a lien or called back, that we would do that.
  - Q. Okay, and I want to talk about a situation where it's not in escrow, where it's just been paid and then you decide later on, well, we need to call that back. Did the compensation committee sit down and decide, well, what it is going to cost the debtor to go out and recoup that money, rather than just holding it on the front end, until a final decision was made?
  - A. Well, we didn't because we assumed that if it was appropriate to call it that. That it was -- if we reached only

84 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 (indiscernible) then we would make those 3 decisions as we move forward, but we would 4 rather (indiscernible). 5 Thank you sir, I'll pass the 0. 6 witness. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 7 8 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the 9 only other party that's reserved cross 10 examination is the committee. 11 MR. BUTLER: No questions, Your 12 Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I have 15 some brief re-direct, or does the Court 16 want to ask --THE COURT: Well, maybe you'd 17 18 better wait till I ask the questions, in 19 case you might want to ask some more. 20 Am I right that none of the AIP payments 21 will be made until at least six months 22 down the road? 23 THE WITNESS: We would -- we 24 would see them to be paid, if I'm 25 correct, I have to refer to some of the

		85
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	experts, in the end of June, beginning	
3	of July when we get the results of the	
4	first quarter.	
5	THE COURT: So, it wouldn't be	
6	it's basically a six month then.	
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
8	THE COURT: Okay. Mr., I	
9	forget whether it was Mr. Webber or Mr.	
10	Bogdnavich, you said that the targets	
11	were from the company's business plan?	
12	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
13	THE COURT: Is that the	
14	business plan upon which the company is	
15	premising the negotiations with the	
16	union?	
17	THE WITNESS: That's the	
18	business plan that was developed by the	
19	union for teams, so the answer to that	
20	would be yes.	
21	THE COURT: Okay.	
22	THE WITNESS: But we have named	
23	(indiscernible) by going for this	
24	(indiscernible) and making certain	
25	assumptions, that we hadn't made yet,	

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd nor do we have a management team that's going to describe (indiscernible).

THE COURT: That was my next question. Is it -- well first of all, are you familiar with the development of the business plan and its basic assumptions?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is, I guess you heard, the answer to the earlier question that at least from 2001 through 2004 there was only a partial bonus, and that was only paid once. Is it your view that, unlike that situation, this target is easily met?

THE WITNESS: No, over the years (indiscernible) increase the (indiscernible) for all of us together.

And, second, (indiscernible).

THE COURT: Do these targets assume the -- either the contextual restructuring or the implementation of the proposal to the unions?

THE WITNESS: No. The exempted

87 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 the benefit and/or the credit that you 3 would receive (indiscernible) and 4 (indiscernible). 5 THE COURT: Okay. All right. BY MR. BUTLER: 6 7 Q. Mr. Opie, just a couple of questions on re-direct. Did you have occasion 8 9 to personally become involved in the 10 discussions with the creditors committee with 11 respect to the KECP? 12 Α. Yes, I did. 13 Q. In fact, you were designated 14 as one of the two negotiators from the 15 company, to talk with the credits crew, wasn't 16 that correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Do you recall why the 0. 19 creditors committee wanted you to be involved 20 as the lead independent director of the 21 company? 22 Α. No, I don't recall the reason, 23 but they requested that Steve Miller, the CEO 24 and myself meet them in New York to have a 25 discussion, hoping that there could be a union

88 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 of the minds and we could listen to their 3 concerns. And we, in turn, could give them 4 our concerns. 5 Do you participate in the 0. 6 KECP, Mr. Opie? No, I don't. 7 Α. 8 Does Mr. Miller participate in Q. 9 the KECP? 10 No, he doesn't. Α. 11 Do you recall whether that Q. 12 meeting occurred in the fourth guarter of last 13 year in December or at some other time? 14 December 19th, I remember it Α. 15 well. It's the Christmas holidays in New 16 York. 17 And did the subject of safe Q. 18 harbor and prophylactic provisions come up in 19 the discussions you had there with the 20 committee in terms of trying to sort through 21 how this money might be paid? 22 Α. Not in that format, or not 23 with that in mind, but it did come up that 24 there was great concern that we pay the 25 appropriate people, and we do not pay those

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd that His Honor and the rest have expressed concerns about. We paid people that (indiscernible).

- Q. And the discussions that continued throughout the month of December lead to those -- lead eventually to those prophylactic provisions being adopted?
- A. Yes, I think (indiscernible).

  Many know that there were several versions
  that were floating through (indiscernible).
- Q. Can you look back at Exhibit 5 in this big book, or you could look, I think you still have the prophylactic version in front of you, Mr. Opie. So, you can look at that. That's Exhibit 5. Would you just look at the front page, the escrow requirements and in the first paragraph there's a provision that says that there'd be an escrow in the event that the debtors asserted their claim. Do you see that? It's about five, six lines down? From the first paragraph, you could just read the first paragraph up through where it talks about the debtors asserting a claim.

A. All right.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

- Q. Mr. Opie, I just ask you, as an ex-officio member of both the audit and the compensation committee, if the audit committee determined that the company had claims against an existing employee, would it advise the compensation committee?
- A. Yes. I believe it would.

  Usually they file the compensation committee in the HR organization.
- Q. And sitting as a member, exofficio member of both those committees, do
  you believe that you received that information
  from the audit committee sitting as a member
  of the compensation committee that you would,
  in fact, invoke the existing terms, the
  prophylactic provisions, and therefore,
  trigger the escrow provisions?
- A. I believe we would at that point. I mentioned earlier (indiscernible).
- Q. Are you familiar, generally, with the target that had been adopted with respect to the pay-outs for the six month period?
- 25 A. Yes.

91 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 And, is it correct that they 0. 3 are referred to as Ibida UG and Obadar UG? 4 Α. Yes, they are. 5 Is the Ibida UG phrase some 0. sort of earnings calculation sir? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 And can you tell the court 0. what the UG is? 9 10 Α. UG is merely a reference to 11 Union and General Motors. 12 And is it true, sir, that for 0. 13 purposes of performance under this program, 14 any benefits of restructuring with General Motors and the unions are excluded from what 15 16 would be the -- the performance would be based 17 on. And that's true for the Obedah UG at the 18 division level as well? 19 Α. (Indiscernible). 20 Q. No further questions, Your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. You could 23 sit down. 24 MR. BUTLER: The next order of 25 witnesses would be the IUE. In dealing

92 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 with the next witness, the IUE has two 2 3 declarations of Mr. Henry Reichard, that 4 have been admitted into evidence. 5 Declarations -- rather Exhibits number 6 19 and 20. 7 MR. SPRINGER: That's correct. The IUE would call Mr. Riker to Your 8 9 Honor, he's in the courtroom. 10 (Witness is duly sworn) 11 BY MR. SPRINGER: 12 Good afternoon Your Honor, 0. 13 David Springer for the debtors. Good 14 afternoon, Mr. Riker. I just have a few 15 questions for you, sir. You don't question 16 the fact that when Delphi was spun off from 17 General Motors it had an executive 18 compensation program that had four components 19 to it. Number one, base salary. number two, 20 benefits, number 3, annual incentive 21 compensation and, number 4 long-term incentive 22 compensation. You don't question that do you? 23 And you don't question the fact, as we sit 24 here today, that executive compensation at

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

Delphi is actually less than that at other

25

93 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 domestic auto suppliers, automat -- parts 3 manufacturers, you don't question that do you? 4 Α. (Indiscernible). 5 0. So, you don't have any. 6 Α. I don't have any. 7 Q. And you don't question the fact that today, executive compensation at 8 9 Delphi is less than that at other big public 10 companies. You don't question that either do 11 you? 12 Α. I don't know the compensation 13 of other companies. I don't know. 14 So you don't have any basis to Q. 15 question. And you don't question the fact that as we sit here today that the executive 16 17 compensation at Delphi is limited to that two 18 parts of that four part structure that we 19 talked about. You don't question that -- do 20 you sir? That it's limited to salary and 21 benefits? And you don't question the fact 22 that the limited six month annual incentive 23 program that's before the court today is 24 within the range of competitive practices.

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

You don't question that either do you sir?

25

94 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 And finally, sir, of course you don't support 3 Delphi's request for approval. We know that 4 right? And is it true that you can't, as a 5 union official, support a request for 6 executive incentive compensation in a labor transformation case like this? Isn't that 7 8 true? 9 I can't support, and our Α. 10 membership can't support lucrative bonuses, 11 when we know that the dollars and it faces a 12 concessionary agreement that we are being 13 handed. 14 I have no further questions, 0. 15 Your Honor. Thank you sir. 16 Any more questions for Mr. Α. 17 Riker? You can step down. 18 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, the 19 fifth witness in the order that's been 20 agreed is Mr. Steve A. Grandstaff, who 21 has filed a declaration in opposition of 22 the KECP program on behalf of the UAW. 23 That declaration has been admitted into 24 evidence as exhibit number 21. 25 (Witness is duly sworn)

95 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 BY MR. SPRINGER: 3 Good afternoon Mr. Grandstaff. Q. 4 Nice to see you again today, sir. Some of 5 these questions will sound a little bit familiar. You're here as the representative 6 of the United Auto Workers. Is that right 7 sir? 8 9 Α. Yes. And it's true that you don't 10 0. 11 question the fact, like Mr. Riker that when 12 Delphi was spun off from General Motors, it 13 had a four part executive comp., plan; wages, 14 salary, excuse me salaries, benefits, annual 15 incentive comp and long-term incentive comp. 16 You don't question that, do you? 17 Α. I have no way of knowing that. 18 And you don't question the 0. 19 fact that as we sit here today, Delphi's executives are only getting paid under two 20 21 parts of that four-part program. You don't 22 question that? 23 I'm not totally aware of how 24 they put an agreement in the works. 25 Q. Well, you don't have any

96 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 knowledge or information to the contrary do 3 you? 4 Α. No. 5 And you don't question the 0. 6 fact that today Delphi's executive 7 compensation is below that of its competitors in the domestic auto parts manufacturing 8 9 business. You don't question that do you? 10 Α. I don't really know the facts 11 to support it. 12 Q. So you don't, you don't 13 question it right? 14 I don't know the facts to Α. 15 support it. 16 Well, did you make any effort 0. 17 to find out, look into the facts, before you 18 came to testify today? Now, you don't 19 question the fact that the six month annual 20 incentive program before the court is within 21 the range of competitive practice do you? 22 Α. I don't know the facts to 23 support that. 24 So you don't know? Now, your 0. 25 understanding is that the amount of money

1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 that's at stake under the six month AIP before 3 the court today is about 21 million dollars, 4 is that right? 5 I don't know. Α. 6 0. And is it correct that it is 7 not your view, and it's not the view of the United Auto Workers that if the court 8 9 authorizes Delphi to pay that 21 million

- dollars to its executives, that that money
- 11 | will be taken away from the workers. Isn't
- 12 | that right.

20

21

- 13 A. Even so far as going out and collecting that from the workers?
- 15 Q. No, isn't it true, sir, that
  16 it is not your view, that any monies that the
  17 court authorizes for Delphi exec's by way of
  18 an incentive compensation, will be taken away
  19 from the workers. Isn't that true?
  - A. I'm not quite sure of your question. Are you asking me (indiscernible)?
- Q. Well, at your deposition
  yesterday, were you asked these questions, and
  did you give these answers, on page 28.

25 Question: Has the UAW told its

98 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 membership that any monies that the court 3 authorizes be paid to Delphi executives by way 4 of incentive compensation will be taken away 5 from the workers. Answer: I don't think so. I don't 6 7 recall any communication like that. 8 Question: Do you believe that? 9 Answer: I didn't even put it in those 10 terms. 11 Question: I'm asking you, as you sit 12 here today, is it your view or opinion that 13 any sums that are approved by the court to be 14 paid to the executives by way of incentive 15 compensation, will be taken away from the 16 workers? 17 Answer: No. 18 Were you asked those questions, and did 19 you give those answers yesterday? 20 21 Yes, I did. Α. 22 I have no further questions, Q. 23 Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Mr. Grandstaff, I 25 reviewed your affidavit and Mr.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
Reichard's affidavit, and what I chiefly
take away from them is the obvious
point, that it is harder to persuade
your constituents to make substantial
reductions in benefits and everything
else that you are being asked to do
while there is an increase in
compensation for the executives. Is
that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.

THE COURT: Now, obviously,
you've heard the testimony today, and
you've read the pleadings which suggest
that if not today, at some point, it
appears that the compensation for the
executives should be brought into line
with their competitors. And my question
is, if not now, when will that be?

THE WITNESS: In my opinion,

Your Honor, the point in time is when
the executives would be able to bring
Delphi out of the bankruptcy situation,
make it a whole company again, where the
employees of the company felt secure in

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd their employment and their future. But, so long as the company remains in this questionable state, and you're asking the workers to give up on wages, benefits and pensions, for the executives to take a profit, at that point in time doesn't seem fair, because at that point in time they won't know whether they're going to take their profit and let the company continue to sink versus work hard to make the company healthy again for everybody's benefit.

THE COURT: So, correct me if

I'm wrong, what I take away from that

is, that the UAW is not opposed to

making the executives' compensation

competitive, but they want to do it when

there's an overall restructuring,

including not only the pay of the

workers.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

I think there would need to be equality
of sacrifice because at one point in

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

time we asked the workers to give more,
and you're offering the executives more,
it's a clash and I feel when there are
employees that are worried about
mortgages and cars and kids' colleges
and stuff like that. If they're going
to have to rethink their whole
lifestyle, while at the same time the
executives have what they have and
they're gaining more improvement. It's
a --

THE COURT: Well, okay. If I were an executive, I could read a lot into the phrase equality of sacrifice, because what I heard you say first suggested that it's a timing issue and everyone should be in the same boat together, but that once that boat rises out of bankruptcy then there's no problem. I'm not sure, in the second answer you gave, whether there was an additional suggestion that, if, the workers are asked to give up significant concessions and agree to do so, or I

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd eventually have to impose them, then, they would just simply not agree to any bonus. I don't think that's what I heard you say. But I, if I were an executive, I could imply that from what you were saying.

THE WITNESS: May we speak freely?

THE COURT: Sure.

THE WITNESS: I don't think
it's so much the employees agreeing to a
bonus, it's more the employees agreeing
to a scaled down labor kind of trap,
that would drastically scale down labor
contracts --

THE COURT: Oh no, I understand that. I understand that. But if you start with the premise that the basis for convincing your people to agree to that significant change for the worse, is to make Delphi competitive so that it not only survives, but is healthy, then, you would think that it would also be important to be competitive at the

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd executive level too. Because that -- otherwise you're not going to be able to hire executives. So, I'm still trying to figure out whether this is just a timing issue, where I could understand where workers may be concerned about executives jumping the gun, or whether it's just an issue where workers are saying, well, if I'm going to have to give up anything, the executives aren't going to get anything more. The latter point troubles me a little bit. The timing issue I understand.

THE WITNESS: I feel it's a timing issue, mixed with a success issue, if the executives continue to drain the company and drive the company closer to a total disbanded, where you shut down all the plants, etc., and then they walk away from it, with bonuses in their pocket, while all the workers not only lose what they have now, plus lose their livelihood when the plants shut down: I don't understand why the

104 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 executives would be assessed to have not 3 grown the company, or brought the 4 company into this unhealthy state. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. Any 6 further questions? 7 MS. CECCOTTI: For United Auto 8 Workers, judge we have no questions for 9 the witness. Thank you. 10 THE COURT: Okay. You could 11 sit down, sir. 12 MR. BUTLER: Okay, sorry. Your 13 Honor, the final witness of the six 14 witnesses, is Mark Shaw for the Steel 15 Workers. His declaration has been 16 admitted to evidence as exhibit number 22. 17 18 (Witness is duly sworn) 19 BY MR. SPRINGER: 20 Good afternoon Mr. Shaw. Nice 0. 21 to see you again today sir. 22 Α. No problem. 23 I'll try not to be too 0. 24 imaginative with you either, and just touch on 25 some of the same few points. Now you are the

105 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 representative today of the United Steel 3 Workers, is that right? 4 MR. PETERSON: Objection as to 5 the use of the word representative. 6 Obviously he's represented by counsel who is in back of you. 7 (indiscernible). 8 9 MR. SPRINGER: Well, I'll 10 rephrase it. 11 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. SPRINGER: 12 13 Q. You're the designated witness 14 for the United Steel workers here right? 15 Α. Correct. And, you're actually a union 16 Q. 17 official, are you not sir? 18 Yes, I am. Α. 19 Q. What is your title with the 20 union? 21 I'm kind of the coordinator Α. 22 for the U.S.W., District 1 and I've come to 23 New York State from Ohio. 24 And the -- so far as you know, 0. 25 the USW has made a decision to designate you

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd as the person to come testify on behalf of the union today.

A. That's correct.

- Q. And would it be true that today, United Steel Workers is not in a position to offer any evidence at all with regard to whether or not the compensation paid to Delphi, even executives is competitive with other auto parts makers. Would that be true?
  - A. That's true.
- Q. And would it also be true that the United Steel Workers is not in a position, today, to offer any evidence whatsoever, with regard to whether or not the incentive comp program up for consideration today, is competitive or not with that of other auto parts markers?

MR. BUTLER: I'd like to here, propose another objection. I let the first question go. As I said, this is facts witness, not a representative. If you want to ask the facts witness what the Steel Worker's case is, that is, I think, inappropriate. He's here to

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd testify about facts, and you're asking him simply argumentative questions about what the Steel Workers, as a matter of their agreement will (indiscernible).

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Shaw has submitted an affidavit about the adverse affects that this program would have on the negotiations and I think it is fair to probe whether he is considered as the negotiating representative for the questions that are being asked of him, so I'll deny that.

## BY MR. SPRINGER:

- Q. Let me see if I can read it back, or even remember it. Is it correct that the Steel Workers today through you, are not in a position to offer any evidence with regard to whether or not the incentive comp program before the court today is competitive with that offered by other domestic auto work -- auto parts manufacturers?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And would it also be true that
  the Steel Workers are not in the position to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

108

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd offer any evidence, with regard to whether or not the compensation paid to Delphi executives is competitive with that paid by other big publicly traded companies? Is that also true? Α. Yes. Is it also true that the Steel Q. Workers are not prepared today to offer any evidence with regard to whether or not the incentive comp program that's up today is competitive with that offered by other big public companies? THE COURT: Let me make sure, you understand the question and my ruling. When counsel saying, any evidence, what I take his question, it is not in this courtroom, but generally, when you talk to your constituents. THE WITNESS: Yes, I understand that. THE COURT: Okay, fine. BY MR. SPRINGER: Okay. I'll try it again. 0. -- is it true that the United Steel Workers are not prepared, today, to offer any evidence

109 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 with regard to whether or not the incentive 3 compensation program up for consideration by 4 the court, today, is competitive with that 5 offered by other big public companies? Would 6 that be true sir? 7 Α. Yeah, that'd be true. 8 Now, as a union official, sir Q. 9 is it true that you cannot support any request 10 for a key employee compensation plan in this, 11 or in any other labor transformation case. Is 12 that true? 13 I don't think that's true. Α. 14 Okay. Have you ever testified 0. 15 -- excuse me -- Is it true that you can't support any incentive compensation plan for 16 17 executives, when in the case the workers' may 18 be asked to make wage and benefit concessions? Is that true? 19 20 The Steel Workers? Α. 21 Q. Yes, sir. 22 (Indiscernible). Α. 23 Just one second, I have to **Q.** 24 recheck my notes here. Let me ask you the 25 question this way sir. Are you prepared, as

110 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 you sit here today, to support a key employee 2 3 compensation program for Delphi's executives 4 under the current circumstances? 5 MR. BUTLER: Objection, it 6 calls for speculation. THE COURT: Sustained. 7 BY MR. SPRINGER: 8 9 Are you prepared, sir, to 0. 10 recommend to anybody that the Steel Workers 11 support the application before the court 12 today, under any circumstances? 13 Α. (Indiscernible). 14 THE COURT: No. Let me ask you 15 a little differently. Would you 16 categorically rule out ever supporting the AIP that's currently before the 17 18 court, under any circumstances? 19 THE WITNESS: It's hard to know, unless you know what's involved 20 21 with everything. I don't think you 22 could just take bets and say your going 23 (indiscernible). Obviously, I think 24 it's a combination of many key factors 25 improving the success, the bargaining

111 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 with the union representing the --3 BY MR. SPRINGER: 4 Q. And it -- and it's true that 5 with regard to a request for approval of a key employee compensation plan. That from your 6 standpoint, if there is any form of payment 7 that looks like there's no shared sacrifice, 8 9 at least appears to your members that there's 10 no shared sacrifice, it obviously brings great 11 consternation and potential problems, right? 12 There's no doubt that the Α. 13 membership is aware of this matter and it can 14 possibly make our job, getting a successful 15 labor agreement more difficult, if the membership thinks that there's no shared 16 17 sacrifices. 18 And is that -- is that one of 0. 19 the reasons why you can't support the request 20 by the debtors to implement the KECP program 21 today? 22 Yes, that's one of the many Α. 23 reasons.

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

Okay. I have nothing further,

24

25

0.

Your Honor.

	<u>-</u>	112
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	THE COURT: Okay.	
3	MR. BUTLER: Can I have just	
4	one moment?	
5	THE COURT: Sure.	
6	MR. BUTLER: I have nothing	
7	further Your Honor.	
8	THE COURT: All right. You can	
9	step down Mr. Shaw.	
10	MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, could	
11	I have one moment?	
12	THE COURT: Yes.	
13	MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I'm	
14	advised with respect to the exhibits the	
15	two exhibits which the debtors had	
16	earlier raised objections objection,	
17	with respect to exhibits 26 and 27, that	
18	they have been withdrawn.	
19	THE COURT: Okay.	
20	MR. BUTLER: That, Your Honor,	
21	would mean that all the exhibits in the	
22	exhibit book are in, save exhibits 26	
23	through 29 which have been withdrawn.	
24	I'd like to move Your Honor to	
25	THE COURT: I'm sorry, 26 and	

	113
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
2	27 right?
3	MR. BUTLER: 26 and 27 just
4	came out. 28 and 29 were withdrawn
5	earlier.
6	THE COURT: Right. Okay.
7	MR. BUTLER: I'd like to move
8	to close the evidentiary record.
9	THE COURT: All right. No one
10	has any other evidence to present.
11	Okay, it's closed.
12	MR. BUTLER: I was wondering,
13	Your Honor, if we could do two things.
14	One, perhaps have a five minute recess
15	and two, get some guidance from the
16	court, on whether you want anything
17	beyond the briefs that have already been
18	submitted.
19	THE COURT: I don't need
20	further briefs.
21	MR. BUTLER: I meant I meant
22	just to wave oral argument beyond what's
23	been
24	THE COURT: Yes, I'd like to
25	hear briefly from people, so let me take

114 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 a 10 minute break. And, so, I'll be 3 back at 4:15. Thank you, Your 4 MR. BUTLER: 5 Honor. 6 (Recess.) 7 THE COURT: Be seated. By 8 hearing oral argument, I'm not 9 encouraging people to repeat what 10 they've put in their pleadings, but just 11 to tell me what they think is most 12 important. If I have the 13 MR. BUTLER: 14 court's permission I'd like to make a 15 brief closing and then reserve to come 16 back after all the objectors. 17 THE COURT: That's fine. 18 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I 19 think it's an important exercise here to 20 recognize what this motion is and what 21 it is not. What the evidentiary record 22 has contained within it, and what it does not. And, who is prejudiced and 23 24 who would not be prejudiced, as one 25 addresses this motion. Particularly

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

115

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd under the Orion standard, particularly under the exercises of this judgment here. I've been doing this for something like 27 years. I have appeared on countless motions of this kind and other major issues in large chapter 11 cases. And, when you sit as debtor's counsel and you come before the court on the matter of executive compensation, regardless of the facts, it is an emotional and even inflammatory exercise. And, what we had tried to do in this evidentiary presentation and in, what we believe, the evidentiary record now represents, is a, we hope, dispassionate and objective view of what is before the court today. I will tell, Your Honor, had this matter alone been the components of the KECP without the equity programs that, by the way, were designed to come at the end of the case, when everything was designed. nothing be paid until the end of the case, under the equity emergence

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

116

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd programs. This, most likely, wouldn't have even come to the court in this fashion, because much of it, what is actually here now, I think is largely an ordinary course. We indicate in our papers that really the court can both find this new in their course of business and make an alternative ruling, also in support of the debtors. do think it's important, Your Honor, to start with what it is not. This is not an increase in executive compensation. This is not, as the UAW witness suggested, the management taking a profit. This is not the executives jumping the gun. This is an attempt by the debtors in the exercise of reasonable business judgment to restore part of the competitive structure that has existed at Delphi since 1999. The program that the debtors have had included salary, benefits, long-term incentive and short-term. And, yes, under the evidentiary record, short-term

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

117

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd didn't pay out on a number of those years because the debtors didn't achieve their plans. Some of the long-term programs have paid out and there are other long-term programs didn't, but it was the entire program that, together, as the evidence indicated, was necessary to put -- all four pieces are necessary to get to Delphi executives just to the competitive median, not leading the pack, not even being a third of the way back in the pack, but half way back in the pack if all four components are available. And, all four components were available in each of the prepetitioned periods. The fact and Mr. Bogdnovich indicated in his testimony, that these are not guarantees, they are opportunities. And the availability of those opportunities, although -- not achieved in each of the years, every one of the years, pre-petition. availability of the opportunities is what creates the competitive

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

118

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd environment, and allows the company to go forward. So this is not a matter of adding on. This is not a stay for pay bonus, in fact, the stay for pay bonuses that were in place pre-petition were cancelled by the board of directors in connection with this Chapter 11 case. And, we didn't even know the statute, having filed before October 17th, we could have filed a (indiscernible) and sought the stay for pay programs. And, even though, many of the automotive suppliers in chapter 11 today have those programs in place. What we wanted to do was to try to have programs that had incentive opportunities in it. And, we filed this on October 8th, at the beginning of the case so that there would be a fair understanding of the attempt by the company, with its outside compensation experts, to deal with that competitive shortfall that, on average, represents on a demonstrative basis, about half, roughly, of what the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

119

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd The ordinary course, structure was. basic structure was, for each of the years Delphi has existed. And, in doing so, and in negotiating with the creditors committee, the debtors made another business judgment, which was, taking all the circumstances under -into account, including all of the circumstances relating to the objectives in this chapter 11 case. The debtors took the position with the creditors committee, they would take a good portion of the program that, would simply, restore the executives to that which they already had, and they would put that off until the summer time, so we could check the progress in the case, talk about that, negotiate with the committee. What we believe needed to go forward now, or would be lost forever, is trying to restore, at least, an incentive program to identify the firsthalf business plan, because, just as happened at the end of last year, when

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd we worked through the last part of last year, without having a plan, then the pressure was, well don't have a plan retroactively, so the plan was lost. So for the first four -- three months of this case, our executives operated at about half of what they had on a prepetitioned basis. So this is not adding on, this is not increasing; this is an attempt to restore. And, by the way, when we talk about salary, which represents about a third of that pie, that normal chart, the fact is, all of the executive officers of the company, the top 20 or so of the company, all took 10 percent pay cuts, effective January 1st. Mr. Miller, who's in the court room, gave up his salary effective January 1st. Mr. O'Neil the president of the company, gave up 20 percent of his base salary on January 1st, in an attempt to try to do the right thing in the labor transformation case. Your Honor, these 460 odd executives,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

121

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd that are part of, what we believe to be an ordinary course program, operate, you know, global Delphi. They are before the court on this program because the domestic operations, which involve 46,000 employees is clearly in front of the court and is extremely important to the operation of the business, but these 466 people, along with the other executives that are solely in foreign company, the foreign businesses, provide global leadership to 185,000 people on six continents. And the company has put together a six month business plan, that it has reviewed with the creditors committee and reviewed with the board of directors, and is by no means an easy plan to accomplish, there was testimony about the plan, what the expectations were and the company needs to incent it's management team to make the steps towards that. Not because the company is trying to give them something extra, or add on, so that it will increase the

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

122

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd hiring temperature in this case, again, with respect to organized labor, here in the United States. But so that the company can, in fact, restore at least a portion of what was lost in connection with the filing. And, I think, Your Honor, that is -- I think the first issue that I think is most important. The second comment that I would make is that what we're measuring here, and what the court is to balance in the Orion test of our business judgment and exercise on the courts on business judgment, based on the evidence before the court, is an evidentiary record that is virtually, and maybe entirely uncontroverted as to the way the program is constructed, as to what the targets are, as to what the amounts are, as to whether it's competitive, as to whether it's reasonable. No one has introduced any evidence to challenge any aspect of the program. And, in fact, the progress committee has specifically approved the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

123

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd form and substance of the program. what the court is being asked to weigh by the objectors, and I'll sit down in a minute and come back later, is to weigh an uncontroverted evidentiary record that is, I think, full of evidence for the court to have comfort that the debtors have appropriately exercised their business judgment, measured against a difference of view, one that we understand and took into consideration, but a difference of view, where the folks who represent our unions and are creditors could rather say, gee, wouldn't it be better for everybody if these employees just didn't get, the only employees the 185,000. These 466 employees work to restore at least a part of what they had, as opposed to giving anything up, restored to part of what they had for the next six months or eight months, until whenever we figure we get to the end of the case. And if we do that, then what with the

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd announcement that this court's making to the executives is, you're going to be unlike any other employee of Delphi, you're going to get about half of what the opportunities are available to you that were available in pre-petitioned Delphi, while everybody else is getting paid 100 percent of what they had available to them?

THE COURT: Wait a minute, when you say everybody else, you don't mean the union's right?

MR. BUTLER: As we sit here today,

THE COURT: Well, no but you teed up for a hearing in front of me in about a month and half. That proposal is to reduce what they're getting by more than half.

MR. BUTLER: And the evidence,
Your Honor, is going to show, and this
is one of the issues we have to deal
with, and sitting as the arbiter of our
business judgment, Your Honor has to

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd deal with, is the uncontroverted evidence and this record, is that the actual performance, the actual pay on place that the executives are getting is about the 25th percentile.

THE COURT: Now, I was just responding to the stay, I don't -- I was trying to follow the statement, I didn't mean to be specific.

MR. BUTLER: Well, because at the other end of it, Your Honor, the fact of the matter is in this case, and I don't make the facts up here, I have to be one of the people, with others around us in this courtroom, to try and solve the problem. But, the fact is that our hourly work force are at uncompetitive rates and that's what the 1113 process will show. And, somehow or other, we have to fix both those problems. But, we don't fix the executive problem by saying, let's take away half of their opportunities right now, today. As a matter of fact, we

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd took them away October 8th. Let's take away half the opportunities for the balance of the case and then -- and then deal with the other issues later on.

And, ultimately, Your Honor, the court knows this, I know this, having been involved in labor transformation cases throughout my career, we have to solve the legacy obligations and the uncompetitive labor costs in this case.

We're going to try very hard to do it on a consensual basis, whether or not we file an 1113. An 1113 is a piece of paper and a process. But we both know that congress requires at the end of the day, that what we have to do is settle this, finally, at a bargaining table. And we will work hard to do that, and our evidence has been very clear. We recognize in the formulation of this business, exercise in this business judgment that the -- on the one hand, trying to deal with a real and pleasant problem that is effecting the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

127

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd reorganization of this case, which is this sort of half of the pre-petitioned loaf for executives, which is causing us to lose executives, it's demoralizing the executive rank. It is effecting businesses not just in the United States, but globally, because these executives are responsible for the entire global operations of the company, that are doing that in order to try to satisfy the -- the 1113 process in this case. Simply, in the opinion of the company, the business judgment of people like Mr. Opie as independent directors, is a recipe for disaster. And will lead us to a -- real problems in connection with the reorganization.

And so what the company is trying to do here, is trying to strike the balances. By agreeing with the creditors committee to take off all the long-term incentive plans and put them at the back end of the case. By trying to -- by being involved in almost three

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

128

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd months of negotiation with the creditor's committee and modifying the programs so the form and substance is acceptable to the committee, and take those actions that the company is trying to do all that it can to exercise business judgment. And we recognize that anybody can use this motion for their own purposes and their own strategic purpose in this case, but if people view it for what it is, because factually there can be no doubt that what this is, is a restoration of benefits that were taken away as opposed to trying to field the lily or put icing on the cake, or take things away from people, or increase compensation, or all those other things, which it is not, Then, I think, the Your Honor. reasonableness of the debtor's business judgment here, is simply underscored. We bid the record and the evidence is uncontroverted in support of the motion. And, I'll reserve the balance of the

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd argument until the end, Your Honor.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ROSENBERG: Your Honor, I'd like to begin with an important preliminary point. And, again, hopefully not borrowing the card, but going over the procedure of what we are doing here today. What we did here today was hear one portion of a single motion. A single motion which is still pending, and which contains provisions which will be heard down the vine, presently in July, which the committee and other parties may or may not support in whatever form they end up being, or end up being negotiated. I am very concerned that no findings today, in connection with this relatively modest and small portion of that larger motion, prejudice, that hearing down the line we've had this issue before Your Honor, whatever situations and modifications of motions it is particularly important

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

130

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
here that I ask Your Honor to please
keep that in mind when you make
findings, however you determine to come
out.

Again, Your Honor, this motion was filed, I believe, on the first day of the case, if not very soon thereafter. It contained an enormous compensation package of which this is only a part, which this court with some serious understatement, called a lightening rod. It surely has been that, and it continues to be that, as Your Honor has heard. The timing problem here, with due respect to the data, is one entirely of its own making. It, perhaps, could have filed this program, certainly the program has finally agreed to with the creditors committee, early in the case and it probably would have gone through with very little controversy, had that been the sum and substance of the motion. But it wasn't.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

Nor is it true, Your Honor, contrary to some statements that the debtor has made, that the motion was adjourned at the creditors committee's request. That is not the fact. The fact is that the creditors committee at each adjourned hearing told the debtor that it would object to the motion and the debtor chose to adjourn and negotiate, rather than have the committee file an objection to the program.

So, again Your Honor, we're here one week before a deluged 1113 date because of the procedures that the debtor, at its discretion, chose to -- chose to follow. Now, you have heard me, the committee, talk about three problems with the annual incentive plan as originally proposed. One was its substance, its lack of gradual -- granularity, its lack of actually incentivising performance on an individual basis. We have solved that

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd problem, Your Honor. As Mr. Butler indicated, the plan put forth is acceptable to the committee and its compensation consultants, with respect to this six month period. And again, the point I'm making here, Your Honor, is that it will be jiggled, or jiggered I should say, and the terms will be slightly modified if they want our cooperation or for six months period going forward.

The second issue is the socalled prophylactic issue. Making sure
that bad guys, if you will, do not run
off with incentive payments. We feel,
Your Honor, and obviously reasonable
people are free to differ, that the
present program, which Your Honor can
see is vast and different from the one
that was put forth to this court in an
affidavit even last week, properly
achieves the balance between protecting
the estate and its assets, on the one
hand, and not unfairly or unreasonably

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd branding people simply because somebody decides that they're bad guys before anyone has a chance to adjudicate that. We don't think that's fair, we think that the proper balance has been achieved here, obviously anyone is free to differ with that, but there again, we are satisfied that the right balance has been achieved. That brings us, finally, to the timing issue.

Your Honor, the creditors
committee, of course is the fiduciary
for the entire creditor body. It is a
highly representative committee. The
U.S. Trustee did an excellent job of
making that the case. The committee has
even, as Your Honor knows, expanded upon
that representativeness, by adding to
ex-officio members in the last couple of
weeks, the UAW and the PBGC. All major
unsecured constituencies have weighed in
on this issue of timing. We have also,
of course, heard the company's point of
view on the issue of timing. They're

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

134

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd not our constituency, but we are depending on them to make our constituency as whole as possible. So, they are hardly our enemies. We do want them to be happy. That should go without saying. I think it's fair to say that we wrestled long and hard with this issue, and heard out at great length every one of those constituencies and, on balance, it is our conclusion that it is not a reasonable exercise of the debtors business judgment to go forward one week before an 1113 motion, in terms of the main issue in this case, which is and has to be labor peace. Are we sacrificing one constituency at the expense of another in making that judgment, perhaps so.

But Your Honor, as Your Honor knows, bankruptcy tends, in the final analysis to be a zero fun game and these hard judgments have to be made. I listened to Mr. Grandstaff in particular and his answers to your questions, I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

135

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd thought he was incredibly eloquent. I have never met Mr. Grandstaff before today, I have never spoken to him, but he did capsulize the way that the committee has analyzed these various competing interests and how we have come down, sitting here one week before an 1113 motion. Thank you.

MR. KENNEDY: Your Honor, Tom Kennedy for the IUE. I'd like to address a couple of points, especially those made in the reply papers recently filed by the debtor, two days ago. do not believe that this AIP program can be construed as being in the ordinary course of business. The original proposition that was made, at the time the motion was filed, on October 8th, also called for a six month AIP with a target cost of just over 20 million dollars. That motion said nothing about the AIP being in the ordinary course of business. That argument wasn't raised until two days ago. But Delphi was

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd looking for a declaratory judgment that the AIP was in the ordinary course, I'm confident they're aware of the proper procedure would have been a proceeding under rule 7001. The format chosen in the text of the original motion, as far as we're concerned, I'm not trying to elevate form over substance, but just looking at that point, was this originally intended to be an ordinary course motion in our view. The answer to that is no. But, more importantly, than the procedure, the substance shows how different the next six months are intended to be, under this program, than the prior years. And as Your Honor, I believe, demonstrated an awareness of in questioning some of the witnesses, the Bogdnavich declaration, in paragraph eight, is very clear that, notwithstanding these charts that refer not to money paid, but to opportunities, the actual incentive progra -- payments to the same group of executives, was

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

137

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd zero in most of the last four years. And in the one year in which they were paid, 2002, were only a partial payment. The issue here is the existence of money versus no money. There may have been an opportunity before, we don't know what those opportunities were, how well they were picked, or who made the business plan, or what the targets were, but we do know that there was no money paid. And we know that under this plan the expectation is notwithstanding the suggestion that there's reach in the plan, that it will be paid. It's paid out after only 70 percent performance achievement by the executives and we are now 40 days into the period which they're contending is going to be measured. If, at that point, two things are true, the first is; it's much more clear to the company whether they are going to meet their performance targets, and we think they are, as has been suggested by the

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

Bugdovich affidavit at paragraphs 10 and
11, where he's talking about the EBITDAR

performance actually being positive for
the first six months, is the company's
expectation. It's also true that just
from the sheer perception of is this
going to incentify people; we're already
20 percent into the period that they're
trying to incentifize. If the program
hasn't been installed yet, 20 percent of
it's potential impact is obviously -been lost.

THE COURT: Well, except they know the motion's been on file. I mean, I have to assume that the executives are acutely aware of this.

MR. KENNEDY: Well, if that's the case, then we may have achieved 20 percent of its impact without having to put the money on the table.

THE COURT: Yeah, but then when you take it away, they have lost 40 percent.

MR. KENNEDY: Well, you can

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd dandy the numbers about, but the reality is 40 days into the period already.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you, because at -- at one level, obviously, I shared some of your view that, in some respect, this is a change in that there was, in practical terms, no bonus except for some portion of the bonus in 2002, for the last four years or five years. And, at the same time, however, I think it's fair to say that people, well not just MBA's, but people generally feel that you get more value for your dollar if its in the form of an incentive program, then if its just flat salary and it would be odd for a company to set -- intentionally hard targets. So, I was waiting to hear through the whole hearing whether these targets were believed by anyone to be you know, lay ups, or whether its something that people really have to work hard to achieve and what I have in front of me, is basically that it's not a lay up, as

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd far as I can -- I have on the record.

That's -- maybe there's something you can point me to in the depositions or something else that suggests otherwise.

MR. KENNEDY: Well, Your Honor, at page 14, paragraph 32 of the Budgnovich deposition, I'll read you the following. The corporate level EBITDAR Ub target for the period ending June 30, 2006 is negative 80 million. Quote, paren: A negative EBITDAR target for purposes of an incentive compensation plan is not unusual in my experience for a company in chapter 11, end paren. I understand that the company expects, in fact, its EBITDAR will be positive, end quote.

So, we believe, Your Honor,
that the period we're in, the negative
EBITDAR itself as a incentivising
device, I'll leave to those more
familiar with
business targets than I. I understand
that there are some people going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

141

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd comment on that later. But, the Budgnovich deposition indicates that they are expecting a positive EBITDAR. And whether this is a lay up or a little bit of a reach, it's still a period, since we're in too, in our view, its well known to the company that they are going to meet those -- those targets. The issue in this case, in any event, is not what I would call an abstract analysis of how incentive plans work, or how they might apply in a mythical company. But, as we sit here today, given the reality and proximity of the 1113 motion, is it good business judgment to place a red flag in front of the tens of thousands of individuals that are going to be affected by that motion. And let me note, Your Honor, this is not the time to go into it, but we do not accept, as an attorney for the IUE that our hourly work force works at uncompetitive rates. That's been said many times, it's said as though its back

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

142

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd drop, it's said as though it's a given. It is not a given and we intend to contest that if we have to in front of an 1113 motion. But, as we sit here today, we do know that the company is planning for a number of our members, and attempting to cut their salary in half. And I don't think anyone needs to dwell on how dramatic that would be for anyone, no matter what their salary level is. The working people who live from check to check it's all the more so then it might be for the executives. In that context, unless a plan has an extraordinary need for being, our view it would be inappropriate to install it. There's been no demonstration that there's an extraordinary need to impose this plan at this time. The status quo has been no AIP payments as a practical matter, and without over-dwelling on that point, for some years now. Another six months, another year without them, if it achieves a substantial diminution

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd of the executive class, there may be other factors at work or I would assume that there are other factors because that same lack of an AIP has not driven out a substantial number of executives in the prior year. Why would you assume that increase executive departures, to the extent they've been demonstrated are as a result of a lack of an AIP, when there hasn't been one for the last four years? We think it's highly significant that, not only the unions, but other stakeholders in the committee, representing all of the stakeholders, oppose this motion based on its impact on the pending labor negotiations. The reply B filed by Delphi, acknowledges at page 4 the proceeding with the AIP, could make negotiations with the unions heart. Then they would have as, quote, the dead is capitulated and agreed to arbitrarily deprive their executive work force of basic market-based compensation, end quote

VERITEXT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We do not think there is anything in this record that supports so purple a description of the compensation being paid to these executives. are at norms with respect to the salary portion of their, and I suppose the benefit portion of their compensation, and the opportunities, as I've said before, that they are being deprived of, they haven't had as a practical matter for years. The Delphi argued it was speculative whether approval of the AIP would endanger the critical negotiations with the unions. It is speculative only in the sense that it hasn't happened Seasoned labor negotiators have yet. all testified that it will be a serious impediment for reaching an agreement, if these plans are put into place. is no evidence to the contrary. The company grudgingly acknowledged that it would make it more difficult. position of the union negotiators is, it will make it impossible. It's somewhere

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

145

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd in that continuum, in any event, given the centrality to this case of achieving an acceptable consensual labor solution. Anything that makes it harder should have a substantial burden of justification before going forward and that is simply not something they've met. THE COURT: Let me ask you then, why -- you say that -- but doesn't that encourage people to be unreasonable on the other side? And just say, well, I'm going to be upset no matter what the basis is and, therefore, doesn't that prejudge the issue? MR. KENNEDY: In the labor negotiations, you're referring to? THE COURT: Yeah. MR. KENNEDY: Your Honor, no it

MR. KENNEDY: Your Honor, no it doesn't. The unions are fighting for their lives in this case, Your Honor. These are thousands of people in communities that have very deep concerns about what's happening here. This is a

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd matter that will be an irritant, a stumbling block, an impediment, perhaps reaching to the point of rendering them impossible to achieve an affirmative vote, but it certainly not the only thing people are going to be looking at. We are not suggesting that it is. But, this is way too important a decision to have any one factor be entertained.

But again, what I think you have to look at here and kind of weighing this all together, isn't the normal status of labor negotiations. These are enormous cuts that the employer is seeking to make in the labor relations environment. We're going to have a trial about whether they're warranted or not, and I'm not going to comment on it. But, we certainly know that this is not the kind of situation where an employer comes forward and says we want to take away your cost of living increase, we want to freeze your wages for two years, and we want five dollars

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

147

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd more toward the health care. There are an awful lot of conversations that can be had, and which we could not stand here, honestly, and say the impact of the executive -- the 20 million dollars or up to 38 million dollars additional monies being paid to executives is a material element. But, that's not true here. We can say that here, it's true. There's no evidence to the contrary. What we believe is that the risk would ward alternatives to the debtor's estate, which I think is something that the court has to consider, are startle. Let's assume that the debtors are right. The motion -- we prevail. The motions denied, either entirely or at this time and the adverse consequences that have been suggested by the debtor occur. They lose some executives. There's a -instead of 110 percent effort, they're getting 106 percent effort from some of their executives. They can, after all, reward them with salary. They can reward

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

148

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd them with evaluations. There are other tools in the corporate for the employer to deal with executives. But, and that could have an impact on the estate, we're not suggesting it couldn't. But, it's a relatively minor impact. On the other hand, if the motion is granted and the objectors are right, that it is a terrible time at which to enact this particular plan, and the labor relations are unsuccessful, and everything spins out of control, and the debtor is unable to successfully reorganize, the risk/reward analysis suggests to us that the -- it's decidedly against proving this particular motion.

THE COURT: Well let me posit
another scenario, where it appears, on
an objective basis, that this addition
to compensation is warranted, generally.
That it is truly designed to get them
within range of what executives at
comparable companies make. But, that
for your timing reason and Mr.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
Rosenberg's timing reason it is not
approved in final form today. What's to
keep your clients and the other unions
from saying, oh, well that's off the
table, forget it, you're not entitled to
that. Even though, as an objective
matter, they are.

MR. KENNEDY: Well, a couple of things, Your Honor. For one thing, executive compensation programs are not negotiable between labor unions and employers. The --

THE COURT: I understand, but in the negotiations that you want me to defer this in light of, what's to keep the unions from making this, in essence, if it is, in fact, objectively sustainable, a straw man issue, and just, you know, a way to turn around and gouge the company's eye with it.

Instead of focusing on the real, the real things

VERITEXT

MR. KENNEDY: Well,

(indiscernible).

212-267-6868

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

THE COURT: Going back to my questions of Mr. Grandstaff, I can understand, emotionally, and I'm not playing -- I'm not in any way denigrating emotions, because I think emotion, people talking about their, you know, their next pay check is probably more important than logic in a lot of cases. I know that this is hard for the unions to swallow, but at the same time, I do see a bit of a disconnect between that point and a point that just says well look, we're never going to approve it. We're never going to let this happen.

MR. KENNEDY: And, Your Honor, we are not standing -- you're saying, we are never going to let this happen. We are suggesting to the court that the consideration of this or of a plan such as this, be put off until the conclusion of successful labor negotiations and the context -- and in the context of a reorganization plan. In which there is

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd an opportunity to focus on the future of the enterprise where we know what organizations and elements of this company are going to be going forward as Delphi. When we know which executives are going to be important for the continuation of the company, because they're not going to be part of alms and aspects of the company that are going to be eliminated.

THE COURT: But over the next six months aren't they -- these guys -- important no matter what?

MR. KENNEDY: Well, I think
they're important, Your Honor, but over
the next six months, in the midst of the
most important labor negotiations this
company will ever face, the negative
consequences of the program, in our
view, outweigh the incentivising aspects
that it might otherwise have.

THE COURT: Well, but are those, ultimately, are those negative consequences logically sustainable?

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 MR. KENNEDY: They are -- if we reach a point, Your Honor, in six months 3 4 from now, in which we are sitting here, one way or another, having achieved a 5 different labor context in which the 6 7 company is operating, and in that 8 context the company is seeking to 9 introduce an executive compensation 10 programs that they think are 11 appropriate, going forward for all the 12 reasons behind the estate, then the 13 unions would look at that, I think, very 14 differently. I know my client would. 15 Then, they do not, since at that point, we will have resolved the issues that we 16 17 are concerned, would be unresolvable, if 18 this program is put into affect. So, 19 again, Your Honor --20 THE COURT: So you won't be 21 arguing with me that there's no reason 22 to incentivize them for the last six 23 months that have already been performed? 24 MR. KENNEDY: Well that's an 25 interesting point, Your Honor.

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

153 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 probably would argue that, Your Honor. But, I don't you'd agree with me. So, 3 4 based on what we've said before. let me just summarize, Your Honor, 5 6 because other people need to speak. First, the approval of this program, we 7 8 think, will reduce the likelihood of the 9 agreements. The debtor didn't consult 10 with any of its unions in formulating 11 this plan. Which we think is 12 significant, and I direct your attention 13 to Geneva Steel Company, 236BR7 --14 THE COURT: Wasn't Geneva Steel 15 all about stock options and emergence 16 bonuses and really --MR. KENNEDY: Yes, it was, Your 17 18 Honor. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. KENNEDY: There was an 21 incentive program, but a different kind 22 of incentive program, that's correct. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 MR. KENNEDY: We don't believe 25 this represents the exercise of sound

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd judgment given all the factors we've identified, which at the end of the day, I think is the critical issue, and we've cited in our briefs some cases demonstrating that the appropriate time for the consideration of this kind of motion is after labor negotiations are completed and a reorganization plan is being formulated. We think there's no necessity. And, finally, Your Honor, we do think this motion should be denied as a matter of equity, fairness, and the appearance of justice. This is a very well-known confrontation, if you want to use that word, that we think its incumbent upon the court to consider the impact upon the entire spectrum of people interested in Delphi of an approval of this motion. We think it will be the wrong signal to all of the participants, and we would urge you to consider that impact as well. you.

MS. CECCOTTI: Good afternoon,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

155

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
Your Honor, Babette Ceccotti for the
UAW. I don't think I can do better than
the UAW's witness, frankly, but I will
try to summarize, particularly, in light
of the colloquy with the last couple of
-- the last couple of speakers.

Mr. Butler and his colleagues are calling this a labor transformation case. We know what that means. sort of short-hand for a case in which the debtor is focusing its reorganization, very substantially, on cutting labor costs, as they put, their legacy obligations as well. And, that the workers are going to asked to make deep and significant economic sacrifices. In the words of the creditors committee, which we think is completely on the mark, life changing sacrifices. And, it means that the unions must be fully engaged in a process that will be difficult and will be complicated.

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

And, we hope that everyone in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

156

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd this room shares our view, that a negotiated solution of these issues is a far better result for this case than the alternative. The union's case, as you've heard, is that the AIP bonuses are disruptive of that process. They're disruptive of the process of trying to reach a consensual resolution. complex as that process already is, adding this element to -- by this element I mean, approving the bonuses today for the executives will make it even more difficult for the unions to reach a negotiated solution that will have the support of the UAW membership.

I think that is really the key element of what each of the unions is saying here today. Bringing up the AIP at the very same time, that the company is -- it's practically now colliding with the 1113 motion that the company says it's going to file at the end of next week, as other speakers have noted. This creates, what Mr. Grandstaff called

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

157

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd a clashing in its message to the workforce. The membership that this union, the UAW and the other unions, have to communicate with, in order to try to translate everything that is going on in this case, in terms of the legal system of what the bankruptcy -what the bankruptcy laws allow the debtors to attempt to do. In terms of the very, very complicated and difficult economic issues. That the union is going to have the very tough job of trying to distill, if you will, for the worker's who are coming to work every day and working for Delphi.

The debtor's case is a case about executive compensation. Most of these key employee plan cases are about executive compensation. They have the element of consultants coming in and with charts and peer groups, and we've had discussions today also about how the targets work, and what they are. And, all of this is in the realm of how one

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd designs an executive compensation program.

I think what you heard Mr.

Grandstaff saying is, that this is not how the worker's are looking at this.

Right? The workers are thinking about the very real consequences to their lives of concessions. The level of concessions that the debtor's are asking about here. They're not debating whether the, sort of, unpronounceable targets are right, or whether the peer group is right. They're looking at this and they're saying I have financial insecurity here. I am looking at serious financial insecurity here, but here are executives being paid money.

THE COURT: You know, I know
that that's a good talking point, but I
actually think people are a lot smarter
than that. They know, by now, that the
issue that I have to decide, ultimately,
is one that comes down to this, and
which the unions, I understand, dispute:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

159

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd the debtors are saying that we can't compete without these cuts. And, I think, every American worker knows that issue and in their heart of hearts they know that competition is the key point in this whole dynamic. So, I'm having a hard time accepting that, unless, you know, you've had six or seven beers, and you're really pissed, that the mere fact that an executive is getting more money is going to, you know, be the deciding factor here. If it is all put in the context of what makes the company compete better, why is that such a hard message? I mean, among other things, I was just reflecting on the union's point about whether the target's a lay up. it is a lay up, and in fact their performance is going to exceed it, instead of being 80 million EBITDAR UG, negative, they're positive, doesn't that mean that they can, that the company is going to take less from the workers? Because it's the same business

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

160

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd plan, and if the demands from the workers are based on an 80 million EBITDAR UG negative business plan and they achieve, you know, ten million EBITDAR plus, doesn't that mean they have to ask less from the workers because they're more competitive? I just think that, I understand there's rhetoric, I understand that it comes up in a labor negotiation, but ultimately, it's over whether you're competitive or not. MS. CECCOTTI: Your Honor, let me try to answer the question this way. I think that there are two, really two issues, kind of mixed in here. Well, there's a lot of issues mixed in, but, I think, in terms of your question, there are two basic issues mixed in here. One is a sort of short term practical reality and the other is a more longer term question. And, the

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

short-term practical reality is what we

are attempting to convey here today.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

161

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd The timing issue is, in fact, a very practical short-term problem. The debtor's have this time table that they're on, and all of that is going to start happening in a litigation way, I suppose, next week. So, I think it's fair to assume that the parties are having to deal with that fact, okay. And having to deal with that fact both in short-term reality, real-time basis and, at the same time, in the long-term to get to, what I think everybody here would agree would be the goal, which would be a successful Delphi reorganization that's competitive and provides job and financial security. So, I think, today, however, particularly since we're talking about a program that is now being offered to you on a short-term basis, it is appropriate to look at the union's -- what the unions are saying, is they're very real short-term problem. THE COURT: Well, but I don't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

162

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd know when people get over that. I mean, ultimately, and I know you've done this a lot, the fairness that I'm supposed to have to look at, ultimately, I think, is based on a type of record that's put in front of me today, which is, you know, objectively, does this make the company stronger or weaker and is everyone sharing the appropriate amount of pain? Not sharing pain, but the appropriate amount. So, I just hope that -- I understand that there's explaining that people have to do, but I don't -- I hope that a request for an adjournment is not just putting off the day when people have to do explaining. And, my guess is, that they've already done a lot of explaining, because it's been done in countless cases involving 1113 in the past. MS. CECCOTTI: Your Honor, let me just make -- I'm sorry I didn't mean to cut you off --

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

THE COURT: That's fine.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CECCOTTI: Okay. Let me just, when we filed our objection some months ago, back when the debtor filed its papers, I guess I papers were due in mid-November some time. You may notice a reference to, sort of, the tone of which this case started. And, it was unfortunate. And, it was viewed very much so, as an attack -- direct attack -- on the worker's contracts and the worker's working lives, really. The tone was really unfortunate. I think that we have gotten a bit beyond that, but I'm not sure that the effects of all of that poisoning of the well, I'm going to call it for lack of a better word, are necessarily gone. And so, we have overlaying all of these complex issues, and there are lots of them, the way this case started, the way it's been moving along to get to this point, again, where the debtor is saying February 17th, that' it. We're going to start a litigation process. Whatever else, we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

164

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd may be doing, we're going to start a litigation process. And, right in the middle of all of this, with all of that history, we have a request to pay executives 20 to 30 million dollars. So, I -- I hear what you're saying Judge, but I think that taking a look at how this case started, and it's been mostly about the labor issues, just in terms of the way we call it -- what we call it. We call it the labor transformation case, right? That's what the case is about. I think, that a debtor's request to come in and pay money, I'm not going to get into the debate about whether it's an increase or not an increase, or a profit or not a profit, I think all of that is -- does not really get to the direct perception that we are talking about here today that's the problem. On the one hand, you have workers worried about the uncertainty of what this means up to this moment in time and what's going to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

165

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd happen on the 17th, and is there going to be an agreement, and is there not going to be an agreement, and knowing today, let's say, if, Your Honor, were to sign the order, that -- put aside the fact that its in six months, the executives are going to get 20 to 30 million dollars. That just creates, for these unions, an extremely difficult practical problem. It is a short-term, I won't say issue, in the sense of it goes away once there's an agreement. Maybe it does, maybe it doesn't. When you have an agreement, you have a completely different world. You have people that understand what's in front of them. You have people that can see a path towards, hopefully a way out of this case, as Mr. Grandstaff said, in a way that makes the company successful. It's a different environment; you just look at things differently than you do when you're right in the middle of the eye of the storm, if you will, the

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd uncertainty.

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CECCOTTI: I did want to say, finally, Judge, that I think that the Geneva is instructive because, I think that Geneva is a timing case, if you really look at it that way.

MR. PETERSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Lowell Peterson from Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein for the Steel Workers. I think we've all focused on the, sort of, long-term reality of this case, which is a euphomism, and that is a labor transformation case. This has been -- this case has been presented as the -- one of the largest, if not the largest manufacturing bankruptcy in history, the target is to restructure the business in a number of ways, which involve, according to the debtors, enormous sacrifices by the hourly employees. Both in terms potentially, of losing their jobs as plants are closed or aspects of the operation are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

167

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd shuttered, and in terms, facing enormous pay and benefit cuts, including the prospect of retirement without benefits. I think there's been a notion that somehow, and I understand it, that somehow the employees, the workers if you will, are reacting irrationally, and that they should recognize, in some way, that competitiveness is a good thing. And, I actually think that, that misses the point. I don't think there really is anything irrational about the reactions of the hourly employees, and there's actually no evidence in the record to rebut the extensive testimony of the union folks who have had experience with this stuff. I mean, Mr. Shaw from the Steel Workers has been through numerous bankruptcies with executive compensation programs, and without. And, there is a world of difference. So it is -- it is, sort of, true through historical experience, that this kind of program makes it that much

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd more difficult to come to a consensual resolution in a quote, labor transformation, end quote case. Which means that the workers have to take a lot of money out of their pockets. That's what labor transformation means. It's not a structural thing; it's a direct sacrifice by these folks. It is simply true, as a matter of experience, that, that's what happens in these cases. Whether we think it's a good thing or a bad thing. That is the way people react and they; frankly, I think it makes some sense. I know Mr. Springer and his cross examination of the various union witnesses, sort of, struggled mightily to get them to say, isn't it true that there's no way you can ever agree to any key employee compensation program. And, they all said no, that's not what we're saying. There's no ideological imperative here. There's no -- to use Mr. Butler's phrase, there's no -- nobody's using

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

169

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd this for strategic purposes to wrestle some kind of constraints, this is -we're dealing with a reality of what its going to be like to go to union meetings and try to get contracts ratified. That's what we're talking about. This This is not speculation. is true. This is not strategic advantages. This is what happens in the real world. the real world, all of these unions have been through labor transformation cases. They've been through restructurings. They know what its like; they know what the members look at. They know what companies look like. And, it is certainly the case, as the witnesses suggested quite, declining to say yes to Mr. Springer's continued insistence, there are plenty of reorganization cases in which the executives share the bounty as well as sharing the sacrifices. We're just not at that stage. right out of the box -- this case has been about trying to wrest enormous

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

170

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd concessions from the employees, and that is not the time to start talking about increasing pay for executives. And, as I think the evidence is clear, we are talking about the increasing pay for executives, because that's where we're going with this. The evidence that the debtor's themselves produced, indicate that there haven't been these payouts in the past, there haven't been payouts in '01 or '03 or '04, and there was a partial payout in '02. And, that makes some sense. I mean, after all, isn't the concept of incentive pay to be paid for performance? This is a company that's nose-dived, according to everything the debtor's have told us. You get paid for performing well, not simply for showing up and not screwing up too badly. The concept of pay for performance means that the performance has to be good. And, the unions are familiar with many corporations and have good collective bargaining relationships

171 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 with many employers in which the 3 executives are incentivized. And, in 4 which, when the company does well, they 5 get paid more. The executives get paid 6 That is not a problem that the 7 union members have with this. The 8 problem is that that's not where Delphi 9 is. 10 THE COURT: Well, why is that? 11 Based on today's record? 12 MR. PETERSON: Why is --13 THE COURT: Then why do they 14 have a problem, based on today's record, 15 with this incentive program? 16 MR. PETERSON: Because the 17 people are getting paid even with 18 negative EBITDAR. THE COURT: Well, a lot of 19 20 companies in bankruptcy are hitting a 21 home run if they raise the negative 22 EBITDAR from, you know, 200 negative to 23 50 negative. I mean, that's a good 24 thing in bankruptcy often. 25 MR. PETERSON: Well, it is a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

172

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd good thing, but it is certainly a long way from taking this company to where it needs to be. I would just -- I suppose echo what my predecessors have said, and that is there, will there come a stage in this case in which the worker's feel comfortable that, in fact, we're looking at the light at the end of the tunnel, in which things are going to turn around? THE COURT: Yeah. I'm --MR. PETERSON: But, we're not there yet. THE COURT: I'm assuming, though, that your clients have reviewed, although they probably haven't finalized their review, of the debtor's financial information that they've been providing. I mean, are these targets bogus, or are they real, in light of that review? MR. PETERSON: Well, I don't know exactly what you mean by bogus.

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

MR. PETERSON:

THE COURT: Well, are they --

Are they

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd achievable, yes. They are very achievable, in fact the --

THE COURT: Well, are they layups or is it really something that is
comparable to the other types of
incentive programs that unions have been
comfortable with, that you mentioned.
Like, you know, are they like that?

MR. PETERSON: Well --

THE COURT: The targets in those programs.

MR. PETERSON: In this historic context, the fact is, that these executives have not received payments, even when the company was out of bankruptcy. So, from the perspective of the unions, yes, they are lay-ups in the sense that now, when the company is doing particularly poorly, executives will be getting 20 million dollars, where for the past five years, when the company was not in bankruptcy, they didn't. So, in that sense, yes, it is a different way of doing business, and

174 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 these executives are going to be getting 3 rewarded where, in the past --4 THE COURT: Is it only --5 MR. PETERSON: -- they showed 6 they wouldn't be. 7 THE COURT: Is it only in that 8 sense? 9 Is it only in MR. PETERSON: 10 that sense? I would say that its -- I 11 would say that you're correct that 12 people have informed an arithmetically precise determination about whether 80 13 14 million negative or 90 or 20 million is 15 a better target. I would say that that 16 level of analysis hasn't been done. don't think that that is positioned in 17 18 this reorganization process that the 19 unions find themselves in. Or that the 20 union members find themselves in. 21 could be that there is --22 THE COURT: Yeah. In other 23 words, they haven't gotten to that point 24 yet. 25 MR. PETERSON: No.

175 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 THE COURT: Analysis of the 3 business plan. 4 MR. PETERSON: No, I don't think the business plan is necessarily 5 6 to that point itself. There is a short-7 term business plan, but as we understand 8 it, the longer term program for taking 9 this company out of chapter 11 is --10 it's in very preliminary stages. 11 THE COURT: No, but as to the 12 six month targets, that's really what I 13 want to focus on. It has been pretty 14 well vetted? 15 MR. PETERSON: I can't give you 16 the answer to that, Your Honor. 17 haven't asked that question to my 18 clients. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 I think what --MR. PETERSON: 21 there is a -- there's some additional 22 problems that need to be pointed out 23 though. In addition to the, sort of, 24 concrete and unrebutted experience that 25 the unions have had with these kind of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

176

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd programs, let's look at what, in fact, these executives have been paid. And, we have some exhibits that are in the record that are in this, sort of, separate binder, that suggest that some of the arguments that company's made maybe hasn't emphasized quite so much today, but it's all over its motion papers, which is that their executives are running for the doors because pay is so uncompetitive, and their current pay structure is too low. People want to leave because they can make more money elsewhere. What those numbers don't include is substantial amounts of money that were actually paid to these executives in 2004. And, in fact, if you look at the documents that were presented to us in discovery, you will see that the executives were paid lots more money in 2005, the failure year, if you will, and then they were in 2004. So the factual predicate that somehow these executives had been hurting for a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

177

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd long time, and they have to have their opportunities expanded, might some good in the abstract. But, it isn't factually accurate. There were substantial wage increases across the executive ranks, across the ranks of the executives who have been identified as targets of this AIP. For example, in Band G, the average increase was 25 thousand dollars a year, in January 1, in January 2005. There was a cancelled deferred compensation program which resulted in enormous payments. Hundreds of thousands of dollars of payments in many cases. There were 24 executives who got more than 100,000 dollars each. Four got more than 500,000. One of them got a million seven. Now, canceling a deferred compensation plan means that, that plan is not there, but in a bankruptcy context --THE COURT: Is that a stock plan? MR. PETERSON: I'm sorry.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

THE COURT: Was that a stock

24

25

1

2

plan?

MR. PETERSON: No, that was not a stock plan, as far as I understand it. The stock component of their compensation is, still shows up on the sheets as income to them. I think we would all concede that the actual value of Delphi stock is something that's sort of up for grabs at this point. But a def -- in bankruptcy, of course, deferred compensation plans, are not bested plans, they're not a risk or regulated plans. Frequently, any claims under those plans disappear or become general in secured claims. So, that was a lot of money that these executives received. So, the concept that somehow there's a rush for the gates, or these executives are going to be -- have low morale, because of these unconscionably low compensation schedules is simply belied by the record. I want to also suggest that a central feature of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

179

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd pleadings mentioned, or touched upon by debtors in their oral argument today, is that you've got underpaid executives and overpaid workers. That is simply not true. And the fact that this issue continues to be framed in that way, I think, continues to set the wrong tone, to pick up Ms. Ceccotti's argument in this case. It is when we get into the proper forum for addressing this alleged -- allegedly over paid workforce. We think the evidence will convince Your Honor, that that's a vast overstatement. We are still looking for that guy who makes 65 dollars an hour to cut the grass. We haven't found him yet. I don't think he exists.

THE COURT: Well, leave that aside. Where is the evidence that the executive are not underpaid on today's record?

MR. PETERSON: I think the evidence that they received substantial money that wasn't presented to the court

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

180

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd in the analysis is evidence of that or is determined to the know? I do think that it's true, it's fair to say that our central argument is not a market argument, is not a competitiveness argument. It's not an argument that at no point in the future should these executive salaries be looked at, and considered in the context of an overall plan for rehabilitating this company. think our central argument is that, if the central goal of this case is to extract large wage and benefit concessions, there's no reason to light this fire, at this time. This is simply not the appropriate approach. Because, like it or not, the members get to vote on changes in their contracts. this is not something that's stirred up by the unions, this is something the unions -- that member bring to the unions and we've seen in case after case after case. And, at this point in time, these kinds of payments to executives,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

181

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd in this context, when there simply isn't any sense of where we're going as a company, where these workers paychecks will come from in the future, and what they're going to be. This is not a time, as I say, to light that fire. And, I think that's really the central argument we're making. And, again, we're not saying never. We're just saying that this too much at this stage in the context of the 1113 motions, and the enormous set of proposals. The enormous cuts proposed by these debtors in this case, that the debtors have not backed off from, have suggested that they're interested in continuing to pursue rejection of the collective bargaining agreements on a defined timetable. I mean, that horse is out of the barn. It's marching down the path. In that context, we suggest that this is not an appropriate time to grant the 20 million dollar AIP. THE COURT: Okay.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

MR. PETERSON: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FOX: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Edward Fox from Kirkpatrick and Lockhart on behalf of Wilmington Trust Company, as indentured trustee for the company's senior debt and senior notes and debentures. Your Honor, I'll be very brief. Wilmington Trust shares the view of the committee, as expressed by Mr. Rosenberg. But, I would take a minute to just put it in a little bit of perspective. If you recall, we were here on November 29th, on the debtors supplier agreement assumption procedures motion. And, at that point, the debtor asked for permission to pay suppliers if need be, portions of their prepetitioned claim as part of the assumption of their contracts going forward, out of concern that if the vendors failed to supply the company going forward, failed to -- or refused to do business with the company going forward, that it could cause substantial

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd disruptions in the supply chain and that it could cause the debtor damages of, I believe it was as much as 10 million dollars a day, if they failed to supply their customers as they were required to do. It seems to us that the hourly employees, who are as much a part of the supply chain as any of the vendors, and if they fail to show up one day for work because they are standing outside the gates picketing, rather than having ratified an agreement, that the magnitude of harm would be similar there, as would be if the vendors failed to supply. We are guided by the testimony and the evidence that we've seen from the unions, with respect to their concerns, not about negotiations, but about ratification. And, that's where our concern is. When we balance the potential harms that could occur, one verses the other, of being -- them being unable to ratify an agreement verses the harm that might come, or the

516-608-2400

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

184

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd benefit that might be gained from having the attitude that this point in time, we end up coming down on the side of waiting, at least until a little later in the process, rather than doing this a week before the 1113 process begins.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FOX: Thank you.

MR. BECKWORTH: Your Honor, Brad Beckworth again, on behalf of the Oklahoma Teacher's Retirement System, Mississippi Public Employees Retirement System, Refesa and ABP. Your Honor, we are mindful and indeed very respectful of the importance of the issues that are at stake today. And, I want just to make clear to the court, that it is not our purpose in objecting to object to the fact that there is an AIP or KECP proposed. It's not our objection that we should never have one for Delphi. don't take a stake in that at all. That's for other folks. What we have done, Your Honor, and what I think the

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd record shows today, is show that there are some significant aspects in where the proposed plan falls short of what needs to be done. And, one of the first things I would like to turn to Your Honor, is the court's decision, Judge Gonzales' decision in re Enron, the bankruptcy case that was pending here in the Southern District. In the debtor's response that was filed a day or two ago to all -- collective response to all of the objections, in paragraphs 91 and 92, the debtor cited Enron two decisions by Judge Gonzales in reference to their prophylactic measures. I read that opinion, and I asked some questions regarding it, at the very beginning of my cross examination of Mr. Webber, and I find it instructive. In that case, Judge Gonzales refused to allow participation in the program that was at issue there in several very important regards that we don't have here. First, Judge Gonzales did not allow anyone to

VERITEXT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

186

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd participate in the proposed plan, if they were a named defendant in the pending securities litigation brought by the California pension funds, against Second, The Court did now allow participation by anyone who had been found to be a wrongful actor by Enron's in-house investigative committee, or special investigative committee that was used there to determine what was going Third, Judge Gonzales did not allow on. anyone to participate if the independent examiner that was used in Enron, found that anyone had acted with dishonesty. And, fourth, and particularly relevant here is, The Court did not allow participation or, in fact, required forfeiture, if anyone who was a participant under that program, was ultimately found to have acted with dishonesty against the best interest of the company. And that was the standard by any court of competent jurisdiction, and that includes, I believe Judge

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

187

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd Harmon's court is dealing with the civil litigation that's going on, in that case, down in Houston and the Southern District of Texas. I asked Mr. Webber if the current program being proposed, or what we are dealing with today, has any of those provisions in it. And, the answer was, no, they don't. Your Honor, at a minimum, if Delphi is going to rely upon that case for any precedent, we believe that any program that is at stake here should have requirements such as those. That's the kind of thing that we've objected to, that it didn't have those safeguards. Now, we have a great deal of respect for the fact, that for whatever reason, whether it was in December or after Your Honor's comments, whenever it occurred, that the debtor and the creditor's committee have gotten together and discussed prophylactic measures. We think that is a step in the right direction and we applaud that. We do find, though, that there are some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

188

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd things that we believe fall quite short. And, I have to go back to one thing before we get to that. First, we have shown, I think, in the record, that the compensation committee that was in charge with drawing up and negotiating the various aspects of this program, we don't think they did an adequate investigation about the allegations that are going on with this company. fact, we believe they did no investigation at all. Now, Your Honor, there's been a lot said about the allegations that we have raised in our securities litigation, our objection here. But, I would like to turn this court's attention, that there's a whole other body of allegations that have been raised here. There's a restatement by this debtor. There's an investigation that is ongoing that has had some conclusions already by its audit committee with the help of PWC and Wilmer Cutler help, was hired by them.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

189

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd There's the SEC's investigation and, I think it was Wednesday, when we were in court with Judge Rosen in Michigan; the U.S. Attorney was there with several former employees from Delphi being represented by criminal defense counsel. And, the court met in chambers with them about that, we don't know what all was The point is, there are a lot of investigations going on. We believe, especially with respect to the audit committee's finding that the debtor has available to it, a wide body of information upon which it can determine whether certain people, that are currently eligible to participate in this program, shouldn't be there. fact, Your Honor, we think that they have, guite likely, in the findings of the audit committee, we don't know what standard they used, but we believe that there may be findings in the audit committee's reports, regarding a culpability standard about people that,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

190

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd using the standards we talked about with Enron and some of the questions that we asked that they regard in their clawback procedures, people that under that standard shouldn't be there. We have looked at one of the statements that Delphi's made in some of it's papers that says, with respect to complaints about wrongdoing, the worse thing that we can do is ignore them, do nothing and allow them to grow worse. I think that comes from their code of conduct. Your Honor, we submit to the court that, that is precisely what the debtor has done with respect to the compensation committee's view and review of the allegations that have been raised against eligible KECP participants. Now, I won't go into the names or any identities or even numbers, but I think the records will show that there are current, in fact, quite a few current eligible KECP participants, who have been a party to these investigations.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd I'm not saying they're targets, I'm not saying they've been accused of any wrongdoing. But, they have been involved. But the compensation committee, to our knowledge, based on the record that we've submitted here today, have never looked at what was said, what was known about those folks. Now, building on that, with respect to the prophylactic measures, Your Honor, we submit to the court that the clawback provisions that are in place, is a good starting point. The standard in place is, did they act -- did an individual act -- fail to act in good faith, and against the best interest of the company. But, what I asked Mr. Webber, and what Mr. Angelovich asked Mr. Opie is, what about moving that standard to the front end, as a standard for escrow. I think that is a very appropriate standard, similar to the standard used by Judge Gonzales, and there's absolutely no reason why this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

192 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 compensation committee cannot make that 3 evaluation with at least with respect to the 17 people that we named in our 4 5 objection. The testimony during depositions, and I don't think anyone 6 7 here would disagree, that if our 8 allegations are true, they are serious 9 allegations. If that's true, is it 10 asking too much of the debtor, given 11 what's going on, to, at least, look at, 12 under that lower standard of the claw-13 back procedure, look at those employees 14 and see if they have been guilty of violating that standard. And, if so, 15 16 escrow the money. Now, a lots been said 17 18 THE COURT: Well, can I 19 interrupt you there? 20 MR. BECKWORTH: Yes, Your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Wasn't it the 23 testimony that if you employed that 24 standard, because it is a rule or 25 standard, you have to have a due process

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd hearing? So, then you'd be involved in a lot of litigation over simply putting it into escrow, whereas what's been derived as far as the escrow standard, is an objective standard that's based upon some other factor such as the SEC or an indictment or, or the employee, him or herself, taking objective step, like invoking the fifth amendment, where you don't need that type of due process and you could just say its in the escrow like that, and we're going to postpone this issue until it's decided in the future.

MR. BECKWORTH: Your Honor, I think that the question I asked of Mr. Webber with -- exact to that, was that first he said yeah, they may, I'm not sure, but they may be entitled to due process. But the ultimate issue is, this plan, whether it's approved and the scope of what is approved, you know, I'm not a bankruptcy lawyer, but I believe that's up to Your Honor. And, I believe

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd its up to Your Honor to determine whether, and to what extent, those due process safeguard -- due process safequards are there. This isn't asking to take money away from somebody that they already have. It's asking, simply, that even if they hit these targets, and they're entitled to that money, that it be held in safekeeping until a later time. I don't think that's too much to And, I, you know, I may be wrong, but I just don't see it. Now, Your Honor, with respect to the prophylactic measures, were just simply asking to change the order. But, we're also being asked by the debtor, and to some extent by the committee, to put an awful lot of trust in these folks, to go after these people. Well, I think there's inherent conflict there. We see the fight that's gone on and, you know, they have every right to fight us with what they've done, but, Your Honor, if there are ongoing federal and civil investigations

VERITEXT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

195

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd and perhaps criminal trials and SEC actions and our action, wouldn't it be somewhat against the debtor's best interest to actually go out and go through these claw-back procedures in the scope of all that's going on? Ι mean, I think it is. And, so one suggestion we have, is whether there should be some independent party involved, maybe an examiner to act with both the board and the creditors committee. I think there's been a lot made about timely here, Your Honor, and one of the things that I think I would close with is, we think the timing on these prophylactic measures are premature. Not that they shouldn't have ever been done, we wish they had been done back when they proposed this plan, but it's simply something that's, I think, they've rushed with a good motive, but a rush to put together. don't think they'd go far enough. They haven't even given time for the dust to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

196

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd settle, not with respect to our proceedings, but these very serious governmental investigations. And all that we're asking is simply to look at the people we've named and ask the compensation committee to look at the audit committee review standard that's out there, determine whether that standard comports with the standard that they have for claw-back procedures, and look at every other thing that's available to them and just make a basic determination of whether wrongdoers are being left at the company. finally, there's another problem with all of this. You've talked about the targets and whether they're a lay up and all that, the people, the way we determine based on the testimony, whether those targets have been reached, my understanding is based upon the financial reporting and accounting statements that'll be filed by the debtor. Our allegations show, or at

197 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 least suggest, that some of the people that have been charged of that very 3 4 process, who may have been involved in 5 the wrongdoing that led to the 6 restatement, are still in the same 7 position now to determine whether the statements reach a level that meet those 8 9 targets. We think that could do further 10 damage to the company. We certainly 11 don't think it's appropriate that 12 someone like that should be rewarded 13 under a program like this. Your Honor, 14 we appreciate your time. THE COURT: Please don't sit 15 16 In Enron hadn't there already been indictments? 17 18 MR. BECKWORTH: Your Honor, 19 there's two --THE COURT: And, in fact guilty 20 21 pleas? 22 MR. BECKWORTH: I do not know 23 the answer to that because there are two 24 cases cited two different versions of

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

Enron that were cited. The last one

25

198 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 that I was quoting from was February 6, 3 2003 and I'm pretty sure by that time --4 THE COURT: So, that's clearly 5 post Arthur Anderson and post the 6 indictments --7 MR. BECKWORTH: Yes, I think by that time that is true. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. And 10 then after -- wouldn't it, as a 11 practical matter, if some other court 12 found the trigger, dishonesty as you 13 quoted Enron, wouldn't that be res 14 judicata or collateral estoppel for me. 15 I mean, there it is as a practical 16 matter, why would I have to spell it 17 out? They could be in any court. 18 Because as a practical matter, that 19 would be the case. 20 You mean, if MR. BECKWORTH: 21 they found that these standards had been 22 hit, that you'd have to rely upon that? 23 THE COURT: Yeah. 24 MR. BECKWORTH: Oh, I don't 25 disagree with that at all.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd

THE COURT: And -- okay.

MR. BECKWORTH: But I would have two comments with that. One is, you are talking about a standard that, let's just take for instance, a criminal standard, that may be higher, and in fact, is higher than the current clawback procedures and is higher than what's in their own code of conduct about what's appropriate so --

THE COURT: Well, but I don't 
- under -- I mean, how -- what other

context would it be? I mean, it's

either going to be a criminal finding or

a finding of, you know, fraud or some

other thing in a civil action. So, what

-- I mean, this particular standard goes

beyond, generally, what any court would

be asked to find.

MR. BECKWORTH: Your Honor, there is no provision in the current escrow that deals with the securities litigation.

THE COURT: I'm not talking

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd about the escrow.

MR. BECKWORTH: You're just talking about the claw-back provision?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. BECKWORTH: I think the claw-back provision's fine. I don't have a problem with that at all. We're talking about the escrow because it does, you have got to assume that to get to the claw-back provisions these other things have to happen first and we're depending on government bodies with different ideas, and different resources that are available to the debtor, whether they're actually going to even go through with these proceedings. That we don't know.

THE COURT: Well, and again, as far as timing is concerned, this wouldn't get awarded on the debtor's program for six months. Your motion, the motions to dismiss in your litigation are to be filed next month and probably will be heard by then,

		201
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	won't they?	
3	MR. BECKWORTH: Your Honor,	
4	under the current standard, the motions	
5	will be filed March 15th, I don't think	
6	we're supposed to reply to those until	
7	May 6th, and then the debtor has another	
8	60 days or 30 days to respond. I can't	
9	imagine that these will be responded to	
10	until later.	
11	THE COURT: Ah, if only I were	
12	a district judge.	
13	MR. BECKWORTH: Touche. Thank	
14	you, Your Honor.	
15	THE COURT: All right. So you	
16	may not have any determination by the	
17	time the six months run.	
18	MR. BECKWORTH: I think it's	
19	highly unlikely that we would.	
20	THE COURT: Okay.	
21	MR. BECKWORTH: Thank you, Your	
22	Honor.	
23	MS. LEONHARD: Good afternoon,	
24	Your Honor, Alicia Leonhard for the	
25	United States Trustee. Your Honor, the	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

202

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd United States Trustee recognizes the value of incentive programs for executives and also their propriety and, is not categorically opposed to one for the Delphi employees. However, the U.S. Trustee does join with all the other objectors in stating that the relief is premature to grant the relief. And, I think that the reasons may be perhaps, a bit different from the other people. I have a little broader picture is that the benefits of waiting and revisiting the issue in six months, really outweigh the detriment to the executives. didn't see any evidence that any of these executives are going to flee in the next six months because they didn't get their incentive, but I t certainly overcomes a huge public relations problem that the debtor has, and a huge public perception problem of unfairness. And, in addition, the parties can, we can see how the debtor's business plan is operating, if the targets are proper

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

Pg 203 of 297 203 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 and I believe that there will be no harm 3 to anybody, so --

> THE COURT: I'm going to ask you the same thing --

> > MS. LEONHARD: Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I asked Ms.

Ceccotti. But, aren't you always going to have that public perception problem? I mean, if you reached an agreement with the unions and, you know, then as part of the confirmation, you retroactively approved this plan, and other aspects of a plan, aren't people going to say, well, you know, they snuck one by us?

MS. LEONHARD: No, I don't believe so, Your Honor. I think, you know, because I believe that if, you know, once you -- if you're emerging from bankruptcy with a plan, with an exit strategy, theoretically in good financial condition, and ready to move forward lean and mean, I don't think anybody's going to object to proper compensation for the executives. And,

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd so I think, you know, I understand your comment, but I believe that it will not be taken that way. So with that, the US Trustee would request that Court defer this matter and --

THE COURT: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LEONHARD: -- for six months or so. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, first I want to just correct something that may be in the record in Mr. Kennedy's remarks. He was talking about Mr. Budgnovich's declaration at paragraph I believe it was Mr. Kennedy, that 32. raised the issue about having positive Ebeda performance for the six month period, but a negative ebadar ag and he sort of said that meant that already we're saying that our performances are going to be better than the plan. That's not what paragraph 32 says. paragraph 32 says, is that at the corporate level the target EBITDAR ug

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd with the u and the g taken out, is derived from the debtor's business plan, as approved by the board of directors and it's a negative 80 million. goes on to say, that the company expects that its EBITDAR without the ug taken out, will be positive for the six month period. They're two different measures and the business plan, as it turns out, has positive EBITDAR in the six month plan, but when you remove, as we agreed to do in our negotiations with the creditor's committee, the effect of anything in the plan, related to General Motors or union activity, it caused it to be a negative 80 million, that it was a number that was derived. So, I don't want the court to have the impression that the way this was constructed that we set the number at negative 80 million but the plan already had a bunch of money in it that suggests that the company is going to perform. That's not the case at all. Addressing first the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

206

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd lead plaintiff's comments on prophylactic provisions, I was active in the Enron case. I was special counsel to the debtor's. We were involved with all the congressional investigations. was very aware of what Judge Gonzales ruled and didn't rule on those matters. Enron was in a very different place at the time these programs were considered. And, while it is true, on the one hand, that not every provision that was in the final arrangements with Judge Gonzales in that order, I remiss. It's also true that most of the prophylactic provisions that are in this order, that we've negotiated on, or proposed order that we've negotiated on and agreed to with the creditor's committee, were not in that program. And, I think -- and the other piece of it that I think reflects something that may not, just to be clear, there was a suggestion somehow in argument that the claw-back mechanism is only available if there wasn't an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

207

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd That, you know, escrow -- the escrow. escrow had to trigger something in order for there to be a claw-back or forfeiture, and that's not the case. There are two independent measures. One is, what are the facts objectively, Your Honor, identified those that would have had to occur objectively that would cause somebody to go into escrow? And it may not matter to anybody else, it would certainly matter to the executive whose pay is being deposited an account and they're not getting it. What are the objective standards to do that early on? And, by the way, it's not just that the third party standards, its if the committee decides there's a claim or the debtor's decide there's a claim. And, there's a check and balance there, and we're involved as co-fiduciaries, in looking at various matters. That's what creates the escrow. What would cause a forfeiture of that escrow, or a clawback of anything paid that was not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

208

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd escrowed, are the procedures that even the lead plaintiffs have conceded seem to reasonable to them. And, Your Honor, we -- and even on that there's a check and balance because, in addition to the due process given to the employee involved, the creditors committee gets to review the record. If they think, somehow, we have not done our job and made the appropriate determination, we agreed that we would come to you for a ennoble review, not just your overseeing what we did, but you'd have to have a chance to take a fresh look at that record. We hope to never get to your, Your Honor, because we think the cofiduciaries can address these issues on our own, but we wanted to have a very transparent check and balance in the system.

THE COURT: Is there a problem with providing, what I think Mr. Opie said, would actually happen in practice, that if the audit committee, which of

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd course he serves on as well as the compensation committee, determined that the employee, in its view, fit within the claw-back definition, that it would -- it would so inform the compensation committee?

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I had

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I had

-- we certainly can add that to the

order. The reality is, the order

already says, if the debtor and that's

everybody --

THE COURT: I know, but there's a perception, I think, that the one doesn't talk to the other, even though, of course, Mr. Opie's on both of them.

MR. BUTER: We can certainly fix that perception, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BUTLER: The other piece and I'll try to be relatively brief here, except I do want to just respond to the objections that were made. First, there are a lot of processes that were going on here, and labor

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd transformation, the 1113 process is one of the most important things that are involved in the case. But, its one of three or four primary objectives that we put in place here. And, as we're thinking about this program --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, I want to interrupt you because I want to make sure -- and you're not a criminal lawyer. By agreeing to that, does that create any issues in complying with SEC investigations and the like? I would think it would not, but, I just would want to make sure that it does not, somehow blow the lid on -

THE COURT: -- confidentiality issues that the government may have imposed on the audit committee or vice versa.

MR. BUTLER: I don't think --

MR. BUTLER: I'll check with the audit committee, and the audit committee is not going to be releasing information from the government. I

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd mean, that's a different -- and we won't breech that wall, Your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. I'm sorry. I'm sorry to interrupt you.

MR. BUTLER: No, I was -- with respect to the issue of the labor transformation matters here, that is one of the three or four primary objectives of this case, which is to address and resolve those issues, hopefully, like all of us want to do on, if we can, a consensual basis. But in considering this program, and considering whether Your Honor, will ratify -- essentially, ratify our business judgment, the debtor's business judgment, in wanting to restore a piece of that pie, that was taken away, the question is, in doing that and trying to deal with the 1113 is to understand that these are on -- in some respects, separate tracks, and also to understand that the labor transformation effects, and it's important 46,000 people in this country

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 that are in the domestic spheres, and oh, by the way, its not just the 34,000 3 4 folks who are represented and by the unions. There is going to be 5 transformation of the salaried workforce 6 7 in the domestic, in the United States, 8 as well. As we implement SGNA 9 reductions and those folks -- some of 10 those folks go away. This is not just -11 - the burden is not just on labor. But, 12 I want to simply point out, without 13 making any predictions, and without 14 anything -- saying one thing or another 15 -- the discussions between, that have been publicly reported between the 16 17 company and its unions and General 18 Motors are active and vibrant and I'm 19 not going to characterize them. 20 also point out on the record here, particularly with the media that are in 21 22 the room here today, that the scheduling 23 order that is docketed in this case, 24 that sets a February 17th filing 25 deadline, contains within it in the last

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

213

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd paragraph of that order, a statement that if the debtor determines its necessary, or appropriate to adjourn the February 17th date, that that occurs by notifying Your Honor's chambers and by notifying the international unions and the creditors committee. And the reality is, there are board of director's meetings scheduled next week in Troy, Michigan. There's a creditors committee meeting scheduled next Thursday, here in New York, at which these matters are going to be discussed at great length. And the debtor's will do what is the appropriate thing, as a fiduciary, on next Friday. They will either file something, or they will seek another date, if that's what makes sense in this case. All right. And that -while everyone wants to tie these issues directly together, and we'll have debated with Mr. Rosenberg about why these cases are closer in proximity, the fact of the matter is, Your Honor's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

214

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd already observed it. There is no good time in a Chapter 11 case of this type to talk about executive compensation. And, oh by the way, had anyone thinks that it's okay once you have a deal, the court only has to take some judicial notice of what went on in United Airlines, recently, after they had all the deals, and the executive compensation program was put at the end and the consternation it caused among all the unions, among the creditors committee and all the litigation that went on after all the other deals had been given, there was never a good time to deal with this. But we made a business judgment as a company, after consulting with the creditors committee, trying to figure out what made sense, what's in the best interest of the estate, we decided to put the bulk of these issues, mostly around the longterm incentive programs, and the emergent things, off to another day.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

215

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd Like everyone wants, let's put it off -let's put if off six months. What we concluded, and the record is clear on this, Mr. Opie is the lead director of this company, came and testified to it in his deposition, in his declarations and on the stand, attest to the fact that the board, the compensation committee, all examined and though about all these factors and issues. And, on balance concluded that it was in the best interest of the estate, as the debtors, to go forward with this program of a limited restoration of part of the pie that does not now, exist. The -- in reaching that --I'm sorry, I THE COURT: didn't, you know, it's been a few hours, but, where in his deposition does he talk about the effect of this on the negotiations with the union? MR. BUTLER: One moment, Your Honor, I'll get you the --THE COURT: I'm sorry. His

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd affidavit, not his deposition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, its discussed in his testimony on paragraph 28 of the declaration on page 15. And, they're also exhibit -- you also have an exhibit 11, Your Honor, at exhibit 11, you have the board minutes for the February 1st meeting at which there is also further reference to it. Where it said in the board minutes, on page 2 of the board minutes, there's a whole discussion regarding the -- that Mr. Miller had led, regarding the discussions with the UCC regarding the proposed six month plan. I discussed the terms of the plan. He discussed -indicated that the rest of the program was putting off to a later date. reviewed with the directors, the issues raised with the UCC and management. He noted about the declaration need be filed at February 10th. He talked about trying to resolve the issues with the UCC, talked about what the alternatives

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

217

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd were, talked about deferring all actions regarding the incentive plan until the corporation finished its discussion with its labor unions. There were much --"there was much discussion on the pros and cons of each approach and on the inner relationship between this decision and the status of the ongoing decisions with the labor unions in General Motors. Mr. Opie suggested that the directors revisit this topic in executive session after management finished its update," I was the only person to attend that executive session, other than the directors, and you can see the notation at the bottom of exhibit 11, about the report I made back to the corporate secretary regarding those discussions in which there was unanimous consensus of the independent directors, after they completed further deliberation. That it was in the best interest of the debtors to go forward with this program on this limited basis that we've discussed.

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd Your Honor, what we have here, is a difference of opinion, not -- it's not on the evidentiary record. I mean, the evidentiary record, I think, is overwhelming as to the -- and frankly not controverted -- as to the form of the program, the substance of the program, the targets, and oh, by the way, as the record, the evidentiary record indicates, the targets were all negotiated with Mr. Rosenberg, with the creditors committee and with Pearl Meyer, their compensation consultant. All the curves that are in the exhibits, all the targets, how it all works, the ranges, the amounts, whether the 80 million was reasonable, the obadar ug targets, those were all reviewed and approved by the comp committee, excuse me, by the Miss Pearl Meyer, the creditors committee's compensation consultant, and ultimately form the basis of the agreement between the committee and the company on that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

219

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd subject. So, there is nothing in this record, and there was no evidence to the contrary, put in this record, to suggest anything about that is -- that there's improper, inappropriate, unreasonable, frankly, anything about the form, the substance of this program. Nor, has there been any evidence in the record to dispute the debtor's position, which is the fact that these programs, the opportunities were available on a prepetitioned basis. One of the union respondents made a point of saying, well its not the opportunities, it didn't pay out some years. It may not pay out this year. But there was, in fact, an annual incentive plan adopted by the board of directors through its comp committee in each of the years it set standards. Sometimes it paid out, sometimes it didn't. All right. This program, after its been bedded with the creditors committee has been adopted for the first six months of this year, and as the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

220

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd evidence indicates in the declarations, the agreement was to do it for only six months, because everyone recognizes this is such a dynamic case, that we are better off to go for just these six months and then come back and recalibrate and see what makes sense going forward in the cases. But, on balance, what we have here is, on the one hand, the evidentiary record, and on the other hand, a difference of opinion and an effort to substitute the business judgment and opinion of everyone else, other than the debtors. Even though there's no evidence in opposite to the targets and the structure of the program. The other thing, just a couple of other comments, I was thinking about this, and I recognize, I have dealt with most of the lawyers on this side of the room for many, many years, and many, many cases involving labor transformation. All right. And, I recognize how difficult these cases are

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

221

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd and how much work we all have to do. also recognize, Your Honor, that we all have a common responsibility to try to make sure our clients deal with the facts and address the facts that are the facts. And, I hope, in this record today, because the record is so uncontroverted, and it is so clear what this is, and what it is not, that the leadership of the union can explain the facts in the evidentiary record that they can -- they can do things, and how they describe this, that caused this not to be the irritant, stumbling block, and lighting of fire. There's no question in my mind, that if the leadership of these unions wants to try to light a fire, they can light a fire. But, that's a conscious decision they make as a part labor strategy. They can also take the position in reporting on their web sites to the their members, if Your Honor, approves this program based on the record, and under O'Rian affirms out

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

222

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd business judgment, then they also can report that this was carefully debated. It was carved back by the creditors committee who has oversight. There was no objection to the actual program itself and very limited relief was given today and the rest was put off until later in the year. It's all a matter of how people want to do things, and to suggest that we should prejudice the debtor's reorganization and our -- the way in which we are addressing the shortfall here, which is uncontroverted in the record, based on somebody lighting a fire, I would just point out that the union leadership themselves, have some shared responsibility in that I also think it's important, Your Honor, to reduce the abstract to the reality, and I agree with Mr. Scotty, that its important to be pragmatic here. Who are these 466 executives? And what are they responsible for doing? Well, we know, and the evidence is

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd uncontroverted, that they're not just managing a workforce of 46,000, but a workforce of 185,000. They're not just managing what's going on in the United States, but they are managing work on six continents. And, as they go through and do that work, they're doing it in key areas to make the company reorganizable. Just take two, for example, and then I will stop on this point, GSM the supply chain, managing the continuity of this supply chain, as we've said, and Your Honor's recognized in other matters, is key to our survival. And, I recognize that if the labor -- if labor chooses to interrupt the supply chain -- that would be a problem for us. There's also a contract that prevents that, at the moment. And, we would expect that if people aren't trying to make this something it isn't that this won't happen. But the supply chain, the amount of work and effort across six continents, it has to go on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

224

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd by the global source management group, by the GSM group, in order to be able to supply-- rather management group, to be able to make that supply chain uninterrupted. All right, that is an extraordinary effort. And it is creating real value and it has nothing to do with labor transformation. to do with another huge important aspect There's another whole of this case. organization that manages the customer business in this thing. We are -- we are in the middle of the supply chain ourselves. We have suppliers, that's one half, we have to deliver the OEM's to customers all over the world. Managing those customers, helping those customers understand, not General Motors, but everybody else were doing -working with. Having them understand why they should give us awards in 2009 and '10, and '11 and '12 and having those customers happy and working those relationships, and that takes senior

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd That takes a tremendous amount talent. of energy and effort. That is something that has to go on too. Without the supply chain, without customers, we don't have a reorganizable business either. And, every day when they wake up, these executives have to go and deal with those issues too. And, you know, all we've tried to do here is put in what the -- is uncontrovertable testimony that this is an ordinary plus program, involved in, refer to legal standard now, I'm just talking about ordinary course and sense, all the other companies have it, all right. And, this is a program that is in chime, not to quarantee bonuses, not to stay for pay, but, in fact, to incentivize. And, the last comment on the union issue, Your Honor, in response here, there was a comment here that Your Honor defers this because the unions must be fully engaged. And, implicit that suggestion was, that, you know, we won't be fully

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd engaged. We won't allow ourselves to be fully engaged. We won't exercise leadership to be fully engaged if the court determines on this uncontroverted record, that this program is reasonable. And, that's -- that -- we need to have more leadership in the unions too. We all need to --

THE COURT: Well, I don't know if it was in that context. I think, if I recall in the reference, it was to the fact that the union hasn't really vetted the target.

MR. BUTLER: Now, that was USW.

This was the comments from the UAW, Your

Honor. United Steel Workers said they

hadn't vetted the target. That was in

their comment. I think -- I think, Ms.

Ceccatti actually said the target wasn't

the important issue here, they want

focus on the targets.

THE COURT: Well, but according to the UAW, I admit, but what about Mr. Grandstaff's point, which is that there

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 1 2 is a tremendous amount of understandable 3 uncertainty on the union members' part, 4 as to what's going to happen with their jobs, including the potential that it 5 all unravels. In light of that 6 7 uncertainty, where is the harm, given 8 the importance of resolving that issue, 9 and the undoubted flack you're going to 10 get if I grant this motion, where is the 11 harm in my, for example, finding that 12 this program is reasonable and fair and 13 necessary to make the debtor 14 competitive, but that its actual 15 implementation should be deferred until a reasonable time to conclude the 16 17 negotiations has transpired? Which 18 would probably be well within the six 19 months. 20 MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, I'm 21 not sure, in the last part, that's 22 correct. That we'll have a plan and 23 we'll be --24 I'm not talking THE COURT: 25 about a plan. I'm saying a reasonable

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd time for the parties to have made -- given it their best shot.

MR. BUTLER: Yeah, well, Your
Honor there's two points in that, I
think. One is what you're really doing
is going back and telling the executives
that there's -- that it's, you know.
The court actually finds, based on all
the evidence and all this discovery and
all the testimony that this is
reasonable, it's appropriate, it's
necessary but the court's not going to
give it to you. Even though that's a
reasonable -- and that

THE COURT: I'm not going to give it to you now.

MR. BUTLER: But what's -- how does an executive have any belief -- you know, look the executives get it. They understand that executive compensation in bankruptcy cases is a -- you know, is a socially unacceptable kind of issue, and that everybody is going to be unhappy about it except the executives,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

229

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd all right. And, so to say, you've actually won the case, debtor, nobody challenged the case or the challenges, you've overcome them by, you know, a preponderance of the evidence, all right, but you can't implement it under (indiscernible). You can't implement it right now, how does an employee think they're ever going to get it. I mean, you're basically saying to the employees, and to me that's almost the worst of all possible worlds. You're telling the executives that this program is a reasonable program, that what we've been saying to them --

THE COURT: Except for one fact, which is that the aspect of this restructuring, that from day one, in fact the first ten paragraphs of the motion also highlights this, is your dealing with the labor costs.

MR. BUTLER: Right.

THE COURT: And so, that aspect of it, including enabling those labor

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd
costs to be dealt with in a way that is
fair and efficient, means that this has
to be deferred.

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, what
that says, that sounds great on one
sense, but what it really says is, we're
going to hold the restoration of these

getting what they want.

benefits hostage to somebody else

THE COURT: Not necessarily.

MR. BUTLER: Well --

THE COURT: It just says that you have a fair chance of going through it.

MR. BUTLER: Well, Your Honor, when you take a step back, this is, as you (indiscernible) an Orien pictures summary proceeding to evaluate our business judgment. And, there's evidence on this in the record.

THE COURT: Well, I know, but you're saying to me, ignore the business judgment about doing it now.

MR. BUTLER: No, I'm not saying

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd ignore the business judgment. They are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Well, I understand.

MR. BUTLER: I'm saying --

THE COURT: But, I'm saying that they have some real force to their argument.

MR. BUTLER: I'm saying, Your Honor, that we didn't suggest that these calls aren't difficult situations. didn't suggest that we haven't listened to what the unions have said, quite to the contrary. What the board of directors minutes say that are in evidence, what the declarations say that are in evidence, by which none of the objectors challenged our evidence on this point. What it said was, that our board of directors took all these considerations in, pro and con, these are the independent directors that have no stake, no financial stake in this program, took all these considerations in, pro and con, they took them into account, they balanced them. They

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd weighed them in terms of trying to make sure that we could do all the things we need to do for the global business, and they came to a conclusion that on balance, we should seek approval of this limited program and put everything else That is the business judgment. off. Nobody's suggesting that there isn't a reasonable position in the unions. of the discussions we have between the company and the union, there's a scintilla of reasonableness and truth in what everybody says. And I say a scintilla, because different of us are going to say I got more reasonable this, or you got more reasonable, you know, there's all these positions have within them some reason, but at the end of the day, on this record, in this proceeding, the question is whether the debtors have exercised reasonable business judgment in weighing all these things. We didn't miss them. We didn't ignore it. We evaluated it, and at the end of the day,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd concluded that this problem of having our 466 executives have half of what they have had historically, this problem is unacceptable. We've lived it for the first four months of the case, we don't believe we can live it through the next six months of the case without having purchased the estate. Beyond that, you want to talk about fair and equitable, the only people who've taken cuts so far, have been the senior executives.

THE COURT: And again, you say,
"so far", but it's patently obvious that
you're going to ask people to take cuts
of, you know, 50 percent.

MR. BUTLER: Right. And the way this has been structured with the creditors committee --

THE COURT: Or more, you know, you've actually asked for more. So --

MR. BUTLER: And, Your Honor, its also the way this has been structured, the creditors committee and the next six month program they'll be

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

234

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd back before the court on too. Right? Everyone, normally in these cases you get decent programs put in place, and you're able to go through the case with them. We're back here this summer to deal with this program again. question is, whether or not, after having not been able to implement this program in the fourth quarter of 2005, whether or not, now that we've reached agreement on the substance and form of the program, with the creditors committee and there are no, there's nothing in the evidence that controverts the appropriateness of this program, whether or not we're able to deliver an incentive opportunity to our executives or not.

THE COURT: Except, how are you going to look them in the eye, next week and say I have a chance to get a \$500,00 bonus, and by the way, I'm asking you for a 50 percent reduction in pay?

MR. BUTLER: Your Honor,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

235

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd ultimately in all these things, we look at the entire compensation structure each people have, and you work through those issues. The real -- I mean, that's the reality. I mean, otherwise you basically saying to the court -- saying to people that in a case where there's going to be --

THE COURT: It hasn't really been -- I guess that the point I'm trying to make, is that you've worked through half of the issue, and I think you've worked through it reasonably. And, I'm prepared to find that. you have worked through it reasonably. But, I'm not so sure, and there's -except for the banks, and, of course, you know, I don't really encourage people to stand up and say "we support this", because I think that's a redundancy and you did a good enough job as it is, but no one else is supporting this motion in this case. I mean, the secured banks withdrew their objection,

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

236

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd but everyone seems to think that the mere fact of the other half of the equation, which is the big half, which is dealing with the union costs is yet to come. And, they have -- they have a point. It's very -- as you said, it's very difficult to ask people to make those types of concessions, literally when this has happened. Now, at the same time, I think, based on this record, the executives are entitled to it. Which is why I'm prepared to make a finding. But, it's just -- it sets up -- it sets up a very odd dynamic. MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, its hard, one of the more difficult jobs in this room, to a certain extent, other than Your Honor's today, is mine. Because when you stand up here, I'm advocating what I think the law and the facts and the court --THE COURT: I understand.

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

unpopular position, but it doesn't mean

MR. BUTLER:

It's a clearly

		237
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	that this company, in order to fulfill	
3	it's fiduciary responsibilities,	
4	shouldn't address this issue. That's	
5	the only point, Your Honor.	
6	THE COURT: No, I agree with	
7	that. It's really a timing point.	
8	MR. BUTLER: Right. And,	
9	ultimately, ultimately through a process	
10	that's been well documented and there's	
11	clear evidence and uncontroverted	
12	evidence in the record about what that	
13	process has been, the question is	
14	whether Your Honor's prepared under	
15	Orion Pictures, to overrule that	
16	business judgment.	
17	THE COURT: Okay.	
18	MR. BUTLER: Thank you, Your	
19	Honor.	
20	THE COURT: All right, well I	
21	better go think about that for a few	
22	minutes.	
23	(Recess)	
24	THE COURT: I have, in front of	
25	me the debtors' amended motion for	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

212-267-6868

238

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd approval of what's referred to as an annual incentive plan, although, as it's clear from the motion itself, it's really a semi-annual incentive plan. Ιt would be in effect for the period January 1 of this year, through June 30th of this year. And then, although it is fair to say that there may be some small "p" precedential value at that point, to this plan, it would be reopened for negotiation and further development in the light of the debtors' case and projections and business plan at that time. The motion as originally filed had an annual incentive plan as an element of a much more involved and expensive, potentially, executive compensation arrangement. The other portions of that motion are being adjourned, or have been adjourned periodically, and they are now scheduled to be heard sometime in July of this year. And, as Mr. Rosenberg pointed out at the beginning of the hearing, my

516-608-2400

VERITEXT

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

239

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd ruling this evening pertains just to the "annual" incentive plan that is before me at this time, and just in reference to the facts as developed in this hearing, which is appropriate, because as the parties point out in their papers, which very clearly lay out the standard for the court's consideration of a plan like this, I should review this plan, the "annual" incentive plan in the light of the particular facts and circumstances that pertain today with respect to the debtors' case, and of course, as they relate to its executives and other constituents. That is to say, I believe that this motion should be decided under section 363(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, which applies to actions out of the ordinary course rather than under, or accepting, that it is in the ordinary course, as the debtors have urged. I believe that in light of, first, the potential size of the bonuses that would be awardable

212-267-6868 516-608-2400

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

240

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd under this plan, which targets bonuses in the aggregate of roughly 20 million dollars, and can go up to roughly 38 million dollars, if the debtors substantially exceed the target performance, as well as in the light of the effect that the implementation of this bonus program may have on the debtors' chapter 11 case, generally, and, in more particular, on the negotiations that the debtors are in the midst of with their unions, I think that this is more than a simple human resources matter. That is not to say, however, that this is a particularly extraordinary matter. It is -- it has been an element of executive compensation generally in the marketplace and, in particular, until the period immediately preceding the debtors' chapter 11 filing, an element of the executive compensation for these particular executives. Before the filing, in light of the fact that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

241

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd debtors' circumstances had clearly changed, it was recognized by the board, and the compensation committee of the board, that the debtors' compensation scheme for executives had gotten out of kilter. The pre-bankruptcy bonus program didn't particularly work, nor did the non-cash portion of the executive compensation program, and, therefore, they had eliminated those portions prior to the filing. At the same time, they sought to implement revisions, basically, at the very start of the case. The standard then, that I should employ is an examination, first, as to whether this program is in any way tainted by self-dealing or is, instead, the product of the advice of independent advisors and the ultimate decisionmaking by an independent board. And then, secondly, whether it has been appropriately vetted with the other constituents in the case, so that they can test, also, whether it's tainted by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

242

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd any form of insider self-dealing. Secondly, if I find that it is proposed on a fair and arm's length basis, and informed by independent judgment, I need to review it in the light of whether it makes good business In that regard, although I'm the sense. ultimate arbiter of that decision, the courts have been clear that I can, in large measure, rely upon the business judgment of the debtor's board itself, particularly if, after adequate notice and opportunity to test the underpinnings of the proposal, there has not been sufficient evidence to indicate that that business judgment is questionable. The official creditors' committee, which, Mr. Rosenberg points out, is properly representative of the various constituencies in this very large case, spent a great deal of time reviewing the originally proposed annual incentive plan and negotiating its terms, and it has done so not only with

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd the expertise of its counsel and its members, but also its own human resources/executive compensation consultant, and it has concluded that it agrees with the debtors that, as far as the level of compensation and the targets and the measures for awarding an annual incentive for this period, that is the six month period, the plan is reasonable and satisfies its own business judgment. The evidence, and because of the various adjournments of this matter, there's been quite a long time to develop that evidence, also supports that conclusion. There was no meaningful evidence to contradict, for example, that the current arrangement, which for the post-petition period does not have any annual incentive element for compensation, is not competitive and that it, in fact, puts the debtor in the bottom quarter in respect of executive compensation with regard to its competitors. Nor was there any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

244

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd meaningful evidence to contradict the debtors' record that with this annual incentive plan, at least for the first six months of this year, the executives, when compared against all of the components of compensation available to their counterparts at the debtors' competitors, are essentially in the middle, in the 50th percentile. addition, there was no meaningful evidence to contradict the evidence offered by the debtors that the targets, the incentive targets proposed, were anything other than the normal types of incentive targets that competitors would have. That is, that they are not a layup or a slam-dunk or whatever other basketball metaphor you want to use, but, instead, reflect an opportunity and not a sure thing. And an opportunity that the executives would have to perform at a high level to achieve. This is the case notwithstanding the fact that the target for the overall

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

245

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd corporate level, which constitutes a significant part of the measuring stick, is a negative EBITDAR figure. However, as I think I noted during oral argument, in a chapter 11 case it may well be that a negative EBITDAR figure is, nevertheless, a very desirable target, given where the debtors' business started. I know, further, and I'm persuaded of this having looked again at the affidavit that that target has not been met already but that it is something that is, at this point, still an aspiration. The debtors, in reaction to the creditors' committee's points, as well as other objections, have modified the annual incentive plan in other ways, too. For example, they have backed out of the calculation on both sides of the ledger the effects of saving from, or restructuring costs related to the ongoing negotiations with, the unions They have also, more and with GM. particularized a substantial portion of

1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 the incentive formula tied to the 3 particular divisions that the executives 4 work in. And, further, they have 5 specified the additional review role to 6 be played with respect to each individual executive by his or her 7 8 superiors and the HR group. Very 9 importantly, they also have implemented, 10 in the light of the accounting 11 disclosures and other 12 events highlighted in the lead 13 plaintiff's complaint, after discussions 14 with the creditors committee, various measures to protect the estates from 15 16 paying out bonuses, which would not be 17 paid out for another six months, 18 roughly, in any event to, for want of a 19 better word, those who would be either 20 found to be or under certain 21 circumstances that contain a fairly high 22 level of proof in the allegation, 23 alleged to be, "bad actors" in various 24 ways. And those are laid out in exhibit 25 5 in the record. So, looked at on its

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd own, objectively, it is clear to me that this plan would pass muster as an appropriate form of compensation, that passes the business judgment test ultimately that I would impose upon it. Are there aspects of it that one might change? Yes, perhaps, but that's not what the business judgment test is all That's particularly the case in areas involving human resources where it really is not the court's role to micromanage compensation, planning and decision making. All of the objections, frankly, except for the lead plaintiffs' did not, when you probed, go to the bona fides of the program itself. As far as the lead plaintiffs are concerned, they would like to see different, to some extent, protective provisions in the plan. And in one respect I've agreed with them and the debtors have agreed to implement that change, which is that the audit committee, will in fact communicate, subject to any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd confidentiality restrictions that they have because of DOJ or SEC investigations, with the compensation committee, regarding whether the audit committee reasonably believes that either the -- I'm sorry, that the socalled claw-back conditions have been met in respect of a particular executive. But the bulk of the objections, all go to one point, which is that it is contended that even if this program is on its own merits reasonable and within the debtors' business judgment, it is not within the debtors' business judgment to seek it at this time, because the debtor, at this time, is in the midst of seeking very substantial wage and benefit concessions from its union and non-union employees. The objectors say that, because of this juxtaposition, there are two problems with the annual incentive plan that's proposed today. The first is that it will inevitably elicit a hostile

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd reaction which will, at least, or at a minimum serve as a serious distraction in negotiations. Secondly, they contend that, even though on its own the plan may satisfy the debtors' business judgment, and I recognize that no one has conceded that except the creditors' committee and perhaps the US Trustee, but that's what I've found, the plan isn't fair until there is more clarity in respect of what, ultimately, will be either agreed to or imposed upon the union workers, as well as the non-union There is a clear visceral workers. appeal to that argument. It is very hard to ask someone to make a substantial give-up, when you yourself have just received the right to obtain a bonus. And it, at a minimum, takes at least in practical terms, I would think, at least 10 minutes of explaining in any meeting where that issue's raised, if someone's willing to listen, why the fate of one, the bonus, should not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

250

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd really be tied to the other, and, in fact, that the request for the concession is thematically, actually related to the other, in the sense, that they're both intended to make the debtor more competitive. Nevertheless, I believe that anyone negotiating in good faith with the debtors, would ultimately have to accept that explanation. And, I think that the debtors' unions, their advisors, and the rank and file, are, first, smart enough to make the argument, the inevitable argument, and, second, smart enough also to understand the debtors' and my response. Another way to say this is, that it appears to me that if I did not approve this AIP today, I would be approving it at some point, because it is reasonable, and I don't really see a logical reason to defer that beyond the inevitable push back that the debtors would receive. But, they would receive that push back inevitably, I believe, at any time.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

251

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd it has chosen to bring it on now, and based on this record, it's entitled to it. The other objection, although it's a variation on that theme, I think has more substance to it, which is that this case is still in its early stages and it is not clear what will happen in it. is particularly not clear to the unions, and the unionized work force, what will happen to them. And it does appear to me, to create a perception of unfairness, to lock in the opportunity for a bonus in that environment. aspect of the bonus plan, in particular, seems unfair to me in that respect, and that is the aspect that gives the executives the right to a bonus, although a reduced bonus, even if the debtors perform at considerably less than the target level. While, normally, I would not pick out a provision like that, for a plan like this, I think, in this particular context, where the same business plan that is being presented to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

252

DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd the workers as the basis for asking them for concessions is being used as the basis for the bonus targets, it does not seem to me to be fair to award a bonus for performance off of, or worse than, that business plan by 30 percent in this That may be something that can context. be addressed down the road, when the debtors are further along with their negotiations, but it does seem to that, that is locking in a form of certainty beyond an opportunity, which is, I believe, the only way that a bonus plan like this can be presented with justification: to a worker who is being asked to take a substantial reduction that the executive is taking the risk, as well as potentially getting the reward for meeting the target. So, with those two changes, i.e., the change on the audit committee reporting and the elimination of the bonus opportunity for less than performance, I will approve the amended motion.

		253
1	DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd	
2	MR. BUTLER: Your Honor, we	
3	will prepare a revised draft order, and	
4	send it to chambers on Monday.	
5	THE COURT: Okay.	
6	MR. BUTLER: That concludes the	
7	matter before the court today.	
8	(Whereupon this matter was	
9	concluded.)	
10	(Time noted: 6:50 PM)	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

254 1 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 05-44481-rdd 2 3 I, Pnina Eilberg, hereby certify that 4 the foregoing is a true and correct transcription, to the best of my ability, of 5 6 the sound recorded proceedings submitted for 7 transcription in the matter of the bankruptcy 8 hearing for except, where as indicated, the Court 9 has modified its bench ruling: 10 DELPHI CORPORATION, ET. AL. 11 I further certify that I am not employed 12 by nor related to any party to this action. 13 14 In witness whereof, I hereby sign this 15 date: 16 February 19, 2006. 17 18 19 20 Pnina Eilberg 21 22 23 24 25

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

A	195:11	<b>adjourn</b> 7:19 131:10	182:3 201:23
<b>ability</b> 24:2 254:5	acted 24:15 25:18,19	213:4	afternoon's 15:17
able 11:24 29:25	26:14,23 28:7 31:6	adjourned 9:10	<b>ag</b> 204:19
51:16 99:22 103:3	31:12 41:9 75:9	131:5,8 238:21,21	aggregate 240:3
224:3,5 234:5,9,17	186:15,21	adjournment	<b>ago</b> 12:9 135:14,25
<b>ABP</b> 21:22 184:14	acting 75:16	162:15	163:4 185:11
absolutely 191:25	action 24:4 46:19	adjournments	<b>agree</b> 67:11 72:17
abstract 141:11	70:8,15 72:11 73:9	243:13	72:19 75:3 101:25
177:4 222:20	73:11 78:21 195:3	adjudicate 133:4	102:3,20 153:3
accelerated 49:11	199:17 254:12	adjustments 56:16	161:14 168:20
49:13	actions 69:3,5 72:25	administration	222:21 237:6
accept 141:22	74:4 128:6 195:3	81:18	<b>agreed</b> 7:18,24 9:4
250:10	217:2 239:20	admission 17:2	9:14 20:13 31:20
acceptable 128:5	active 206:3 212:18	19:12	72:20 94:20
132:4 145:4	activity 73:21	admit 17:6 226:24	130:20 143:22
accepting 159:8	205:16	<b>admitted</b> 16:3 19:10	205:12 206:18
239:21	actor 186:8	19:21 54:21 55:5	208:12 247:21,22
access 81:12	actors 246:23	62:10 92:4 94:23	249:13
accomplish 121:19	acts 23:21	104:16	<b>agreeing</b> 102:12,13
account 73:13 81:8	actual 30:2 59:8	adopted 89:8 90:22	127:21 210:11
119:9 207:13	125:4,4 136:24	219:18,24	agreement 7:16
231:25	178:9 222:6	advantages 169:9	13:12 16:2,14
accounting 33:7	227:14	adverse 107:7	94:12 95:24 107:5
44:16 67:16	acutely 138:17	147:19	111:15 144:19
196:23 246:10	add 57:9 121:25	<b>advice</b> 241:19	165:3,4,13,15
accurate 177:5	209:9	advise 90:6	182:15 183:13,24
accused 33:17 191:3	adding 118:4 120:9	advised 15:12	203:10 218:24
achievable 173:2,3	133:19 156:11	112:14	220:3 234:12
achieve 51:2 117:3	<b>addition</b> 12:25 48:5	advisor 22:25	agreements 2:6 9:3
139:24 146:5	52:16 148:20	advisors 241:20	153:9 181:19
160:5 244:23	175:23 202:23	250:12	agrees 40:23 72:4
achieved 117:22	208:6 244:11	advocating 236:21	243:6
133:7,10 138:19	additional 11:22	affect 152:18	<b>Ah</b> 201:11
152:5	69:23 101:23	affidavit 48:12	ahead 22:6
achievement 137:17	147:7 175:21	50:11 51:6 98:25	<b>AIP</b> 12:20,23 22:21
achieves 132:23	246:5	99:2 107:7 132:22	23:25 24:9 36:7
142:25	address 12:3 135:12	138:2 216:2	43:10 56:10 84:20
achieving 145:3	208:18 211:10	245:12	97:2 110:17
acknowledged	221:6 237:4	affirmative 146:5	135:15,20,23
144:22	addressed 252:9	<b>affirms</b> 221:25	136:3 142:21
acknowledges	addresses 114:25	<b>afford</b> 33:17	143:5,10,19
143:18	addressing 13:10	<b>AFP</b> 76:24	144:13 156:6,19
act 31:5 41:8,14	179:11 205:25	<b>afternoon</b> 7:6 22:9	177:9 181:24
75:10 78:22	222:13	47:12 64:2 92:12	184:20 250:18
191:15,16,16	adequate 188:9	92:14 95:3 104:20	Airlines 214:9
171.13,10,10	242:13	154:25 166:9	al 1:8 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1

VERITEXT 212-267-6868 516-608-2400

1

6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1	145:1 146:1 147:1	allow 157:9 185:21	60:6 92:20 93:22
10:1 11:1 12:1	148:1 149:1 150:1	185:25 186:6,12	95:14 96:19
13:1 14:1 15:1	151:1 152:1 153:1	186:17 190:12	131:20 219:17
16:1 17:1 18:1	154:1 155:1 156:1	226:2	238:3,16 239:3,11
19:1 20:1 21:1	157:1 158:1 159:1	<b>allowed</b> 36:6,15,17	242:23 243:9,20
22:1 23:1 24:1	160:1 161:1 162:1	38:16 45:3	244:3 245:18
25:1 26:1 27:1	163:1 164:1 165:1	allows 82:22 118:2	248:23
28:1 29:1 30:1	166:1 167:1 168:1	<b>alms</b> 151:9	answer 34:9,20
31:1 32:1 33:1	169:1 170:1 171:1	alternative 116:9	40:25 52:6 61:9
34:1 35:1 36:1	172:1 173:1 174:1	156:5	72:6 82:14 85:19
37:1 38:1 39:1	175:1 176:1 177:1	alternatives 147:13	86:11 98:6,9,17
40:1 41:1 42:1	178:1 179:1 180:1	216:25	101:22 136:12
43:1 44:1 45:1	181:1 182:1 183:1	<b>amended</b> 2:5 30:9	160:15 175:16
46:1 47:1 48:1	184:1 185:1 186:1	237:25 252:25	187:9 197:23
49:1 50:1 51:1	187:1 188:1 189:1	amendment 41:2	answers 97:24 98:19
52:1 53:1 54:1	190:1 191:1 192:1	72:7 193:10	134:25
55:1 56:1 57:1	193:1 194:1 195:1	America 57:4	anybody 45:14
58:1 59:1 60:1	196:1 197:1 198:1	American 159:4	110:10 128:9
61:1 62:1 63:1	199:1 200:1 201:1	amount 11:3 51:17	203:3 207:11
64:1 65:1 66:1	202:1 203:1 204:1	51:22 96:25	anybody's 203:24
67:1 68:1 69:1	205:1 206:1 207:1	162:10,12 223:24	anyway 53:18
70:1 71:1 72:1	208:1 209:1 210:1	225:2 227:2	apparently 51:15
73:1 74:1 75:1	211:1 212:1 213:1	amounts 122:20	appeal 249:16
76:1 77:1 78:1	214:1 215:1 216:1	176:16 218:17	appear 251:11
79:1 80:1 81:1	217:1 218:1 219:1	analysis 61:11	appearance 154:14
82:1 83:1 84:1	220:1 221:1 222:1	134:22 141:12	appeared 115:6
85:1 86:1 87:1	223:1 224:1 225:1	148:15 174:16	<b>appears</b> 99:16 111:9
88:1 89:1 90:1	226:1 227:1 228:1	175:2 180:2	148:19 250:17
91:1 92:1 93:1	229:1 230:1 231:1	analyze 57:15	applaud 187:24
94:1 95:1 96:1	232:1 233:1 234:1	analyzed 135:6	application 110:11
97:1 98:1 99:1	235:1 236:1 237:1	Anderson 198:5	applied 59:14
100:1 101:1 102:1	238:1 239:1 240:1	and/or 81:6 87:2	<b>applies</b> 239:19
103:1 104:1 105:1	241:1 242:1 243:1	Angelovich 55:21	apply 64:22 141:13
106:1 107:1 108:1	244:1 245:1 246:1	63:11,14,16,24	applying 80:21
109:1 110:1 111:1	247:1 248:1 249:1	64:10 71:5,7 76:3	<b>appointed</b> 24:14,25
112:1 113:1 114:1	250:1 251:1 252:1	76:11 77:12 78:13	appreciate 77:13
115:1 116:1 117:1	253:1 254:1,10	79:16 80:9,12,16	197:14
118:1 119:1 120:1	<b>Alicia</b> 6:19 201:24	191:19	approach 29:12
121:1 122:1 123:1	allegation 246:22	ANGOLOVICH	44:2 71:3 180:17
124:1 125:1 126:1	allegations 47:3	4:24	217:7
127:1 128:1 129:1	188:10,15,19	announcement	appropriate 19:4
130:1 131:1 132:1	190:18 192:8,9	52:15 124:2	31:23,25 32:2 60:8
133:1 134:1 135:1	196:25	annual 7:21,25 8:24	75:4 76:19 83:24
136:1 137:1 138:1	alleged 179:11	10:19 11:4,13,23	88:25 152:11
139:1 140:1 141:1	246:23	48:15 56:15 57:6	154:6 161:21
142:1 143:1 144:1	allegedly 179:12	57:11 58:21 59:16	162:10,11 180:17
	- •		

	<u> </u>		
181:23 191:23	<b>ARP</b> 52:4	assuming 56:15	auto 93:2 95:7 96:8
197:11 199:11	<b>Arps</b> 4:3,11 7:7	57:10 79:10	97:8 104:7 106:10
208:11 213:4,16	arrangement 238:19	172:15	106:17 107:21,22
228:12 239:6	243:18	assumption 36:14	automat 93:2
247:4	arrangements 56:22	79:13 182:15,20	automotive 50:4,9
appropriately 123:9	206:13	assumptions 85:25	118:13
241:23	Arthur 198:5	86:8	availability 117:20
appropriateness	aside 165:6 179:20	attack 163:10,10	117:24
234:16	asked 24:18 36:21	<b>attempt</b> 116:17	<b>available</b> 9:21 62:8
<b>approval</b> 48:15 94:3	62:15,24 80:17	118:21 120:11,23	117:15,16 124:6,7
111:5 144:13	83:12 97:23 98:18	157:10	124:10 189:14
153:7 154:20	99:7 101:2,24	attempting 142:8	196:13 200:15
232:6 238:2	107:12 109:18	160:25	206:25 219:12
<b>approve</b> 2:2 22:22	123:3 155:16	<b>attend</b> 217:14	244:7
150:14 250:18	175:17 182:17	attention 30:2	avenue 5:5,13 6:11
252:24	185:17 187:5	153:12 188:18	82:16
approved 8:21	190:4 191:18,19	attest 14:11 215:8	average 50:22
98:13 122:25	193:17 194:17	attitude 184:3	118:23 177:10
149:3 193:22,23	199:20 203:7	attorney 141:22	award 252:5
203:13 205:4	233:21 252:17	189:5	awardable 239:25
218:20	asking 22:22 25:12	<b>Attorneys</b> 4:4,12,19	awarded 200:21
approves 221:24	76:13 97:21 98:11	5:3,11,19 6:9	awarding 243:8
<b>approving</b> 2:4 66:11	100:4 107:2	audit 24:13,20 36:10	awards 7:23,24
156:12 250:19	158:10 192:10	36:15,20 37:3,13	224:22
approximately	194:5,7,15 196:5	38:3,6,17,19 39:25	aware 36:19 37:2,13
56:17	234:23 252:2	44:14,25 46:5	43:11 45:10 52:14
<b>arbiter</b> 124:24 242:9	<b>aspect</b> 8:5 49:4	64:22 68:10,11,19	95:23 111:13
arbitrarily 143:23	122:23 224:10	69:3,8,20 70:7	136:4 138:17
areas 223:9 247:11	229:18,24 251:15	72:11,25 73:4,5,20	206:7
<b>argue</b> 153:2	251:17	74:3 75:19 76:7,16	awareness 136:18
<b>argued</b> 144:12	aspects 7:20 53:17	76:18 77:6 78:2,4	<b>awful</b> 147:3 194:18
arguing 152:21	151:10,21 166:25	78:5,8,17 79:4,7	B
argument 80:13	185:3 188:8	79:11 81:22 82:2	
113:22 114:8	203:13 247:7	82:19,24 90:3,4,14	<b>B</b> 1:21 143:18
129:2 135:24	aspiration 245:15	188:23 189:12,21	<b>Babette</b> 5:23 155:2
179:3,9 180:5,6,7	asserted 89:20	189:23 196:8	back 8:21 28:10
180:7,12 181:9	asserting 89:24	208:25 210:20,23	31:4 41:14 42:6,6
206:24 231:7	asserts 71:17	210:23 247:24	43:4 74:20 77:24
245:5 249:16	assessed 104:2	248:5 252:22	81:5 83:8,12,17
250:14,14	assets 132:24	auditor 46:3	89:12 105:7
argumentative	assume 2:5 17:4	authorize 2:8 3:2	107:16 114:3,16
107:3	52:22 58:8 65:7	7:12	117:13,13 123:5
arguments 176:7	86:22 138:16	authorizes 74:2 97:9	127:24 141:25 150:2 163:4 188:3
arithmetically	143:3,7 147:16	97:17 98:3	
174:12	161:8 200:10	authorizing 2:9,16	190:5 191:13 192:13 195:20
arm's 242:4	<b>assumed</b> 83:10,24	2:22 3:3 73:18	194.13 193:20
	I.	<u>I</u>	

199:9 207:25	153:4 160:3 162:6	<b>belief</b> 31:12 35:4	191:17 195:4
217:18 220:7	171:11,14 191:6	228:19	214:21 215:13
222:4 228:7	193:6 196:20,22	<b>believe</b> 10:11 20:6	217:23 228:3
230:17 234:2,6	221:24 222:15	20:19 22:11 23:22	254:5
250:23,24	228:9 236:11	28:6 31:14,18,22	<b>bested</b> 178:14
<b>backed</b> 181:16	251:3	31:25 34:19 35:3	bets 110:22
245:19	<b>basic</b> 10:21 12:6,7	37:17 38:23 48:16	better 52:7 74:14
<b>bad</b> 28:8 75:16	49:22 86:7 119:3	49:8 50:18,25 51:6	84:18 123:16
132:15 133:3	143:24 160:20	53:11 77:16,17	155:3 156:4
168:13 246:23	196:13	81:4 90:8,13,19	159:15 163:17
<b>badly</b> 170:21	<b>basically</b> 27:24 85:6	98:8 115:15	174:15 204:22
<b>balance</b> 12:2 54:13	139:25 229:11	119:20 121:2	220:6 237:21
122:12 126:4	235:7 241:14	130:7 135:15	246:19
128:25 132:23	<b>basis</b> 79:15 93:14	136:18 140:19	beyond 113:17,22
133:6,9 134:11	102:19 118:24	147:12 153:24	163:14 199:19
183:21 207:20	120:9 126:13	183:4 186:25	233:9 250:22
208:6,20 215:12	131:25 145:15	187:12 188:2,12	252:13
220:10 232:6	148:20 161:11,21	189:11,22 193:24	<b>bid</b> 128:23
balanced 231:25	211:13 217:25	193:25 203:2,17	big 29:20 46:21 50:5
balances 127:21	218:24 219:13	203:18 204:3,16	70:25 89:13 93:9
<b>Band</b> 177:10	242:5 252:2,4	233:7 239:17,23	108:4,11 109:5
<b>bank</b> 12:21	basketball 244:19	250:7,25 252:14	236:4
Bankr 2:4	Beckworth 4:23	<b>believed</b> 50:22 75:18	binder 176:6
bankruptcies	21:10,14,16 22:8	139:21	<b>bit</b> 57:13 66:6 70:21
167:20	24:7 28:19 29:5,10	<b>believes</b> 75:12 78:24	95:5 103:13 141:6
bankruptcy 1:2,23	29:18,23 30:6,20	248:6	150:12 163:14
66:22 99:23	35:17,23 44:5 63:2	<b>bench</b> 29:13 44:2	182:12 202:11
101:20 134:21	71:13 80:17	254:9	block 146:3 221:15
157:8,9 166:18	184:10,11 192:20	beneficiaries 68:13	<b>blow</b> 210:16
171:20,24 173:17	193:16 197:18,22	70:4	<b>blown</b> 10:14
173:23 177:22	198:7,20,24 199:3	<b>benefit</b> 15:3 87:2	<b>board</b> 9:24 10:15
178:12 185:9	199:21 200:3,6	100:14 109:18	31:5 59:9 62:8
193:24 203:20	201:3,13,18,21	144:8 167:3	118:7 121:17
228:22 239:19	<b>bedded</b> 219:23	180:14 184:2	195:12 205:4
254:7	beers 159:9	248:19	213:9 215:9 216:8
banks 235:18,25	<b>began</b> 66:9 81:9	benefits 11:4,11	216:11,12 219:18
bargaining 61:15	beginning 85:2	56:9 91:14 92:20	231:13,19 241:3,5
110:25 126:18	118:19 185:18	93:21 95:14 99:6	241:21 242:12
170:25 181:19	238:25	100:6 116:23	<b>boat</b> 101:18,19
<b>barn</b> 181:21	<b>begins</b> 184:7	128:15 167:4	<b>bodies</b> 200:13
<b>base</b> 51:8 52:5 54:11	<b>behalf</b> 18:12,15,17	202:13 230:9	<b>body</b> 133:14 188:19
92:19 120:22	43:13 63:18 94:22	<b>best</b> 24:16 25:19	189:14
<b>based</b> 34:16 36:9	106:2 182:5	26:24 28:8 31:6	Bogdnavich 16:17
61:12 72:6,16	184:11	37:24 41:9,15 60:9	17:25 54:18,22
76:20 91:16	behavior 75:16	66:23 68:8 75:13	55:3 56:5 61:24
122:15 143:16	<b>belied</b> 178:24	78:25 186:22	85:10 136:20

Bogdnavich's 48:13	<b>brings</b> 111:10	12:16 14:15,19	canceling 177:19
50:10 51:6	133:10	15:24 16:24 17:8	cancelled 8:15,19
Bogdnovich 117:18	<b>broader</b> 202:12	19:3,7 20:6,18,23	118:7 177:12
bogus 172:20,23	Broadway 6:3	21:6,9 28:12 29:19	capital 8:7,12,18
bona 247:16	brought 34:21 99:17	30:4 35:8,21 47:10	capitulated 143:22
<b>bonus</b> 49:19 50:14	104:3 186:4	47:20,25 54:16,25	capsulize 135:5
50:15,16,24 54:9	Budgnovich 140:8	55:13,18,23 60:20	card 129:8
54:10,14 58:16,20	141:3	61:23 62:13 63:4,9	care 38:24 147:2
58:20 59:5,20,21	Budgnovich's	76:2,5,23 79:9	career 126:9
86:13 102:4,13	204:15	84:8,11,14 87:6	carefully 222:3
118:5 139:9,10	Bugdovich 138:2	91:24 94:18	cars 101:6
234:23 240:9	building 191:10	104:12 106:19	carved 222:4
241:7 249:20,25	bulk 214:22 248:10	110:5 112:3,6,10	case 17:12 22:3,10
251:14,15,18,19	bunch 205:22	112:13,20 113:3,7	59:3 77:15 84:19
252:4,5,14,23	<b>burden</b> 20:14 145:6	113:12,21 114:4	94:7 106:24
bonuses 50:18 51:16	212:11	114:13,18 124:14	109:11,17 115:22
51:22 53:25 94:10	burdensome 34:4	124:21 125:11	115:25 118:8,19
103:21 118:5	business 50:6,23	132:2 155:8	119:11,18 120:7
153:16 156:6,12	52:3,19 53:17	204:11 209:8,20	120:24 122:2
225:18 239:25	59:12 85:11,14,18	210:17,22 211:6	123:24 125:13
240:2 246:16	86:7 96:9 116:9,19	215:23 216:3	126:4,11 127:2,13
book 15:18,25 29:24	119:7,24 121:9,15	226:15 227:20	127:24 128:11
71:2 89:13 112:22	122:13,14 123:10	228:4,18 229:23	130:8,21 133:17
books 15:21	124:25 126:22,23	230:5,12,16,25	134:15 138:19
borrowing 129:8	127:14 128:8,21	231:4,8 233:17,22	141:10 145:3,22
<b>bottom</b> 51:19 77:15	134:13 135:17,24	234:25 236:16,24	155:10,11 156:4,5
217:17 243:23	137:10 140:24	237:8,18 253:2,6	157:7,17,17 163:8
<b>bounty</b> 169:21	141:16 159:25	<b>Butler's</b> 168:24	163:21 164:9,13
Bowling 1:18	160:4 166:20		164:14 165:20
<b>box</b> 169:24	173:25 175:3,5,7	C	166:7,14,15,16
<b>Brad</b> 21:15 184:11	182:24 202:24	C 4:2 7:3	168:4 169:17,24
BRADLEY 4:23	205:3,10 211:16	cake 128:17	172:7 179:10
branding 133:2	211:17 214:18	calculation 91:6	180:13,23,23,24
break 58:13 68:24	220:13 222:2	245:20	181:15 185:9,20
114:2	224:13 225:6	California 186:5	187:4,11 198:19
<b>breech</b> 211:3	230:20,23 231:2	call 54:18 62:5	205:25 206:4
<b>brief</b> 18:18 63:11	232:4,8,22 237:16	65:13 69:3 71:9	207:5 210:4
84:15 114:15	238:14 242:7,11	83:17,24 92:8	211:10 212:23
182:9 209:21	242:17 243:12	141:11 163:17	213:20 214:3
<b>briefly</b> 22:18 68:25	245:9 247:5,9	164:11,12,12	220:5 224:11
71:9 76:12 113:25	248:15,16 249:6	<b>called</b> 9:23 83:12	229:3,4 233:6,8
<b>briefs</b> 113:17,20	251:25 252:7	130:12 132:14	234:5 235:8,24
154:5	businesses 121:12	135:20 156:25	238:14 239:14
<b>bring</b> 99:22 180:22	127:7	248:8	240:10 241:15,24
251:2	<b>BUTER</b> 209:17	calling 155:9	242:22 244:24
Bringing 156:19	<b>Butler</b> 4:8 7:5,6	<b>calls</b> 110:6 231:10	245:6 247:10

VERITEXT 516-608-2400

212-267-6868

	1	T	
251:7	challenge 122:23	197:24,25	166:25
cases 9:2 115:8	challenged 229:4	civil 187:2 194:25	<b>closer</b> 103:19 213:24
126:8 150:10	231:17	199:17	<b>closing</b> 114:15
154:5 157:19	challenges 229:4	<b>claim</b> 71:17,20	<b>code</b> 33:10 190:13
162:20 168:12	chambers 189:8	89:20,24 182:19	199:10 239:19
169:12,20 177:16	213:6 253:4	207:18,19	<b>COHEN</b> 5:18
197:24 213:24	<b>chance</b> 51:2,4 133:4	<b>claims</b> 90:5 178:15	collateral 198:14
220:9,23,25	208:15 230:14	178:17	colleagues 155:8
228:22 234:3	234:22	clarification 29:3	collecting 97:14
cash 7:22 10:22 52:2	<b>change</b> 102:21 139:7	33:13	collective 61:15
56:13 57:16	194:16 247:8,23	<b>clarity</b> 249:11	170:25 181:18
categorically 110:16	252:21	<b>clash</b> 101:4	185:12
202:5	changed 241:3	clashing 157:2	colleges 101:6
categories 32:14	changes 180:19	class 24:4 46:19	colliding 156:21
category 67:10	252:21	143:2	colloquy 155:6
cause 27:20 61:14	changing 155:20	<b>clause</b> 56:19	Colorful 28:2
182:25 183:3	channel 82:17	<b>claw</b> 31:3 41:13 42:5	combination 56:9
207:10,23	<b>chapter</b> 115:8 118:8	74:19 190:4	110:24
caused 205:16	118:14 119:11	191:12 192:12	<b>come</b> 13:4 71:19
214:12 221:14	140:15 175:9	199:8 207:24	82:16 88:18,23
causing 127:4	214:3 240:10,22	claw-back 25:10	105:22 106:2
Ceccatti 226:20	245:6	27:13,17,23 28:3	114:15 115:9,22
Ceccotti 5:23 104:7	characterize 212:19	31:21 32:22 33:16	116:3 123:5 130:4
154:25 155:2	<b>charge</b> 48:10 188:7	37:23 39:19 40:6	135:7 164:15
160:14 162:22	charged 197:3	41:7 42:3,12,16	168:2 172:6 181:5
163:2 166:4 203:8	<b>chart</b> 120:14	195:6 196:11	183:25 208:12
Ceccotti's 179:9	<b>charts</b> 136:22	200:4,7,11 206:24	220:7 236:6
central 178:25 180:5	157:22	207:4 209:5 248:8	comes 54:11 146:22
180:12,13 181:8	check 119:18 142:13	<b>clear</b> 10:16,18 68:6	158:24 160:11
centrality 145:3	142:13 150:8	77:20,23 126:21	190:13
<b>CEO</b> 87:23	207:20 208:5,20	136:21 137:22	comfort 123:8
<b>certain</b> 2:5 43:12	210:22	170:5 184:18	comfortable 66:15
45:2 59:18 60:13	Chicago 4:6	206:23 215:4	77:2 172:8 173:8
70:3 85:24 189:16	chief 9:25	221:9 237:11	<b>coming</b> 16:13
236:18 246:20	chiefly 99:2	238:4 242:10	157:15,21 184:4
certainly 32:24	<b>chime</b> 225:17	247:2 249:15	comment 122:10
79:20 130:19	<b>choose</b> 14:25 81:4	251:8,9	141:2 146:20
146:6,20 169:17	chooses 223:17	clearly 121:7 198:4	204:3 225:20,22
172:2 197:10	<b>chose</b> 131:10,17,18	236:24 239:8	226:19
202:19 207:12	<b>chosen</b> 136:6 251:2	241:2	comments 187:19
209:9,17	Christmas 88:15	<b>client</b> 152:14	199:4 206:2
certainty 252:12	circumstances	clients 149:4 172:16	220:19 226:16
certify 254:3,11	110:4,12,18 119:8	175:18 221:5	committee 5:3 7:17
<b>chain</b> 183:2,9	119:10 239:13	close 18:24 78:19	9:4,14 13:5 15:4,7
223:12,13,18,24	241:2 246:21	113:8 195:16	22:19,24 24:13,14
224:5,14 225:5	cited 154:5 185:14	<b>closed</b> 113:11	24:20,25 36:2,4,11
L	I	I	

36:15,20,25 37:3,7	252:22	144:22 151:5,8,10	92:22,24 93:8,12
37:14,21 38:4,7,17	committees 24:12	151:19 152:7,8	93:17 94:6 96:7
38:19 40:2,5 41:23	25:16 68:11 90:12	153:13 156:20,22	97:18 98:4,15 99:9
43:9,17 44:9,15,23	committee's 71:25	159:14,23 162:8	99:16 100:18
44:25 45:7,15 46:2	131:5 189:13,24	165:21 170:16	106:8 108:3 109:3
46:5,8,15 47:6,16	190:17 218:22	171:4 172:3	109:10,16 110:3
47:17,22,23 48:6	245:16	173:16,19,23	111:6 115:11
55:25 64:19,23	<b>common</b> 66:13	175:9 180:11	116:13 118:22
65:4,12 68:9,10,12	221:4	181:4 182:6,22,24	128:18 130:10
68:19 69:4,8,9,20	commonly 22:2	186:23 188:11	132:5 140:13
69:21 70:2,8,9,10	communicate 157:5	191:18 196:15	143:25 144:4,8
70:11 71:18 72:11	247:25	197:10 205:6,24	148:21 149:11
72:25 73:4,6,10,19	communication	212:17 214:18	152:9 157:18,20
73:21 74:2,3 75:19	37:10 98:7	215:6 218:25	158:2 167:21
76:4,7,15,16,18	communities 145:24	223:9 232:12	168:21 177:13,20
77:6,25 78:2,5,8	comp 95:13,15,15	237:2	178:7,13,23 188:6
78:17 79:5,7,11	106:15 107:19	company's 33:6	190:16 191:5
80:20 81:12,21,22	108:10 218:20	85:11 133:24	192:2 196:7
82:12,24 83:3,18	219:19	138:5 149:21	203:25 209:3,6
84:10 87:10,19	companies 48:18	176:7 182:7	214:4,11 215:9
88:20 90:4,4,7,9	50:8 57:19 93:10	comparable 148:24	218:14,22 228:21
90:14,15 119:6,13	93:13 108:5,12	173:6	235:3 238:19
119:20 121:17	109:5 148:24	comparably 48:18	240:19,23 241:4,5
122:25 127:22	169:16 171:20	compare 37:22	241:10 243:4,7,21
128:3,5 129:15	225:16	compared 57:14	243:24 244:7
130:21 131:7,12	company 6:10 9:22	244:6	247:4,13 248:4
131:19 132:4	11:8 12:8 14:21	comparing 56:21	<b>compete</b> 159:3,15
133:13,15,17	21:25 24:17,21	comparison 51:15	competent 186:24
135:6 143:14	25:19 26:24 28:9	61:13	competing 135:7
155:19 182:10	32:19,20 33:24	compensation 2:11	competition 56:23
186:9,10 187:21	36:16,22 37:25	2:17,24 3:5 7:14	56:23 159:6
188:6,24 189:21	40:19,21 41:10,16	10:4,21,24 11:7	competitive 11:20
191:6 192:2	43:14 45:4 55:15	17:24 22:19,24	48:17 49:2,6 50:2
194:18 195:13	60:5 63:6 75:11,13	36:2,3,25 37:7,20	60:16 93:24 96:21
196:7,8 205:14	78:23,24 85:14	40:5 41:23 43:8,16	100:19 102:22,25
206:19 207:18	87:15,21 90:5	44:8,23 45:7,15	106:9,17 107:20
208:8,25 209:3,7	99:24,25 100:3,11	46:8,15 47:6 48:6	108:4,11 109:4
210:20,23,24	100:13 103:18,18	49:3,5 50:2 51:9	116:20 117:11,25
213:8,12 214:14	104:3,4 118:2,21	51:18 56:13,22	118:23 122:21
214:19 215:10	120:15,16,21	60:10 64:18 65:4	160:9,13 161:16
218:13,20,25	121:12,14,21,23	65:12 68:9 69:9,19	227:14 243:21
219:19,24 222:5	122:5 127:10,14	69:25 70:10 73:18	250:7
233:19,24 234:14	127:19 128:6	74:2 76:15 77:25	competitiveness
241:4 242:19	137:22 139:17	80:20 81:12,21	167:10 180:6
246:14 247:24	140:15,16 141:8	82:12 83:2,18 90:4	competitors 49:7
248:5,6 249:9	141:14 142:6	90:7,9,15 92:18,21	51:15,25 57:21
L	I.		

VERITEXT

96:7 99:18 243:25	conclude 227:16	consideration 80:19	contending 137:19
244:9,16	concluded 44:15	83:2 106:16 109:3	contest 142:4
complaint 46:19	215:4,12 233:2	123:13 150:21	context 142:15
246:13	243:5 253:9	154:7 239:9	150:24,24 152:6,8
complaints 190:9	concludes 253:6	considerations	159:14 173:14
complete 18:21	conclusion 69:14	231:20,23	177:22 180:10
completed 18:5	134:11 150:22	considered 43:9	181:2,12,22
154:9 217:22	232:5 243:16	45:21 81:3 107:10	199:14 226:11
completely 155:20	conclusions 188:23	180:10 206:10	251:24 252:8
165:16	concrete 175:24	considering 211:13	contextual 86:22
completion 18:20	condition 203:22	211:14	continents 121:14
<b>complex</b> 156:10	conditions 248:8	consistent 60:17	223:7,25
163:19	<b>conduct</b> 31:13 32:4	66:7 79:19	continuation 151:8
complicated 155:24	33:10 38:11 43:13	consistently 59:15	continue 69:21
157:11	67:13,19 190:13	consolidated 46:18	100:11 103:17
complying 210:12	199:10	consternation	<b>continued</b> 23:5 89:6
component 23:16	confers 17:11	111:11 214:12	169:19
28:4 51:8 56:24	confident 136:4	constituencies	continues 69:20
57:21 178:6	confidential 43:24	133:22 134:10	130:14 179:7,8
components 57:5	81:24	242:21	continuing 49:12
92:18 115:20	confidentiality 44:7	constituency 134:2	68:20 69:22
117:14,15 244:7	210:18 248:2	134:4,17	181:17
comports 196:10	confirmation	constituents 99:5	continuity 223:13
comprised 9:23	203:12	108:18 239:16	continuum 145:2
comprises 11:7	conflict 194:21	241:24	contract 223:19
con 231:20,24	confrontation	constitutes 245:2	contracts 102:16
concede 178:9	154:15	constraints 169:3	163:11 169:6
conceded 208:3	congress 126:16	constructed 77:5	180:19 182:20
249:8	congressional 206:6	122:19 205:20	contradict 243:17
<b>concept</b> 170:15,21	connection 17:9,14	construed 135:16	244:2,12
178:19	54:20 62:9 118:8	consult 153:9	<b>contrary</b> 96:2 131:3
concern 47:14 88:24	122:6 127:17	consultant 17:25	144:21 147:11
182:21 183:21	129:20	218:14,23 243:5	219:4 231:13
concerned 103:7	cons 217:7	consultants 132:5	control 44:17
129:19 136:8	conscious 221:20	157:21	148:13
152:17 200:20	consensual 20:14	consultation 31:18	controversy 130:23
247:18	126:13 145:4	consulting 214:19	controverted 218:7
concerns 88:3,4 89:3	156:9 168:2	consuming 34:3	controverts 234:15
145:24 183:19	211:13	<b>contain</b> 246:21	convening 81:25
concession 250:3	consensus 217:20	contained 114:22	conversations 147:3
concessionary 94:12	consequences	130:9	<b>convey</b> 160:25
concessions 101:25	147:19 151:20,25	contains 129:13	convince 179:13
109:18 158:9,10	158:8	212:25	convincing 102:20
170:2 180:15	consider 83:3	contemplate 14:13	cooperate 68:20
236:9 248:19	147:15 154:17,23	contend 249:4	cooperation 132:11
252:3	considerably 251:20	contended 248:12	coordinator 105:21

<b>copy</b> 29:11 70:21	126:1 127:1 128:1	23:12 26:16 27:2	136:12 178:12
corporate 48:11	129:1 130:1 131:1	28:10 30:10 31:7,8	209:2,16 225:15
57:4 140:9 148:3	132:1 133:1 134:1	31:14,22 34:18	235:18 239:15,20
204:25 217:18	135:1 136:1 137:1	35:7 36:18 39:8	239:22
245:2	138:1 139:1 140:1	40:10 41:4,16	court 1:2 7:4 8:9,23
corporation 1:8 2:1	141:1 142:1 143:1	43:14 44:22 45:22	9:18 10:2,8 12:13
3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1	144:1 145:1 146:1	45:24 46:20 48:19	12:15,17,24 14:13
8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1	147:1 148:1 149:1	49:3 50:14 51:13	14:16,18 15:23
12:1 13:1 14:1	150:1 151:1 152:1	51:20 56:12 58:18	16:23 17:4 18:25
15:1,3 16:1 17:1	153:1 154:1 155:1	61:2 62:22,23 64:5	19:2,6,23 20:4,15
18:1 19:1 20:1	156:1 157:1 158:1	65:15 66:3 67:21	20:16,22 21:5,13
21:1 22:1 23:1	159:1 160:1 161:1	68:15,22 69:11,18	21:15 22:5,6,17,22
24:1 25:1 26:1	162:1 163:1 164:1	72:13 73:2,7 75:21	24:6 26:14,24
27:1 28:1 29:1	165:1 166:1 167:1	75:23 76:12 78:14	28:17,22 29:8,14
30:1 31:1 32:1	168:1 169:1 170:1	82:22 84:25 87:16	29:22 30:18 31:17
33:1 34:1 35:1	171:1 172:1 173:1	91:2 92:7 97:6	31:24 32:8 33:21
36:1 37:1 38:1	174:1 175:1 176:1	99:10 100:15	35:14 39:3 42:24
39:1 40:1 41:1	177:1 178:1 179:1	105:15 106:4	43:10 44:4 47:9,18
42:1 43:1 44:1	180:1 181:1 182:1	107:16,23 153:22	48:3,9,22 49:17
45:1 46:1 47:1	183:1 184:1 185:1	174:11 204:12	50:3,10,17 51:5,21
48:1 49:1 50:1	186:1 187:1 188:1	227:22 254:4	52:4,9,13 53:3,9
51:1 52:1 53:1	189:1 190:1 191:1	<b>cost</b> 53:25 54:3,13	53:14,24 54:15,24
54:1 55:1 56:1	192:1 193:1 194:1	83:3,3,7,19 135:21	55:12 56:4,18
57:1 58:1 59:1	195:1 196:1 197:1	146:23	57:20 58:2,12,15
60:1 61:1 62:1	198:1 199:1 200:1	costs 54:6 126:11	58:24 59:4,13,23
63:1 64:1 65:1	201:1 202:1 203:1	155:14 229:22	60:2,19,22 61:21
66:1 67:1 68:1	204:1 205:1 206:1	230:2 236:5	61:25 62:23 63:13
69:1 70:1 71:1	207:1 208:1 209:1	245:22	63:15,21,23 64:7
72:1 73:1 74:1	210:1 211:1 212:1	counsel 15:13 34:8	64:12 66:21 71:4
75:1 76:1 77:1	213:1 214:1 215:1	34:23 35:2,9 105:6	74:21 76:14,25
78:1 79:1 80:1	216:1 217:1,4	108:15 115:9	77:20 78:4,16
81:1 82:1 83:1	218:1 219:1 220:1	189:7 206:4 243:2	79:18 80:10,15
84:1 85:1 86:1	221:1 222:1 223:1	count 58:20 81:17	84:7,13,15,17 85:5
87:1 88:1 89:1	224:1 225:1 226:1	counterparts 244:8	85:8,13,21 86:4,10
90:1 91:1 92:1	227:1 228:1 229:1	countless 115:6	86:21 87:5 91:8,22
93:1 94:1 95:1	230:1 231:1 232:1	162:20	93:23 96:20 97:3,8
96:1 97:1 98:1	233:1 234:1 235:1	<b>country</b> 211:25	97:17 98:2,13,24
99:1 100:1 101:1	236:1 237:1 238:1	<b>couple</b> 71:13,15 87:7	99:12 100:15
102:1 103:1 104:1	239:1 240:1 241:1	133:20 135:12	101:13 102:10,17
105:1 106:1 107:1	242:1 243:1 244:1	149:9 155:6,7	104:5,10 105:11
108:1 109:1 110:1	245:1 246:1 247:1	220:18	107:6,20 108:13
111:1 112:1 113:1	248:1 249:1 250:1	<b>course</b> 10:13 64:21	108:21 109:4
114:1 115:1 116:1	251:1 252:1 253:1	65:6 68:18 70:14	110:7,11,14,18
117:1 118:1 119:1	254:1,10	94:2 116:6,8 119:2	112:2,5,8,12,19,25
120:1 121:1 122:1	corporations 170:24	121:3 133:13,24	113:6,9,16,19,24
123:1 124:1 125:1	<b>correct</b> 22:15 23:11	135:17,23 136:3	114:7,17 115:10
	<u> </u>		

115.10 116.2 7	242.10	10.10.20.15.47.11	154.2 157.16
115:18 116:3,7	242:10	19:19 20:15 47:11	154:3 157:16
120:19 121:5,8	court's 21:11 114:14	47:21 54:19 55:7	162:16 183:5,10
122:12,16 123:3,8	124:2 185:7	55:19 62:8,21	185:11 214:25
124:11,16 125:7	188:18 228:13	63:11,22 71:14	225:7 229:19
126:6 129:4	239:9 247:12	84:9 168:16	232:20,25
130:11 132:21	<b>cover</b> 9:15 82:3	185:19	days 11:18 135:14
138:14,22 139:4	covering 8:25	culpability 37:2	135:25 137:18
145:10,19 147:15	co-fiduciaries	38:19 41:7 189:25	139:3 201:8,8
148:18 149:14	207:21	<b>current</b> 22:20 23:25	<b>dead</b> 143:22
150:2,20 151:12	<b>create</b> 210:12	25:9 30:16 31:3	deadline 212:25
151:23 152:20	251:12	34:11 110:4	deal 13:15 18:22
153:14,19,23	creates 117:25	176:12 187:6	25:10 76:10
154:17 158:19	156:25 165:9	190:23,23 199:8	118:22 124:23
161:25 162:25	207:23	199:22 201:4	125:2 126:5,24
166:3 171:10,13	creating 224:8	243:18	148:4 161:9,10
171:19 172:12,15	credit 87:2	<b>currently</b> 23:15 35:5	187:17 211:20
172:24 173:4,11	creditor 72:21	110:17 189:17	214:6,17 221:5
174:4,7,22 175:2	133:14	<b>curves</b> 218:15	225:8 234:7
175:11,19 177:23	creditors 5:4 7:17	Custom 1:17	242:22
178:2 179:19,25	9:4,13 13:5 15:7	customer 224:12	<b>dealing</b> 11:23 13:13
181:25 184:8,18	52:18 55:25 66:14	customers 183:6	13:24 18:6 34:12
186:6,17,24 187:2	71:18 87:10,19	224:17,18,19,24	91:25 169:4 187:2
189:4,8 190:14	119:6,12 121:16	225:5	187:7 229:22
191:12 192:18,22	123:15 127:22	cut 142:8 162:24	236:5 242:2
197:15,20 198:4,9	130:20 131:5,7	179:16	deals 41:14 43:24
198:11,17,23	133:12 155:19	Cutler 46:4 188:25	199:23 214:10,15
199:2,12,19,25	195:12 208:8	cuts 120:17 146:15	dealt 8:4,9,17 12:18
200:5,19 201:11	213:8,11 214:13	159:3 167:3	18:9 220:20 230:2
201:15,20 203:4,7	213.8,11 214.13	181:14 233:11,15	debate 164:17
201:13,20 203:4,7	214.19 216.15,22 219:23 222:4	*	debated 213:23
, ,	233:19,24 234:13	cutting 155:14	222:3
208:22 209:13,19	242:18 245:16		· =
210:8,18 211:4		<b>D</b> 1:23 7:3 62:6	debating 158:11
214:7 215:18,25	246:14 249:8	Daingerfield 4:21	debentures 182:8
226:5,10,23	creditor's 128:3	21:17 63:18	debt 182:7
227:24 228:9,16	187:21 205:14	damage 197:10	debtor 1:10 22:21
229:17,24 230:11	206:19	damages 183:3	24:14 25:2,16
230:13,22 231:3,5	credits 87:15	dandy 139:2	26:16 31:7,20 32:3
233:13,20 234:2	crew 87:15	dandy 139:2 dangers 61:13	34:4 50:18 64:13
234:20 235:7,10	criminal 38:11	dangers 61:13 data 130:17	66:22 71:16,19
236:22,23 237:6	40:22,24 72:4,5		72:22 76:13 83:4
237:17,20,24	78:18 189:7 195:2	date 39:9 66:6 67:2	83:19 131:4,8,10
253:5,7 254:8	199:6,15 210:10	131:15 213:5,19	131:17 135:14
courtesy 29:11	criteria 32:4	216:19 254:15	147:20 148:13
courtroom 92:9	<b>critical</b> 144:14 154:4	<b>David</b> 4:9 7:9 92:13	153:9 155:12
108:17 125:16	cross 14:14 16:16	day 39:23 64:15	163:4,23 182:16
courts 122:14	17:20 18:18 19:16	126:17 130:7	183:3 185:14
	L		<u>L</u>

187:20 188:21	206:5 207:19	declining 169:18	67:1,25 68:1 69:1
189:13 190:15	211:17 213:15	deemed 69:7	70:1 71:1 72:1
192:10 194:17	219:10 222:12	deep 145:24 155:17	73:1 74:1 75:1
196:25 200:15	242:12	<b>def</b> 178:12	76:1 77:1 78:1
201:7 202:21	December 7:18	defendant 24:4	79:1 80:1 81:1
209:11 213:3	88:13,14 89:6	186:3	82:1 83:1 84:1
227:13 229:3	187:19	defense 189:7	85:1 86:1 87:1
243:22 248:17	<b>decent</b> 234:4	<b>defer</b> 149:16 204:5	88:1 89:1 90:1
250:6	<b>decide</b> 37:8 83:16,18	250:22	91:1 92:1,16,25
debtors 2:10,16,23	158:23 207:19	<b>deferred</b> 177:13,20	93:1,9,17 94:1
3:4 4:4,12 7:13,18	decided 193:14	178:13 227:15	95:1,12 96:1 97:1
8:4,11,13 9:2,10	214:22 239:18	230:4	97:9,17 98:1,3
10:10,17 11:10	decidedly 148:16	deferring 47:16	99:1,23 100:1
12:8 16:6,9 17:16	decides 133:3	217:2	101:1 102:1,22
17:21,24 18:2,5	207:18	<b>defers</b> 225:22	103:1 104:1 105:1
27:11 48:2,14	deciding 66:2	<b>Define</b> 41:11	106:1,9 107:1
52:15 53:11,16	159:12	<b>defined</b> 181:19	108:1,3 109:1
54:17 61:12 62:5	<b>decision</b> 23:3 34:2	definition 209:5	110:1 111:1 112:1
64:5 89:20,24	65:9 69:7 80:23	delaying 33:5	113:1 114:1 115:1
92:13 111:20	82:5,9 83:22	<b>delete</b> 32:15	116:1,21 117:1,10
112:15 116:10,18	105:25 146:9	deliberation 217:22	118:1 119:1,4
116:22 117:3	185:7,8 217:8	<b>deliver</b> 224:16	120:1 121:1,4
119:6,11 123:9	221:20 241:20	234:17	122:1 123:1 124:1
134:13 147:16	242:9 247:14	<b>Delphi</b> 1:8 2:1 3:1	124:4,8 125:1
157:10 159:2	decisions 84:3	4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1	126:1 127:1 128:1
166:21 179:3	185:14 217:9	9:1,24 10:1 11:1	129:1 130:1 131:1
181:14,15 182:14	declarant 14:8	12:1 13:1 14:1	132:1 133:1 134:1
215:14 217:23	18:16	15:1 16:1 17:1	135:1,25 136:1
220:15 232:21	declarants 18:5	18:1 19:1 20:1	137:1 138:1 139:1
237:25 238:13	declaration 13:21	21:1 22:1 23:1	140:1 141:1 142:1
239:14,23 240:5	13:22 14:3 17:18	24:1 25:1 26:1	143:1,18 144:1,12
240:10,12,22	17:19,22,24 18:2	27:1 28:1 29:1	145:1 146:1 147:1
241:2,5 243:6	18:11,11,13,14,16	30:1 31:1 32:1	148:1 149:1 150:1
244:3,8,13 245:9	22:14,17 28:7 30:8	33:1 34:1 35:1,6	151:1,6 152:1
245:15 247:22	31:10 54:20 62:9	36:1,6 37:1,15	153:1 154:1,19
248:14,16 249:6	68:7 69:5 94:21,23	38:1 39:1 40:1	155:1 156:1 157:1
250:9,11,16,23	104:15 136:20	41:1 42:1 43:1	157:16 158:1
251:20 252:10	204:15 216:5,22	44:1,15,18,19,22	159:1 160:1 161:1
debtor's 2:22 60:8	declarations 14:12	45:1,25 46:1,11	161:15 162:1
115:9 128:21	17:23 18:7 19:11	47:1 48:1 49:1,24	163:1 164:1 165:1
147:13 157:17	19:14,21 92:3,5	50:1,8 51:1 52:1	166:1 167:1 168:1
158:10 161:4	215:7 220:2	53:1 54:1 55:1	169:1 170:1 171:1
164:15 170:9,18	231:15	56:1 57:1,8,16	171:8 172:1 173:1
172:18 185:10	declaratory 136:2	58:1,5,6 59:1 60:1	174:1 175:1 176:1
195:4 200:21	declined 10:5	61:1,6 62:1 63:1	177:1 178:1,10
202:24 205:3	declines 40:25 72:5	64:1,19 65:1 66:1	179:1 180:1 181:1

182:1 183:1 184:1	denigrating 150:6	201:16 208:11	direct 17:14 30:2
184:22 185:1	deny 107:13	determine 38:2	55:7 153:12
186:1 187:1,10	department 45:11	43:18 68:13 70:3	163:10 164:20
188:1 189:1,6	59:12 67:20	75:5 130:4 186:11	168:9
190:1 191:1 192:1	departures 143:8	189:15 194:2	direction 187:24
193:1 194:1 195:1	depending 51:11	196:9,20 197:7	directly 80:2 213:22
196:1 197:1 198:1	52:12 134:3	determined 32:3,16	director 18:3 62:7
199:1 200:1 201:1	200:13	32:17 90:5 180:3	64:5 87:20 215:5
202:1,6 203:1	<b>depends</b> 36:8 70:13	209:3	<b>directors</b> 62:8 118:7
204:1 205:1 206:1	deposited 207:13	determines 213:3	121:18 127:15
207:1 208:1 209:1	deposition 16:11	226:5	205:4 216:20
210:1 211:1 212:1	20:13 22:10 28:6	determining 36:20	217:11,16,21
213:1 214:1 215:1	35:12,16 46:18	37:14 43:9	219:19 231:14,19
216:1 217:1 218:1	62:20 65:24 68:7	detriment 202:15	231:21
219:1 220:1 221:1	70:5 97:22 140:8	develop 243:15	director's 213:10
222:1 223:1 224:1	141:3 215:7,20	developed 66:13,17	disagree 192:7
225:1 226:1 227:1	216:2	85:18 239:5	198:25
228:1 229:1 230:1	depositions 16:19	developing 37:12	disappear 178:16
231:1 232:1 233:1	140:4 192:6	65:18 66:8	disappointed 53:23
234:1 235:1 236:1	deprive 143:23	development 86:6	disaster 127:16
237:1 238:1 239:1	deprived 144:10	238:13	disbanded 103:19
240:1 241:1 242:1	depth 81:17	device 140:22	disclosures 246:11
243:1 244:1 245:1	derived 59:11 193:5	didn t 231:9	disconnect 150:12
246:1 247:1 248:1	205:3,18	differ 132:18 133:8	discovery 77:22
249:1 250:1 251:1	<b>describe</b> 22:18 86:3	difference 123:11,13	80:8 176:20
252:1 253:1 254:1	221:14	167:23 218:3	228:10
254:10	description 144:4	220:12	discretion 131:17
<b>Delphi's</b> 10:22 33:10	deserve 67:7,10	<b>different</b> 35:25 40:2	discuss 23:2
57:23 77:24 94:3	74:11	40:8,9 42:7 58:8,9	discussed 66:20
95:19 96:6 110:3	designate 105:25	78:9,10,11,12	187:22 213:14
190:8	designated 20:12	132:20 136:15	216:4,16,17
deluged 131:15	62:18 87:13	152:6 153:21	217:25
delving 77:10	105:13	165:16,22 173:25	discussion 31:19
demands 160:2	designates 16:12	197:24 200:14,14	53:2 65:21 87:25
demonstrated	designation 16:8	202:11 205:9	216:13 217:4,6
136:18 143:9	designations 16:18	206:9 211:2	discussions 66:13
demonstrating	55:2	232:15 247:19	87:10 88:19 89:5
154:6	designators 16:14	differently 110:15	157:23 212:15
demonstration	<b>designed</b> 115:22,23	152:14 165:23	216:15 217:19
142:18	148:22	difficult 111:15	232:11 246:13
demonstrative 16:4	designs 158:2	144:23 155:23	disgorgement 26:12
118:24	desirable 245:8	156:14 157:11	dishonest 26:4
demoralized 49:9	desk 64:9	165:10 168:2	dishonestly 25:20
demoralizing 127:5	details 68:20	220:25 231:10	26:14,23
denied 147:18	determination 65:2	236:8,17	<b>dishonesty</b> 24:16,23
154:12	174:13 196:14	diminution 142:25	25:11 186:15,22

198:12	domestic 8:12 93:2	easel 57:3 62:2	240:18,22 243:20
dismiss 200:23	96:8 107:21 121:6	easily 86:16	elements 10:21
dispassionate	212:2,7	easy 121:18	151:4
115:17	don¦t 28:14	ebadar 204:19	elevate 136:9
<b>dispute</b> 158:25	doors 176:11	Ebeda 204:18	elicit 248:25
219:10	doubt 111:12 128:13	<b>EBITDAR</b> 138:3	eligibility 25:13
disruptions 183:2	downsizing 53:17	140:9,12,17,21	39:18 75:5
disruptive 156:7,8	draft 65:24 253:3	141:4 159:21	<b>eligible</b> 23:10,17
<b>distill</b> 157:14	<b>drafted</b> 58:22 61:5,6	160:4,6 171:18,22	24:2 35:6 43:18
distraction 249:3	drafting 65:13	204:25 205:7,11	70:4,18 189:17
<b>district</b> 1:3 2:15	drain 1:23 66:10	245:4,7	190:19,24
105:22 185:10	103:18	<b>EBP</b> 48:7	eliminated 151:11
187:5 201:12	dramatic 142:10	echo 172:5	241:11
division 91:18	drastically 102:15	economic 155:17	eliminates 23:25
divisions 246:3	drawing 188:7	157:12	elimination 252:23
docket 7:15 12:22	drive 4:5,20 103:18	<b>Edward</b> 6:14 182:4	eloquent 135:2
12:24 13:16,21,23	driven 143:5	effect 61:18 205:14	emergence 7:22,24
14:2,3,7,9,21,24	<b>drop</b> 142:2	215:21 238:6	115:25 153:15
15:5,8,11	dropped 31:16	240:8	emergency 7:23
docketed 212:23	due 27:22 32:15	effecting 126:25	emergent 214:25
document 10:14	33:15,17,19,22	127:6	emerging 203:19
28:13,16 29:2,4	42:18 130:16	effective 10:4	emotion 150:7
62:2 65:25 73:16	163:5 192:25	120:17,19	emotional 115:12
73:16 75:21	193:11,20 194:3,4	<b>effects</b> 163:15	emotionally 150:4
documented 237:10	208:7	211:24 245:21	emotions 150:6
documents 17:2	duly 20:3 54:23	efficiency 53:20	emphasized 176:8
45:16 176:19	62:12 92:10 94:25	efficient 230:3	<b>employ</b> 241:16
document's 14:24	104:18	<b>effort</b> 37:22,25	<b>employed</b> 35:5 36:5
<b>doing</b> 20:5 71:14	dust 195:25	96:16 147:22,23	38:17 192:23
78:11 82:7 115:4	dwell 142:10	220:13 223:24	254:11
119:4 127:11	dynamic 159:7	224:7 225:3	<b>employee</b> 2:10,17,23
129:10 164:2	220:5 236:15	<b>eight</b> 123:23 136:21	3:4 7:13 23:17,18
173:20,25 184:6		<b>Eilberg</b> 3:22 254:3	34:7,13 35:5 42:16
211:19 222:24	<u>E</u>	254:20	76:4 81:4 90:6
223:8 224:20	e 1:21,21 4:2,2,9,23	<b>either</b> 43:13 51:10	109:10 110:2
228:6 230:24	7:3,3	57:24 65:14 73:6	111:6 124:4
<b>DOJ</b> 248:3	earlier 45:2 56:5	73:17,25 86:22	157:19 168:20
dollar 10:5 139:15	79:19 86:11 90:20	93:10,25 104:24	193:8 208:7 209:4
181:24	112:16 113:5	147:18 199:15	229:9
dollars 9:20 94:11	early 130:21 207:15	213:18 225:7	employees 21:20
97:3,10 135:22	251:7	246:19 248:7	33:17 43:14 45:3
146:25 147:6,7	earn 51:18	249:13	46:11,12 51:25
164:6 165:9	earned 26:12,22	Electrical 5:12	99:25 101:5
173:21 177:11,15	58:21	element 147:9	102:12,13 121:7
177:17 179:16	earnings 91:6 eased 80:25	156:11,12,18	123:17,18,19
183:5 240:4,5	easeu 00.23	157:21 238:17	166:23 167:7,14
	1		1

170:2 183:8	193:20 194:9	10:1 11:1 12:1	148:1 149:1 150:1
184:13 189:6	236:12 251:3	13:1 14:1 15:1	151:1 152:1 153:1
192:13 202:6	environment 118:2	16:1 17:1 18:1	154:1 155:1 156:1
229:12 248:20	146:17 165:22	19:1 20:1 21:1	157:1 158:1 159:1
employer 146:16,22	251:14	22:1 23:1 24:1	160:1 161:1 162:1
148:3	equal 54:12	25:1 26:1 27:1	163:1 164:1 165:1
employers 149:13	equality 100:24	28:1 29:1 30:1	166:1 167:1 168:1
171:2	101:15	31:1 32:1 33:1	169:1 170:1 171:1
employment 36:22	equally 64:22	34:1 35:1 36:1	172:1 173:1 174:1
37:15 69:3,6 78:21	equation 236:4	37:1 38:1 39:1	175:1 176:1 177:1
100:2	equitable 233:10	40:1 41:1 42:1	178:1 179:1 180:1
enabling 229:25	equity 7:24 115:21	43:1 44:1 45:1	181:1 182:1 183:1
enact 148:10	115:25 154:13	46:1 47:1 48:1	184:1 185:1 186:1
encourage 49:25	equivalent 38:3	49:1 50:1 51:1	187:1 188:1 189:1
145:12 235:19	Eric 47:13	52:1 53:1 54:1	190:1 191:1 192:1
encouraging 114:9	escrow 27:20,21	55:1 56:1 57:1	193:1 194:1 195:1
endanger 144:14	40:9 41:4,25 42:17	58:1 59:1 60:1	196:1 197:1 198:1
endanger 144.14 endeavor 34:4 47:2	65:20 71:10,16	61:1 62:1 63:1	199:1 200:1 201:1
ended 81:7	73:19 74:3 80:22	64:1 65:1 66:1	202:1 203:1 204:1
enemies 134:5	81:5,8 83:5,15	67:1 68:1 69:1	205:1 206:1 207:1
energy 225:3	89:17,19 90:18	70:1 71:1 72:1	208:1 209:1 210:1
engaged 155:22	191:22 192:16	73:1 74:1 75:1	211:1 212:1 213:1
225:24 226:2,3,4	193:4,5,12 199:23	76:1 77:1 78:1	214:1 215:1 216:1
Engineers 2:21	200:2,9 207:2,2,3	79:1 80:1 81:1	217:1 218:1 219:1
English 6:2 166:11	207:10,23,24	82:1 83:1 84:1	220:1 221:1 222:1
ennoble 208:13	escrowed 42:15	85:1 86:1 87:1	223:1 224:1 225:1
enormous 130:9	208:2	88:1 89:1 90:1	226:1 227:1 228:1
146:15 166:22	escrowing 40:7 42:4	91:1 92:1 93:1	229:1 230:1 231:1
167:2 169:25	42:13 72:13	94:1 95:1 96:1	232:1 233:1 234:1
177:14 181:13,14	especially 135:12	97:1 98:1 99:1	235:1 236:1 237:1
Enron 185:8,14	189:12	100:1 101:1 102:1	238:1 239:1 240:1
186:6,14 190:3	<b>ESQ</b> 4:8,9,16,23,24	103:1 104:1 105:1	241:1 242:1 243:1
197:16,25 198:13	5:8,16,23 6:6,14	106:1 107:1 108:1	244:1 245:1 246:1
206:4,9	6:19	109:1 110:1 111:1	247:1 248:1 249:1
Enron's 186:8	essence 149:17	112:1 113:1 114:1	250:1 251:1 252:1
entered 7:17	essentially 60:15	115:1 116:1 117:1	253:1 254:1,10
entered 7.17 enterprise 151:3	61:7 211:15 244:9	118:1 119:1 120:1	euphomism 166:14
enterprise 131.3 entertained 81:8	established 72:20	121:1 122:1 123:1	evaluate 230:19
146:10	estate 10:10 132:24	124:1 125:1 126:1	evaluated 232:25
entire 48:11 117:7	147:14 148:5	127:1 128:1 129:1	evaluation 79:21
127:10 133:14	152:12 214:22	130:1 131:1 132:1	192:3
154:18 235:3	215:13 233:9	133:1 134:1 135:1	evaluations 148:2
entirely 122:17	estates 246:15	136:1 137:1 138:1	evening 239:2
130:17 147:18	<b>estates</b> 240.13 <b>estoppel</b> 198:14	139:1 140:1 141:1	event 89:20 141:10
entitled 34:7,14,22	et 1:8 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1	142:1 143:1 144:1	145:2 246:18
42:17 76:22 149:6	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1	145:1 146:1 147:1	events 65:18 67:25
72.17 70.22 147.0	0.1 /.1 0.1 /.1	170.1 170.1 17/.1	C ( CII W U J . 1 U U / . 2 J

VERITEXT

	1	T	T
71:10 72:8 246:12	63:12,22 71:14	100:7,18 101:3,10	89:16 94:24
eventual 61:14	84:10 168:16	103:4,8,11,17	104:16 112:22
eventually 89:7	185:19 241:16	104:2 106:9 108:3	216:6,7,7 217:17
102:2	examinations 18:19	109:17 110:3	246:24
everybody 123:16	examine 16:16	116:16 117:10	<b>exhibits</b> 15:25 16:5
124:8,12 161:13	examined 215:10	119:15 120:7,25	16:10 17:2 18:23
209:12 224:20	examiner 47:21	121:11 124:3	19:22 62:4 92:5
228:24 232:14	186:14 195:11	125:5 127:4,5,9	112:14,15,17,21
everybody's 100:13	example 177:9	136:25 137:18	112:22 176:4
evidence 12:12	223:11 227:11	138:16 142:14	218:15
13:13,19 15:20	243:18 245:19	143:6 144:5 147:8	exist 215:16
19:10,16,22 33:25	exceed 159:20 240:6	147:21,24 148:4	existed 116:21 119:4
45:17 54:21 55:5	excellent 133:16	148:23 151:6	existence 137:5
62:11,19 92:4	exception 9:25	156:13 158:18	existing 90:6,16
94:24 104:16	exchange 23:21	164:6 165:8	exists 179:18
106:7,14 107:18	exchanged 17:13	169:21 170:4,7	exit 46:10 49:20
108:2,9,16,25	excluded 91:15	171:3,5 173:15,20	81:13 203:21
113:10 117:8	exclusive 72:16,18	174:2 176:3,10,18	<b>expanded</b> 133:18
122:15,23 123:7	excuse 25:23 95:14	174:2 176:3,16,16	177:3
124:21 125:3	109:15 218:20	177:16 178:18,21	expect 223:21
126:20 128:23	executive 10:2 12:4	179:4 180:25	expectation 137:13
144:21 147:11	35:7 36:5 46:11	202:4,15,17	138:6
167:15 170:5,8	48:25 51:8 54:6,8	203:25 222:23	expectations 121:20
179:13,20,24	67:6,10 69:7 92:17	225:8 228:7,20,25	expecting 141:4
180:2 183:17	92:24 93:8,16 94:6	229:14 233:3,12	expecting 141.4 expects 140:16
202:16 219:3,9	95:13 96:6 101:14	234:18 236:12	205:6
220:2,16 222:25	102:6 103:2	239:15 240:24	expense 134:18
228:10 229:6	115:10 116:13	241:6 244:5,22	expense 134.18
230:21 231:15,16	120:15 125:23	246:3 251:18	experience 37:11
230.21 231.13,10	120.13 123.23	exec's 97:17	140:14 167:18,24
	147:6 149:11		168:10 175:24
237:11,12 242:16		<b>exempted</b> 73:12 86:25	
243:12,15,17	152:9 157:18,20	exercise 114:19	expertise 61:3 243:2
244:2,12,12	158:2 159:11		experts 85:2 118:22
evidentiary 18:21	167:21 177:7	115:13 116:18	<b>explain</b> 33:21 221:11
113:8 114:21	179:21 180:9	122:14 126:22	· ·
115:14,15 116:25	207:12 214:4,10	128:7 134:12	<b>explaining</b> 162:13
122:16 123:6	217:12,15 228:19	153:25 226:3	162:17,19 249:22
218:4,5,10 220:11	228:21 238:18	exercised 123:9	explanation 250:10
221:12	240:18,23 241:10	232:22	explicitly 74:15
ex 90:11	243:23 246:7	exercises 115:3	expressed 89:2
exact 193:18	248:10 252:18	<b>exhibit</b> 10:16 15:18	182:10
exactly 36:23 172:23	executives 10:25	15:21,25 16:2,4,6	extensive 167:16
<b>examination</b> 14:14	11:11 51:18 52:24	16:10 29:8,19,24	extent 58:19 143:9
17:15,20 19:19	56:10 58:4,9 60:5	30:16 54:22 55:4	194:3,17 236:18
20:15 22:7 54:19	95:20 97:10 98:3	57:3 62:3,11,18	247:20
55:7,19 62:9,22	98:14 99:9,17,22	70:25 71:11 89:12	extra 56:24 121:24

. 4 4 100 14	C 110 04 142 2	200 20 222 12 14	162 2 4 105 11
extract 180:14	factors 110:24 143:3	200:20 233:12,14	163:3,4 185:11
extraordinary	143:4 154:2	243:6 247:17	196:24 200:24
142:16,19 224:7	215:11	<b>fashion</b> 19:5 116:4	201:5 216:23
240:17	facts 96:10,14,17,22	<b>fashioning</b> 22:20	238:16
extras 69:24	106:22,23 107:2	35:4 43:17 44:10	filing 40:23 66:22
extremely 121:8	115:11 125:14	45:21 46:7	72:5 122:7 212:24
165:10	207:7 221:6,6,7,12	fate 249:25	240:22,25 241:12
ex-officio 90:3	236:22 239:5,12	FCC 40:17,20 43:12	filtering 79:4
133:20	<b>factual</b> 176:24	43:19 44:11	<b>final</b> 8:8 62:5 83:21
eye 149:21 165:25	factually 128:13	feature 178:25	104:13 134:21
234:21	177:5	<b>February</b> 1:14 8:22	149:3 206:13
	<b>fail</b> 41:8 183:10	163:23 198:2	finalized 172:17
F	191:16	212:24 213:5	<b>finally</b> 15:5 26:10
<b>f</b> 1:21	<b>failed</b> 31:5 75:10	216:9,23 254:16	82:25 94:2 126:18
<b>face</b> 151:19	78:22 182:22,23	Fed 2:4	130:20 133:11
faces 94:11	183:5,15	<b>federal</b> 194:25	154:11 166:5
facilitator 22:25	failing 41:14	<b>fee</b> 54:8	196:16
facing 167:2	failure 176:22	feedback 69:23	<b>financial</b> 32:21 68:2
<b>fact</b> 11:17 33:2,4	fair 28:22 50:25	70:14 81:17	158:15,17 161:17
39:5 45:2 62:15	60:7 61:10 67:4	<b>feel</b> 101:4 103:15	172:18 196:23
77:14 79:22 82:20	74:15,17,24 100:8	132:16 139:14	203:22 231:22
87:13 90:16 92:16	107:9 118:20	172:7	<b>find</b> 96:17 116:8
92:23 93:8,15,21	133:5 134:7	felt 99:25	174:19,20 185:20
95:11,19 96:6,19	139:12 161:8	fides 247:17	187:25 199:20
117:17 118:5	180:4 227:12	fiduciaries 208:18	235:15 242:3
120:14 122:5,24	230:3,14 233:10	fiduciary 133:13	finder's 54:7
125:13,17,25	238:9 242:4	213:17 237:3	<b>finding</b> 28:4 189:13
131:6,7 140:17	249:11 252:5	<b>field</b> 128:16	199:15,16 227:11
149:18 159:10,19	<b>fairly</b> 246:21	<b>fifth</b> 14:22 41:2 72:6	236:14
161:2,9,10 165:7	fairness 154:13	94:19 193:10	<b>findings</b> 36:10 44:24
172:8 173:3,14	162:4	<b>fight</b> 194:21,23	45:8 46:6 129:19
176:2,18 179:6	<b>faith</b> 28:8 31:6	<b>fighting</b> 145:21	130:4 189:20,23
184:20 186:18	37:24 41:9,15	<b>figure</b> 49:7 58:17	finds 31:5 228:9
187:17 188:12	74:24 75:10,10	103:5 123:23	fine 19:6 21:13 26:9
189:19 190:23	78:22 80:21	214:20 245:4,7	28:19 29:22 32:3
197:20 199:8	191:17 250:8	file 71:20 90:9	63:23 64:3 108:21
213:25 215:8	fall 188:2	126:14 131:12	114:17 162:25
219:11,17 222:19	falls 185:4	138:15 156:23	200:7
225:19 226:13	false 32:21	213:18 250:12	<b>finished</b> 217:4,13
229:18,20 236:3	familiar 27:13 30:23	<b>filed</b> 7:14 9:8 12:21	fire 180:16 181:7
240:25 243:22	86:6 90:21 95:6	13:16 14:3,6 15:13	221:16,19,19
244:25 247:24	140:23 170:24	15:15 46:19 62:10	222:16
250:2	far 68:17 97:13	66:21 94:21	<b>firm</b> 7:7 21:16 46:3
factor 51:17 57:20	105:24 136:7	118:10,11,18	54:19 63:16
146:10 159:13	140:2 156:4 178:5	130:7,18 135:14	<b>first</b> 8:25 13:14,17
193:7	193:5 195:24	135:19 143:18	17:21 18:10 20:5
	173.3 173.24	155.17 145.10	17.21 10.10 20.3
	l .		

30:22 37:22 39:7	61:8 125:18	246:20 249:10	111:24 112:7
39:17 40:15 48:25	141:23 143:24	<b>four</b> 4:13 9:11 10:21	113:20 197:9
55:5 71:8,15,21	231:6 251:10	11:2,15 13:5 92:18	216:10 217:22
73:25 75:14 85:4	foregoing 254:4	93:18 95:13 117:9	238:12 245:10
86:5 89:18,22,23	foreign 121:11,12	117:14,15 120:6	246:4 252:10
101:16 106:21	forever 119:21	137:2 139:10	254:11
119:23 120:6	forfeit 28:5	143:11 177:18	<b>future</b> 68:9 69:2,19
122:8 130:7	forfeiture 26:21	210:5 211:9 233:6	70:9 100:2 151:2
137:21 138:5	27:24 31:4,24	<b>fourth</b> 9:5 14:19	180:8 181:5
153:7 185:5,24	74:19 186:19	88:12 186:16	193:15
188:4 193:19	207:5,24	234:10	
200:12 204:11	forget 20:4 85:9	four-part 95:21	G
205:25 209:24	149:6	Fox 6:14 55:16	<b>g</b> 7:3 177:10 205:2
219:24 229:20	<b>forgo</b> 9:5	182:3,4 184:9	gained 184:2
233:6 239:24	<b>form</b> 9:12 13:20	framed 179:7	<b>gaining</b> 101:11
241:16 244:4	66:24 111:7 123:2	FRANK 5:10	game 134:22
248:24 250:13	128:4 129:17	frankly 155:4	gates 178:20 183:12
<b>fit</b> 209:4	136:9 139:15	168:14 218:6	gathered 45:17
fits 25:7	149:3 218:7,23	219:7 247:15	gee 123:15
<b>five</b> 13:4 41:24	219:7 234:12	<b>fraud</b> 199:16	general 11:2 91:11
89:21 113:14	242:2 247:4	free 132:18 133:7	91:14 92:17 95:12
139:11 146:25	252:12	freely 102:9	178:17 205:15
173:22	formally 15:16	freeze 146:24	212:17 217:10
<b>fix</b> 125:21,22 209:18	format 88:22 136:6	Frequently 178:15	224:19
flack 227:9	former 43:13 189:6	fresh 208:15	<b>generally</b> 48:9 50:5
<b>flag</b> 141:17	formula 59:14,15	<b>Friday</b> 213:17	52:23 57:5 58:5
<b>flat</b> 139:16	246:2	front 25:3 28:17,17	68:16 82:24 90:21
flee 202:17	formulated 154:10	70:22 71:11 80:23	108:17 139:14
floating 89:11	formulating 153:10	83:21 89:15,17	148:21 199:19
<b>Flom</b> 4:3,11 7:8	formulation 126:21	121:7 124:17	240:10,19
<b>floor</b> 6:17	<b>forth</b> 75:21 132:3,21	139:24 141:17	<b>Geneva</b> 153:13,14
flyer 80:24	<b>forum</b> 179:11	142:4 162:7	166:6,7
focus 151:2 175:13	<b>forward</b> 10:18 68:8	165:17 191:21	<b>getting</b> 49:12,19
226:22	69:19 84:3 118:3	237:24	52:20 77:21 83:7
<b>focused</b> 166:12	119:21 132:12	front-end 25:13	95:20 111:14
focusing 149:22	134:14 145:7	<b>fulfill</b> 237:2	124:8,19 125:5
155:12	146:22 151:5	<b>full</b> 8:10 50:15 123:7	147:23 159:11
folks 123:14 167:17	152:11 182:21,23	fully 155:22 225:23	171:17 173:21
168:9 184:24	182:25 203:23	225:25 226:3,4	174:2 207:14
191:9 194:19	215:14 217:24	<b>fun</b> 134:22	230:10 252:19
212:4,9,10	220:9	<b>fund</b> 21:23,24	give 28:10 29:6,11
<b>follow</b> 125:9 131:18	<b>found</b> 26:13,23	<b>funds</b> 26:12 27:20	42:6 69:23 71:5
followed 18:4	27:22 28:9 31:12	27:21 73:19 74:3	80:20 83:3 88:3
following 32:21	32:23 41:19 67:15	80:22 186:5	97:24 98:19 100:5
140:9	179:17 186:8,14	<b>further</b> 20:15 91:20	101:2,24 103:11
<b>force</b> 8:13 12:4,5	186:21 198:12,21	94:14 98:22 104:6	121:24 175:15

			227.10
224:22 228:14,17	74:22 76:6,15	141:16 154:25	225:18
<b>given</b> 40:16 53:15	77:21,23 80:18	158:20 166:9	guarantees 117:19
141:15 142:2,3	83:19 85:23 86:3	167:10 168:12	guess 22:2 51:13
145:2 154:2	97:13 100:10	170:23,25 171:23	52:7,11 56:5 57:22
192:10 195:25	101:7 103:3,10,12	172:2 177:3 182:3	86:10 162:17
208:7 214:16	110:22 124:3,5,22	191:14,16 195:22	163:5 235:11
222:7 227:7 228:3	126:12 129:9	201:23 203:21	guidance 113:15
			S
245:9	132:12 137:20,23	214:2,16 235:22	guided 183:16
gives 251:17	138:8 140:25	242:7 250:8	guilty 32:16,18,23
<b>give-up</b> 249:18	141:9,19 145:7,14	<b>gotten</b> 163:14	41:20 192:14
giving 74:21 123:21	146:7,17,19 150:2	174:23 187:21	197:20
<b>glean</b> 13:13	150:14,15,19	241:6	<b>gun</b> 103:8 116:17
<b>global</b> 121:4,13	151:5,5,7,9,10	<b>gouge</b> 149:21	guy 179:15
127:10 224:2	152:11 155:16	government 200:13	guys 132:15 133:3
232:4	156:23 157:7,13	210:19,25	151:13
globally 127:8	159:12,20,24	governmental 68:21	
<b>GM</b> 52:17 245:24	161:5 163:16,24	196:4	$\mathbf{H}$
go 14:12 22:6 33:19	164:2,16,25 165:2	grabs 178:11	half 117:13 118:25
O	, , ,	C	119:24 120:8
37:6 49:13,25 50:8	165:4,8 169:5	gradual 131:22	124:5,18,20
55:8,14,20 74:22	170:8 172:10	GRAHAM 6:8	
77:5 80:25 82:18	174:2 178:21	Grandstaff 18:15	125:24 126:3
82:19,21 83:20	181:3,6 182:20,23	94:20 95:3 98:24	127:3 142:9
106:21 118:3	182:24 186:11	134:24 135:3	224:16 233:3
119:20 134:6,13	187:3,10 188:11	150:3 156:25	235:13 236:3,4
141:21 169:5	189:11 192:11	158:5 165:20	<b>hand</b> 32:14 126:24
188:3 190:20	193:13 195:7	Grandstaff's 226:25	132:25 148:8
194:19 195:5,5,24	199:15 200:16	grant 181:23 202:9	164:22 206:11
200:17 207:10	202:17 203:4,8,14	227:10	220:11,12
212:10 215:14	203:24 204:22	granted 148:8	<b>handed</b> 94:13
217:24 220:6	205:24 209:25	granularity 131:23	<b>happen</b> 150:16,19
223:7,25 225:4,8	210:24 212:5,19	grass 179:17	165:2 200:12
,	•	S	208:24 223:23
234:5 237:21	213:14 220:9	great 88:24 111:10	227:4 251:8,11
240:4 247:16	223:5 227:4,9	134:9 187:16	· ·
248:11	228:7,13,16,24	213:15 230:6	happened 119:25
<b>goal</b> 67:5 161:14	229:10 230:8,14	242:22	144:16 236:10
180:13	232:16 233:15	Green 1:18	happening 145:25
<b>goes</b> 19:13 63:5,10	234:21 235:9	grounds 40:25	161:6
82:24 165:13	<b>Gonzales</b> 185:8,15	group 12:21 136:25	<b>happens</b> 168:11
199:18 205:6	185:21,25 186:12	158:14 224:2,3,4	169:10
going 13:2 28:25	191:24 206:7,13	246:8	happy 134:6 224:24
29:10 30:18 44:17	good 7:5 22:9 31:6	groups 157:22	harbor 65:14 66:8
45:11 49:23 52:16	37:24 41:9,15	grow 190:12	67:5 70:20 77:3
52:18 53:16,21	47:12 74:24 75:10	grown 104:3	88:18
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		O	hard 50:20 100:12
65:3,3 68:8,19	75:10 78:22 80:21	grudgingly 144:22	110:19 126:12,19
69:2,15,19 70:11	92:12,13 95:3	<b>GSM</b> 223:12 224:3	
71:19 72:2 73:19	104:20 119:13	<b>guarantee</b> 15:3 51:3	134:8,23 139:18
	1	1	1

	I		I
139:23 150:10	highlights 229:21	120:25 122:8	horse 181:20
159:8,15 236:17	highly 133:15	124:22,25 125:12	hostage 230:9
249:17	143:12 201:19	126:6 128:20	hostile 248:25
<b>harder</b> 99:4 145:5	hire 103:4	129:2,5,23 130:2,6	hour 179:16
harm 183:14,25	hired 188:25	130:15 131:2,14	hourly 125:18
203:2 227:7,11	hiring 122:2	132:2,7,17,19	141:23 166:22
<b>Harmon's</b> 187:2	historic 10:22	133:12,18 134:20	167:14 183:7
harms 183:22	173:13	134:20 135:10	hours 215:19
health 147:2	historical 167:24	136:17 140:6,19	<b>House</b> 1:17
<b>healthy</b> 100:13	historically 233:4	141:20 145:20,22	Houston 187:4
102:23	<b>history</b> 164:5 166:19	149:10 150:17	HR 81:18 82:18
hear 33:25 113:25	hit 194:8 198:22	151:16 152:3,19	90:10 246:8
129:11 139:19	<b>hitting</b> 171:20	152:25 153:2,5,18	huge 202:20,21
164:7	hold 230:8	154:11 155:2	224:10
heard 53:2 56:5	holding 83:21	160:14 162:22	<b>Huh-uh</b> 45:13
86:11 99:13	holdover 57:17	165:5 166:10	human 8:7,12,18
101:16 102:5	holidays 88:15	175:16 179:14	48:7 65:7 240:14
129:14 130:15	home 171:21	182:4,8 184:10,14	243:3 247:11
131:18 133:24	HON 1:23	184:25 185:7	Hundreds 177:14
134:9 156:6 158:4	honestly 147:5	187:9 188:13	hurting 176:25
200:25 238:23	Honor 7:5,16 8:14	189:19 190:14	
hearing 2:2,8,13,19	8:22 9:17 10:7	191:11 192:21	I
3:2 7:11,19 10:9	12:9,16,25 14:11	193:16,25 194:2	IAM 2:15
15:17 114:8	14:16 15:18,24	194:14,24 195:14	<b>IBEW</b> 2:14 15:13
124:17 129:22	16:13,25 17:9,9	197:13,18 199:21	<b>Ibida</b> 91:3,5
131:8 139:20	18:20,24 19:7,15	201:3,14,22,24,25	icing 128:16
193:2 238:25	20:10,21 21:15	203:17 204:11	idea 38:13 80:20
239:6 254:8	24:8 28:13 29:7,13	207:8 208:4,17	ideas 200:14
hearings 80:6	29:18,20 30:21	209:8,18 211:3,15	identical 47:15
hearsay 16:9	35:9,22,24 47:8,10	215:24 216:3,7	identified 154:3
heart 143:21 159:5	47:13,14,20,24,25	218:2 221:3,24	177:8 207:8
hearts 159:5	54:17,25 55:22	222:20 225:21,22	identify 119:23
held 17:12 32:15	56:3 60:21,24	226:17 227:20	identities 190:21
64:12 81:5 194:10	61:23 62:5 63:12	228:5 230:5,16	ideological 168:23
help 25:8 29:25	63:15 71:2 76:6,8	231:9 233:22	<b>ignore</b> 190:11
30:15 35:25 70:21	76:11,12,23 77:13	234:25 236:16	230:23 231:2
188:24,25	78:14,15 79:17	237:5,19 253:2	232:24
helped 37:7	80:9 84:6,8,12,14	Honor's 187:19	Illinois 4:6
helping 224:18	86:9 89:2 91:21	213:6,25 223:14	illuminate 32:7
Henry 13:20 18:12	92:9,12 94:15,18	236:19 237:14	imaginative 104:24
92:3	98:23 99:21	hope 115:16 155:25	imagine 25:6 33:23
hesitance 66:10	100:23 104:13	162:12,14 208:16	59:9 201:9
high 244:23 246:21	111:25 112:7,10	221:7	immediately 70:11
higher 38:8 41:6,11	111.23 112.7,10	hopefully 129:8	240:21
41:12 199:7,8,9	112.13,20,24	165:19 211:11	<b>impact</b> 138:12,20
highlighted 246:12	115:19 116:11	hoping 87:25	143:16 147:5
inginighted 240.12	113.17 110.11	noping 07.23	2.0.10 117.0

<b>impediment</b> 81:11 81:11,15,20 82:11	nappropriate 67:16 69:8 77:19 106:25 142:17 219:6 nappropriately	119:9 167:3 227:5 229:25 <b>income</b> 178:8	86:20 87:3,4 89:4 89:9,11 90:20
81:11,15,20 82:11	142:17 219:6		*
			91:19 93:4 97:21
		increase 54:11 86:18	105:8 107:5
imperative 168:23	77:17	99:8 116:13	109:22 110:13,23
	ncent 121:21	121:25 128:18	118:11 149:25
	ncent 121.21 ncentifize 138:10	143:8 146:24	229:8 230:18
	ncentify 138:8	164:17,18 177:10	individual 54:5,5
	ncentive 7:21 8:2,6	increases 177:6	59:3 73:12 131:25
241:13 247:23	8:16,24 10:19,23	increasing 120:10	191:16 246:7
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	O	individuals 14:14
implementation	11:5,6,13,14,23	170:4,6	
86:23 227:15	12:3 48:15 57:7,8	incredibly 135:2	37:11 61:18 67:12
240:8	59:16 60:6,10	incrimination 41:3	67:19,24 141:18
implemented 246:9	92:20,21 93:22	incumbent 154:17	industry 50:4,9
implications 70:15	94:6 95:15,15	indemnification	inevitable 250:14,22
implicit 225:24	96:20 97:18 98:4	34:12,17	inevitably 248:25
<b>imply</b> 102:6	98:14 106:15	indentured 182:6	250:25
importance 184:16	107:19 108:10	independent 18:3	inflammatory
227:8	109:2,16 116:24	62:7 64:4 87:20	115:12
important 80:4	118:17 119:23	127:15 186:13	<b>inform</b> 71:18 209:6
102:25 114:12,19	127:23 131:20	195:10 207:6	information 17:13
116:11 121:8	132:16 136:24	217:21 231:21	40:24 72:5 79:14
122:9 129:6,25	139:16 140:13	241:19,21 242:5	79:23 90:13 96:2
146:9 150:9 151:7	141:12 153:21,22	independently 70:2	172:19 189:15
151:14,16,18	170:15 171:15	<b>indicate</b> 116:6 170:9	210:25
185:23 210:3	173:7 202:3,19	242:16	informed 29:19
211:25 222:19,22	214:24 217:3	<b>indicated</b> 20:9 66:10	40:18,19 174:12
224:10 226:21	219:18 234:18	117:8,18 132:3	242:5
importantly 136:13	238:3,5,16 239:3	216:18 254:8	inherent 194:20
246:9	239:11 242:24	indicates 141:3	initially 54:7
<b>impose</b> 102:2 142:19	243:9,20 244:4,14	218:11 220:2	<b>inner</b> 217:8
247:6	244:16 245:18	<b>indicted</b> 40:23 41:19	<b>input</b> 82:17
imposed 210:20	246:2 248:23	72:4	<b>insecurity</b> 158:16,17
	ncentives 56:15	indictment 193:8	inside 50:8
impossible 144:25	57:11	indictments 197:17	insider 23:19 242:2
_	ncentivising 131:24	198:6	insistence 169:19
imprecise 78:15	140:21 151:21	indiscernible 22:25	<b>install</b> 142:17
_	ncentivize 152:22	23:2,3,8,23 28:11	installed 138:11
improper 68:14	225:19	32:11,13 33:15	instance 41:13 199:6
	ncentivized 171:3	34:10 37:19 42:5	instructive 166:6
	nclude 67:12,24	46:4 49:15,16 52:8	185:20
33:3	176:16	52:12 60:11,14	<b>intend</b> 13:19 142:3
	ncluded 116:23	64:20 65:10 73:4,8	intended 136:11,16
	ncludes 186:25	73:10,23 75:15	250:6
_	ncluding 52:19	81:5 84:2,4 85:23	intent 74:10
improving 110:25	66:14 100:21	85:24 86:3,18,19	intent 74.10
improving 110.23	00.14 100.41	03.24 00.3,10,13	michionally 137.10

VERITEXT

<b>interest</b> 24:16 25:19	invoking 193:10	213:21 214:23	122:13,15 123:10
26:24 28:8 31:6	involve 67:18 121:6	215:11 216:20,24	124:25 126:23
37:24 41:9,15 60:9	166:21	225:9 235:5	127:14 128:8,22
61:12 74:10 75:13	involved 23:4,19	issue's 249:23	134:13,19 136:2
78:25 186:22	33:22 36:25 46:2	is,is 25:12	141:17 154:2
191:17 195:5	65:13,18 66:2	items 72:24	211:16,17 214:18
214:21 215:13	67:15 68:14 82:10	it s 168:8	220:14 222:2
217:23	87:9,19 110:20	IUE 16:15 18:12	230:20,24 231:2
interested 77:10	126:8 127:25	20:6,8,11 55:6	232:8,22 237:16
154:19 181:17	191:5 193:2	60:24 62:15,21	242:6,12,17
interesting 152:25	195:11 197:4	91:25 92:2,8	243:12 247:5,9
interests 135:7	206:5 207:21	135:11 141:23	248:15,16 249:7
interests 133.7	208:8 210:4	IUECWA 13:15	judgments 134:23
82:5	225:13 238:17	IUOE 15:14	judicata 198:14
international 2:20	<b>involvement</b> 22:19	i.e 252:21	judicial 214:7
5:11 213:7	involvement 22.17	1.6 232.21	July 7:19 85:3
interpretation 73:15	220:23 247:11	J	129:15 238:23
interpretation 73.13	in-house 186:9	$\mathbf{J}4:24$	jumping 103:8
210:9 211:5	irrational 167:13	Jack 7:6	116:17
223:17	irrationally 167:8	<b>January</b> 9:16 10:4	June 7:21 8:3 9:16
interview 49:21	irritant 146:2	39:6,11,12 66:9	85:2 140:10 238:7
interviews 81:13	221:15	120:18,20,22	jurisdiction 186:24
introduce 152:9	issue 43:23 101:17	177:11,12 238:7	jury 26:14,25 41:20
introduced 122:22	103:6,9,14,16,17	<b>Jeff</b> 63:15	justice 45:11 67:20
introduction 20:9	122:9 129:23	<b>JEFFERY</b> 4:24	154:14
20:11	132:13,14 133:11	jiggered 132:8	justification 145:7
introductory 56:18	132:13,14 133:11	jiggled 132:8	252:16
inventory 33:6	133.23,23 134.9	job 111:14 133:16	juxtaposition
inventory 33.0	141:10 145:16	157:13 161:17	248:22
investigation 33:24	149:19 154:4	208:10 235:22	240.22
40:22 43:3,12,20	158:23 159:5	jobs 166:24 227:5	K
44:11,12,16 45:11	161:2 165:12	236:17	<b>Kayalyn</b> 4:16 7:10
67:14,20 68:11,12	179:6 185:23	John 4:8 18:3 37:9	<b>KECP</b> 7:20 10:12
75:20 76:8 77:8	193:14,21 202:14	62:6	12:2 16:7 22:21
81:22 188:10,13	204:17 211:7	join 202:7	23:6,10,14,16,25
188:21 189:2	225:20 226:21	joint 15:18	24:10 26:10,22
investigations 43:6	227:8 228:23	JR 4:8	35:4 37:8 38:16
43:7 68:21 69:21	235:13 237:4	judge 1:23 27:16	39:3,6,18 43:10
70:3 189:11	issues 12:3 47:14	49:4 66:10 71:20	44:10 45:22 46:7
190:25 194:25	80:5 115:7 124:23	104:8 164:8 166:5	65:3,15,19 66:21
196:4 206:6	126:5 152:16	185:7,15,21,25	67:7 69:16 70:8,12
210:13 248:4	156:3 157:12	186:12,25 189:4	72:12 75:5 76:24
investigative 24:13	160:17,18,20	191:24 201:12	77:16 83:4 87:11
24:25 25:15 45:25	163:19 164:10	206:7,13	88:6,9 94:22
186:9,10	184:16 208:18	judgment 115:3	111:20 115:20
invoke 90:16	210:12,19 211:11	116:19 119:7	184:20 190:19,24
111 VONC 70.10	210.12,17 211.11		

	I	I	I
keep 33:13 54:10	93:13 94:3,11	134:16 143:17	221:11,17 222:17
81:23 130:3 149:4	96:10,14,22,24	144:17 145:4,17	226:4,8
149:16	97:5 100:9 105:24	146:14,16 148:11	leading 117:11
<b>Kennedy</b> 5:16 20:8	110:20,20 118:9	149:12 150:23	lean 203:23
60:23,23,25 61:19	121:4 126:7,16	151:18 152:6	learn 27:23 80:4
135:10,11 138:18	137:8,11,12	154:8 155:9,14	leave 55:25 140:22
138:25 140:6	138:15 139:21	160:11 164:10,12	176:14 179:19
145:17,20 149:9	142:6 146:20	166:15 168:3,7	<b>leaving</b> 52:14 53:15
149:24 150:17	149:20 150:8,10	169:12 209:25	70:16 81:19
151:15 152:2,24	151:3,6 152:14	211:7,23 212:11	led 44:21 67:25
153:17,20,24	155:10 158:19,19	217:5,10 220:23	197:5 216:14
204:16	158:22 159:6,9,12	221:21 223:17,17	ledger 245:21
<b>Kennedy's</b> 204:13	160:5 162:2,3,7	224:9 229:22,25	<b>left</b> 46:11 50:7 53:4
<b>Kennedy,I</b> 20:10	168:15 169:14,14	lack 131:22,23	196:15
<b>KEPC</b> 73:13	169:15 171:22	143:5,10 163:17	legacy 126:10
key 2:10,16,23 3:4	172:23 173:9	lag 49:6	155:15
7:13 60:11 109:10	180:3 189:9,21	laid 246:24	legal 157:8 225:13
110:2,24 111:5	193:23 194:12,22	large 29:24 53:21	length 134:10
156:17 157:19	197:22 199:16	115:7 180:14	213:15 242:4
159:6 168:20	200:18 203:11,15	242:11,22	lenient 77:17
223:9,15	203:18,19 204:2	largely 47:15 116:5	Leonhard 6:19
kids 101:6	207:2 209:13	larger 57:17 129:21	15:10 20:20 21:7
<b>kilter</b> 241:7	215:19 222:25	largest 166:17,18	63:7 201:23,24
kind 22:16 49:21	225:9,25 226:10	<b>LATHAM</b> 5:2	203:6,16 204:8
82:17 102:14	228:8,20,22 229:5	law 2:14,20 7:7	let's 37:6,21 74:18
105:21 115:7	230:22 232:17	21:16 45:8 46:3	125:23 126:2
146:12,21 153:21	233:16,20 235:19	63:16 236:21	147:16 165:5
154:7 160:17	245:10	laws 34:18 157:9	176:2 199:6 215:2
167:25 169:3	<b>knowing</b> 79:2 95:17	lawyer 193:24	215:3
175:25 187:14	165:4	210:11	level 41:19 51:12
228:23	knowledge 27:8	lawyers 42:18	91:18 103:2 139:5
kinds 81:19 180:25	36:24 37:5,12	220:21	140:9 142:12
Kirkpatrick 6:8	42:14 45:6,15	lay 139:21,25 141:5	158:9 174:16
182:4	46:14,16 47:5	159:18,19 173:4	197:8 204:25
<b>Klein</b> 6:2 166:11	52:23 61:17 96:2	196:18 239:8	243:7 244:23
knew 75:18	191:6	244:17	245:2 246:22
know 26:6,8 27:6	known 43:22 141:8	lay-ups 173:18	251:21
28:14 29:2 34:9	191:9	lead 13:8 14:23 16:8	Lexington 6:11
35:10,14 38:6,12	knows 126:7 133:18	18:2 21:9 22:3	lid 210:16
38:18 39:9,19,24	134:21 159:4	46:20 55:20 62:7	lien 83:12
42:6 43:21,25		63:10,19 64:4 77:9	<b>life</b> 155:20
45:19 46:13 47:7	L	87:20 89:7,7	lifestyle 101:9
49:5 50:21 58:7,23	labor 61:3 94:6	127:16 206:2	<b>light</b> 17:5 149:16
74:20 76:19,22	102:14,15 109:11	208:3 215:5	155:5 172:9,21
77:12 78:3 79:19	111:15 120:24	246:12 247:15,18	180:15 181:7
81:23 89:10 93:12	122:3 126:8,11	leadership 121:13	221:18,19 227:6
	l		l

516-608-2400

238:13 239:12,24	loans 33:2	losing 166:24	manner 75:11 78:23
240:7,25 242:7	Local 2:14,21	lost 119:21 120:5	manufacturers 93:3
246:10	lock 251:13	122:6 138:13,23	107:22
lightening 130:13	<b>Lockhart</b> 6:8 182:5	lot 57:12 101:14	manufacturing 96:8
lighting 221:16	<b>locking</b> 252:12	147:3 150:9	166:18
222:16	<b>logic</b> 150:9	158:21 160:18	<b>Marafioti</b> 4:16 7:10
likelihood 153:8	logical 250:21	162:4,18 168:6	March 201:5
lily 128:16	logically 151:25	171:19 178:18	marched 9:9
limited 8:17 14:15	long 28:21 57:7	188:14 189:10	marching 181:21
93:17,20,22	64:12 100:3 134:8	193:3 194:18	mark 14:8 18:17
215:15 217:25	172:2 177:2	195:13 209:24	19:20 104:14
222:7 232:7	214:23 243:14	<b>lots</b> 163:20 176:21	155:20
<b>Linda</b> 4:20	longer 70:17 160:23	192:16	markers 106:18
line 17:10 99:17	175:8	loudly 19:25 20:2	market 180:5
129:22	long-term 8:5,15	low 176:13 178:21	marketplace 240:20
lines 89:21	11:6,14 12:3 56:25	178:23	market-based
<b>list</b> 72:11,17,18,19	92:21 95:15	Lowell 6:6 20:25	143:24
72:23 73:6	116:23 117:4,6	166:10	material 147:9
<b>listed</b> 32:14 41:25	127:23 161:12	lower 40:15 192:12	matter 8:23 20:14
listen 88:2 249:24	166:13	lucrative 94:10	43:25 107:4
listened 134:24	look 29:12 30:22		111:13 115:10,19
231:11	31:3 46:5 51:7	M	118:3 125:13,25
literally 236:9	53:24 57:2 58:3	<b>M</b> 6:19	142:11,22 144:11
litigation 13:9 24:5	74:19 89:12,13,15	Madison 5:13	145:14 146:2
26:15,17,25 63:20	89:16 96:17	magnitude 183:14	149:8 151:14
161:6 163:25	146:12 150:14	main 134:15	154:13 168:10
164:3 186:4 187:3	152:13 161:22	major 115:7 133:21	198:11,16,18
188:16 193:3	162:5 164:8	makers 106:10	204:6 207:11,12
199:24 200:24	165:23 166:8	making 65:8 85:24	213:25 222:9
214:14	169:15,16 176:2	100:18 124:2	240:15,17 243:14
little 29:15 40:8	176:19 192:11,13	130:17 132:7,14	253:7,8 254:7
57:13 64:8 66:5	196:5,7,12 208:15	133:17 134:18	matters 9:10 13:15
70:21 95:5 103:13	228:20 234:21	149:17 181:9	13:24 23:2 48:10
110:15 130:23	235:2	212:13 241:21	77:9 206:8 207:22
141:5 182:12	looked 45:7,16 58:3	247:14	211:8 213:14
184:5 202:12	74:8 180:9 190:7	man 149:19	223:15
live 142:12 233:7	191:8 245:11	manage 247:13	<b>MBA's</b> 139:13
lived 233:5	246:25	management 21:25	<b>Meagher</b> 4:3,11 7:8
livelihood 103:24	<b>looking</b> 23:6 46:25	86:2 116:15	mean 24:8 26:3
lives 145:22 158:9	56:13 136:2,10	121:22 216:21	28:23 52:21 56:20
163:12	146:7 158:6,14,16	217:13 224:2,4	75:7 77:24 78:6,10
living 146:23	172:8 179:15	management's	79:25 112:21
<b>LLP</b> 4:3,11,18 5:2	207:22	59:12	124:12 125:10
5:10,18 6:8 7:8	looks 111:8	manages 224:12	138:15 156:12
loaf 127:4	lose 103:23,23 127:5	managing 223:3,5,6	159:16,23 160:6
loan 33:4	147:21	223:12 224:18	162:2,23 167:18

212-267-6868

г			
170:14 171:23	133:20 142:7	165:9 173:21	176:22 178:18
172:20,23 181:20	169:15 171:7	174:14,14 177:19	179:25 192:16
195:8 198:15,20	174:20 180:18	181:24 183:4	194:6,9 205:23
199:13,14,18	221:23 227:3	205:5,17,21	monies 97:16 98:2
203:10,23 211:2	243:3	218:18 240:3,5	147:8
218:4 229:10	membership 94:10	mind 16:25 19:18	month 85:6 89:6
235:5,6,24 236:25	98:2 111:13,16	27:17 40:5 88:23	90:23 93:22 96:19
meaningful 243:17	156:16 157:3	130:3 221:17	97:2 121:15
244:2,11	Memorandum 2:13	mindful 184:15	124:18 132:6
means 27:17 121:18	2:19	minds 88:2	135:20 175:12
155:10,21 164:24	memos 46:10	mine 236:19	200:24 204:18
168:5,7 170:22	mentioned 27:7	<b>minimum</b> 76:21	205:8,11 216:16
177:20 230:3	90:20 173:8 179:2	187:10 249:3,20	233:25 243:10
meant 113:21,21	mere 159:10 236:3	minor 148:7	months 9:2,11 84:21
204:20	merely 91:10	minute 27:12 113:14	120:6 123:22,23
measure 242:11	merits 248:13	114:2 123:5	128:2 132:11
measured 123:10	message 157:2	124:11 182:12	136:15 138:5
137:20	159:16	minutes 216:8,11,12	142:24 151:13,17
measures 25:9 27:10	met 56:7 86:16	231:14 237:22	152:3,23 163:4
30:9,24 38:24 39:2	135:3 145:9 189:8	249:22	165:7 200:22
39:7,20,24 45:22	245:13 248:9	missed 71:13	201:17 202:14,18
66:11 77:18	metaphor 244:19	misses 167:11	204:8 215:3
185:16 187:23	method 27:22	Mississippi 21:22	219:25 220:4,7
191:11 194:15	<b>Meyer</b> 6:2 166:10	184:13	227:19 233:6,8
195:17 205:9	218:14,21	mix 57:17	244:5 246:17
207:6 243:8	Michigan 189:4	mixed 103:16	morale 178:22
246:15	213:11	160:17,19,21	mortgages 101:6
measuring 122:11	micro 247:12	modest 129:20	<b>motion</b> 2:2,2,8,8,13
245:3	microphone 29:17	modification 23:5	2:15,19,22 3:2,2
mechanics 9:12	64:8	modifications	7:11,20 9:8 11:25
mechanism 206:24	middle 164:4 165:24	129:24	18:25 76:9,24
media 212:21	224:14 244:10	modified 132:10	79:22 114:20,25
median 57:13,25	<b>midst</b> 151:17 240:13	245:17 254:9	128:9,24 129:12
117:11	248:18	modifying 128:3	129:12,21 130:6
meet 17:11 79:5	mid-November	moment 112:4,11	130:24 131:4,9
87:24 137:23	163:6	164:25 215:23	134:14 135:9,19
141:9 197:8	mid-target 56:8	223:20	135:22 136:7,12
meeting 88:12	mightily 168:18	<b>Monday</b> 253:4	141:16,20 142:5
213:12 216:9	<b>Miller</b> 9:25 87:23	money 26:22 28:10	143:16 147:17
249:23 252:20	88:8 120:18	33:2 40:7 42:13,15	148:8,17 154:8,12
meetings 169:5	216:14	57:12 83:20 88:21	154:20 156:22
213:10	million 9:19,21	96:25 97:10	176:9 182:16
member 8:12 15:4	51:23 56:8 97:3,9	136:23 137:6,6,12	200:22 227:10
90:3,11,12,14	135:21 140:11	138:21 158:18	229:21 235:24
180:22	147:6,7 159:21	159:11 164:16	237:25 238:4,15
<b>members</b> 13:6 111:9	160:3,5 164:6	168:6 176:14,16	238:20 239:17

252:25	need 28:15 53:11,18	191:8 208:16	nudge 71:12
motions 115:6	83:12,17 100:24	214:16	number 7:15 12:22
129:25 147:17	113:19 142:16,19	nevertheless 245:8	12:25 13:16,21,23
181:12 200:23	153:6 175:22	250:7	14:2,4,7,9,22,24
201:4	182:18 193:11	new 1:3,19,19 4:14	15:5,8,11 20:7
motion's 138:15	216:22 226:7,9	4:14 5:6,6,14,14	27:19 32:14 49:13
motive 195:23	232:4 242:6	5:21,21 6:4,4,12	54:22 55:4 62:3,11
Motors 11:2 91:11	needed 66:3 119:20	6:12,18,18 30:10	92:5,19,19,20,21
91:15 92:17 95:12	needing 81:7	58:11 87:24 88:15	94:24 104:16
205:16 212:18	needs 49:2 121:21	105:23 116:8	117:2 142:7 143:6
217:10 224:20	142:9 172:4 185:5	213:13	166:20 205:18,21
mouth 28:24	negative 140:11,12	Nice 95:4 104:20	numbers 139:2
move 10:18 16:25	,	NICHOLSON 6:8	
	140:20 151:19,24		176:15 190:21
18:24 35:18 80:13	159:22 160:4	Nick 17:25 54:18	numerous 167:20
84:3 112:24 113:7	171:18,21,22,23	nine 8:25	0
203:22	174:14 204:19	Nix 4:18 21:16 63:17	o 1:21 7:3
moved 19:12,15	205:5,17,21 245:4	nobody's 168:25	obadar 91:3 218:18
moving 163:21	245:7	232:9	<b>Obedah</b> 91:17
191:20	negotiable 149:12	non-cash 57:21	<b>object</b> 131:9 184:19
MR.BECKWOR	negotiate 119:19	241:9	203:24
55:10	131:11	non-union 248:20	<b>objected</b> 13:7
MURRAY 5:10	negotiated 129:18	249:14	187:15
muster 247:3	156:3,15 206:17	normal 120:14	
mutual 21:24 60:13	206:18 218:12	146:14 244:15	objecting 184:19
mythical 141:13	negotiating 52:16	normally 234:3	<b>objection</b> 2:13,14,19
N	107:11 119:5	251:21	12:19,22,23 13:8
N 4:2 7:3	188:7 242:24	norms 144:6	13:16,25 14:4,7,21
1 1 1 1 1	250:8	Nos 2:21	15:11,14,15 16:6,9
name 7:6 20:10	negotiation 128:2	nose-dived 170:17	28:12 35:8 46:23
21:15 25:17 63:15	160:12 238:12	notation 217:16	47:2 76:2,5 80:11
78:11	negotiations 61:16	<b>note</b> 141:20	105:4 106:20
named 24:3,12,15	85:15 107:9	notebook 29:20	110:5 112:16
24:20 25:15 78:8	143:17,20 144:14	<b>noted</b> 156:24 216:22	131:12 163:3
85:22 186:3 192:4	145:18 146:14	245:5 253:10	184:21 188:16
196:6	149:15 150:23	notes 109:24 182:7	192:5 222:6
names 190:20	151:18 154:8	<b>notice</b> 71:22,23	235:25 251:4
National 21:22	183:19 205:13	163:6 214:8	objections 12:18
natural 69:13	215:22 227:17	242:13	13:3,4 14:10 16:5
nature 45:8 70:13	240:12 245:23	<b>notified</b> 40:20,21	17:5 112:16
necessarily 67:18	249:4 252:11	72:3	185:13 209:23
163:18 175:5	negotiators 87:14	<b>notifying</b> 213:6,7	245:17 247:14
230:11	144:17,24	<b>notion</b> 167:5	248:11
necessary 117:8,9	Netherlands 21:23	notwithstanding	objective 32:3
213:4 227:13	never 30:12 135:3,4	136:22 137:14	115:17 148:20
228:13	150:14,15,19	244:24	149:7 193:6,9
necessity 154:11	181:10 184:22	November 182:14	207:15

objectively 149:18	offered 18:7 107:21	Oklahoma 21:20	oppose 143:16
162:8 207:7,9	108:11 109:5	184:12	opposed 41:25 51:23
247:2	161:20 244:13	ombudsmen 82:17	75:12 78:25
objectives 12:11	offering 19:9 101:3	once 86:14 101:19	100:17 123:20
119:10 210:5	officer 10:2	165:13 203:19	128:15 202:5
211:9	officers 9:22 120:15	214:6	opposite 220:16
<b>objector</b> 13:14 14:5	<b>official</b> 5:3 15:6	ongoing 43:12 44:11	opposition 94:21
14:20,22,25 15:6	71:17 94:5 105:17	68:21 188:22	<b>option</b> 57:22
<b>objectors</b> 13:11,12	109:8 242:18	194:25 217:9	options 153:15
13:18,24 17:17	<b>officio</b> 90:12	245:23	oral 113:22 114:8
18:8,13 55:6	<b>oh</b> 102:17 149:5	open 82:2	179:3 245:5
114:16 123:4	198:24 212:3	<b>opened</b> 238:12	order 2:3,9,15,22
148:9 202:8	214:5 218:9	operate 121:3	3:3 7:11 8:8,9,19
231:17 248:21	Ohio 105:23	operated 120:7	13:11,24 17:10,20
obligations 8:8,11	okay 12:15 14:18	operating 2:20 12:5	18:9 78:16 91:24
8:18 126:10	15:23 16:23 17:4	152:7 202:25	94:19 127:11
155:15	19:2,23 20:22 21:5	operation 121:9	157:5 165:6
observations 73:3,5	24:9 25:4 26:9	166:25	194:16 206:14,16
<b>observe</b> 73:9	27:8,9 30:13 32:2	operations 121:6 127:10	206:17 207:3
<b>observed</b> 214:2 <b>obtain</b> 249:19	32:20 34:16,20 36:9 38:13 40:4	Opie 16:17 18:3	209:10,10 212:23 213:2 224:3 237:2
obtaining 81:12,21	42:10 43:10 44:4	37:9 62:6 63:25	253:3
82:12	45:20,24 47:9,18	72:10 87:7 88:6	ordinary 10:12
obvious 99:3 233:14	51:21 52:9,13	89:15 90:2 127:15	116:6 119:2 121:3
obviously 9:6 15:9	54:15,24 55:12	191:20 208:23	135:16,23 136:3
19:13 52:14 99:12	58:2,15 59:13 60:2	215:5 217:11	136:11 225:12,15
105:6 110:23	60:19 63:13 66:5	Opie's 62:19 209:16	239:20,22
111:10 132:17	66:19 67:4,9,23	opinion 98:12 99:20	organization 48:24
133:7 138:12	68:5,17 69:18,25	127:13 185:17	48:25 49:10 90:10
139:6	70:4,19,19,24	218:3 220:12,14	224:12
occasion 87:8	74:13,18 75:17	opportunities 49:24	organizations 151:4
occur 147:20 183:22	79:16 80:15 81:10	50:4 51:3 60:9,12	organized 122:3
207:9	82:11,20 83:14	117:20,21,24	<b>Orien</b> 230:18
occurred 43:7 88:12	84:7,13 85:8,21	118:17 124:6	original 66:20 78:7
187:20	87:5 91:22 101:13	125:24 126:3	135:17 136:7
occurs 213:5	104:5,10,12	136:23 137:8	originally 7:14
<b>October</b> 7:15 8:3	108:21,23 109:14	144:9 177:3	131:21 136:11
9:8 11:9 66:20	111:24 112:2,19	219:12,15	238:15 242:23
118:10,18 126:2	113:6,11 129:4	opportunity 11:5,6	Orion 115:2 122:12
135:19	153:19,23 161:9	11:24 16:16 49:6	237:15
odd 120:25 139:17	163:2 166:3	55:8,14,19 57:7	outcome 27:2
236:15 OFMI- 224:16	175:19 181:25	60:16 63:5,10	outside 46:2 49:24
OEM's 224:16	184:8 199:2	137:7 151:2	50:9 62:7 118:21
offer 16:22 17:21	201:20 204:7	234:18 242:14	183:11
19:19 106:7,14	209:19 214:6	244:20,21 251:13	outsider 60:3
107:18 108:2,8,25	237:17 253:5	252:13,23	outweigh 151:21
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

VERITEXT

202.14	116710510	220 12 240 11 20	11 60 17
202:14	papers 116:7 135:13	239:12 240:11,20	payable 60:17
overall 100:20	163:5,5 176:10	240:24 246:3	paychecks 181:4
180:10 244:25	190:8 239:8	248:9 251:15,24	<b>paying</b> 246:16
overcome 229:5	paragraph 30:22	particularized	<b>payment</b> 8:10,11
overcomes 202:20	40:16 71:15,23	245:25	27:22 67:11 72:13
overlaying 163:19	89:18,22,23	particularly 114:25	83:8 111:7 137:4
overpaid 179:5	136:20 140:7	115:2 129:25	payments 65:19
overriding 80:5	204:15,23,24	155:5 161:19	83:4 84:20 132:16
overrule 237:15	213:2 216:4	173:20 186:16	136:24 142:21
overseeing 208:13	paragraphs 73:25	212:21 240:16	173:15 177:14,15
oversight 222:5	138:2 185:13	241:8 242:13	180:25
overstatement	229:20	247:10 251:9	<b>payout</b> 170:13
179:14	paren 140:12,15	parties 72:21 82:9	payouts 170:10,11
overwhelming 218:6	part 8:7,14 9:2	129:16 161:8	<b>payroll</b> 69:11,15
over-dwelling	10:15,22 11:16	202:23 228:2	70:17 75:8,15 81:2
142:22	33:24 36:10 44:21	239:7	pay-outs 90:23
O'Neil 120:20	76:9 93:18 95:13	<b>partners</b> 7:9 81:3	<b>PBGC</b> 47:11,13,15
<b>O'Rian</b> 221:25	116:20 120:2	parts 93:2,18 95:21	55:23 133:21
	121:2 123:20,21	96:8 106:10,18	<b>PC</b> 6:2
P	130:11 151:9	107:22	<b>peace</b> 134:16
<b>p</b> 2:4 4:2,2 7:3	182:19 183:8	party 47:11 84:9	<b>Pearl</b> 218:13,21
238:10	203:11 215:15	190:25 195:10	peer 157:22 158:13
pack 117:12,13,14	221:21 227:3,21	207:17 254:12	peers 57:14 60:18
package 130:10	245:3	pass 55:11,21 56:2	<b>pending</b> 24:5 26:16
page 30:16,23 40:15	partial 50:16 86:13	62:21 63:2 84:5	27:2 62:23 129:13
74:18 89:17 97:24	137:4 170:13	247:3	143:17 185:9
140:7 143:19	participant 23:10,17	passed 55:6,24	186:4
216:5,11	24:2 40:16,18,21	passes 247:5	<b>pension</b> 15:2 21:23
paid 42:5 50:14,19	65:3 70:9 71:25	patently 233:14	186:5
51:22 66:16,16	72:12 186:20	path 165:19 181:21	pensions 100:6
67:6,7 69:15 74:11	participants 16:7	Patterson 4:18	<b>people</b> 38:15 49:17
83:16 84:24 86:14	43:19 154:22	21:17 63:17	50:7 52:13 53:3,21
88:21 89:3 95:20	190:19,24	<b>pause</b> 61:14	66:15,16 78:11
98:3,14 106:8	participate 15:10,16	pay 8:21 34:25	79:3,8,12 81:19
108:3,4 115:24	24:3 35:7 36:7,17	51:16 54:11,14	88:25 89:3 102:20
117:5 124:9	38:16 88:5,8 186:2	56:16 57:6,10,11	113:25 114:9
136:23 137:4,12	186:13 189:17	57:13,16,21 74:11	121:10,13 125:15
137:16,16 144:5	participated 23:19	88:24,25 97:9	127:14 128:12,18
147:8 158:18	67:13,25	100:21 117:2	132:18 133:2
170:15,19 171:5,5	participating 24:11	118:4,5,12 120:17	138:8 139:13,13
171:17 176:3,17	participation 10:6	125:4 150:8 164:5	139:23 140:25
176:21 179:12	24:19 25:2,14	164:15 167:3	142:12 145:12,23
207:25 219:21	26:11,21 75:6	170:4,6,15,21	146:7 150:7 153:6
246:17	185:22 186:7,18	176:11,12 182:17	154:19 158:21
<b>pain</b> 162:10,11	particular 134:24	207:13 219:15,16	162:2,14,16
<b>paper</b> 35:13 126:15	148:11,17 199:18	225:18 234:24	165:17,18 168:14

	1	T	Т
171:17 174:12	204:19 205:9	pictures 230:18	202:24 203:13,14
176:13 189:16,25	238:6 240:21	237:15	203:20 204:22
190:5 192:4	243:9,10,19	pie 10:20 11:22	205:3,10,12,15,22
194:20 196:6,19	periodically 238:22	120:13 211:18	216:16,17 217:3
197:2 202:11	periods 117:17	215:16	219:18 227:22,25
203:14 211:25	period's 9:6	piece 11:22,23 35:12	238:3,5,11,14,16
222:10 223:21	perks 49:11,22	49:5 126:14	239:3,10,11,11
233:11,15 235:4,8	permission 21:11	206:21 209:20	240:2 242:24
235:20 236:8	114:14 182:17	211:18	243:10 244:4
people's 56:22	person 24:3,15	pieces 11:3,15 117:9	245:18 247:3,21
percent 51:11,11,19	25:18 31:5,12 36:5	<b>pissed</b> 159:10	248:23 249:5,10
52:10 56:11,17	40:20,22,25 46:5	place 25:10 30:11	251:15,23,25
120:17,21 124:9	69:10 106:2	34:12 38:24 39:2	252:7,14
137:17 138:9,11	217:14	39:20 40:9 51:24	planning 19:17
138:20,24 147:22	personally 87:9	57:23 60:6 62:6	53:20 142:7
147:23 233:16	personnel 48:10	118:6,15 125:5	247:13
234:24 252:7	65:8	141:17 144:20	plans 7:21 15:16
percentage 51:24	person's 37:15 72:2	191:13,15 206:9	57:22 59:16 117:4
59:18,20	perspective 10:7,14	210:6 234:4	127:23 141:12
percentages 59:24	10:17 173:17	placement 65:19	144:20 178:13,14
percentile 125:6	182:13	<b>plaintiffs</b> 13:8 14:23	178:15,16
244:10	persuade 99:4	16:8 21:9 22:3	plants 52:20 103:20
perception 138:7	persuaded 245:11	46:20 55:20 63:10	103:24 166:24
164:20 202:22	pertain 239:13	63:19 77:10 208:3	played 246:6
203:9 209:14,18	pertain 239:13 pertains 239:2	247:15,18	playing 150:5
251:12	Peterson 6:6 20:25	plaintiff's 206:2	pleaded 38:18
perform 205:24	20:25 105:4 166:9	246:13	pleaded 38.18 pleadings 99:14
244:23 251:20	166:10 171:12,16	plan 2:17 8:2,6,24	114:10 179:2
	171:25 172:13,22	18:18 48:15 50:23	
performance 8:10			pleas 197:21
8:17 9:18 60:12,13	172:25 173:10,13	56:25 60:6 61:5,7	pleasant 126:25
91:13,16 125:4	174:5,9,25 175:4	61:11,13,18 66:21	please 19:25 21:14
131:24 137:17,24	175:15,20 177:25	85:11,14,18 86:7	63:14 64:11 130:2
138:4 159:20	178:4 179:23	95:13 109:10,16	197:15
170:16,22,22	182:2	111:6 119:24	pleases 22:5 63:20
204:18 240:7	petition 8:20	120:3,4,5 121:15	plenty 169:20
252:6,24	petitioned 12:21	121:19,20 131:20	plus 52:4 103:23
performances	117:17 120:9	132:3 137:10,13	160:6 225:12
204:21	182:19 219:13	137:15 140:14	<b>PM</b> 1:15 253:10
performed 152:23	phrase 91:5 101:15	142:15,20 148:11	Pnina 3:22 254:3,20
performing 170:19	168:25	150:21,25 153:11	pocket 103:22
<b>period</b> 8:2,25 9:11	phrasing 31:21	154:9 157:19	pockets 168:6
9:15 50:12 64:17	pick 81:4 179:9	160:2,4 175:3,5,7	point 19:12,19 79:2
66:17 90:24 132:6	251:22	177:20,21,24	80:8 81:6 90:20
132:11 137:19	picked 29:16 137:9	178:3,5 180:11	99:4,15,21 100:8,9
138:9 139:3	picketing 183:12	185:4 186:2	100:25 103:13
140:10,20 141:6	<b>picture</b> 202:12	193:22 195:20	129:7 132:7
L	l .	l .	l .

VERITEXT

		Г	Г
133:24 136:10	140:17 141:4	prejudiced 114:23	143:7 241:12
137:21 140:4	159:22 204:17	114:24	privilege 44:7
142:23 146:4	205:8,11	preliminary 129:7	<b>pro</b> 231:20,24
150:13,13 152:3	possible 134:4	175:10	<b>probably</b> 54:6 61:9
152:15,25 158:20	229:13	premature 195:18	130:22 150:8
159:6,17 163:22	<b>possibly</b> 49:9,12	202:9	153:2 172:17
167:12 174:23	111:14	<b>premise</b> 36:4 38:15	200:25 227:18
175:6 178:11	post 198:5,5	102:19	<b>probe</b> 107:10
180:8,24 182:16	postpone 193:13	premising 85:15	<b>probed</b> 247:16
184:3 189:10	post-petition 243:19	prepare 253:3	problem 101:21
191:14 212:12,20	potential 49:15	prepared 46:10	104:22 125:17,23
219:14 222:16	50:24 68:13	108:8,25 109:25	126:25 130:16
223:12 226:25	111:11 138:12	110:9 235:15	132:2 161:3,24
231:18 235:11	183:22 227:5	236:13 237:14	164:22 165:11
236:7 237:5,7	239:24	preponderance	171:6,8,14 196:16
238:11 239:7	potentially 166:23	229:6	200:8 202:21,22
245:14 248:11	238:18 252:19	<b>present</b> 13:18,19	203:9 208:22
250:20	practical 139:8	14:16 15:2,21	223:19 233:2,4
pointed 175:22	142:21 144:11	43:10,13 64:15	problems 111:11
238:24	160:22,24 161:3	113:10 132:19	125:22 127:17
points 78:10 104:25	165:11 198:11,15	presentation 115:14	131:20 175:22
135:12 228:5	198:18 249:21	presented 166:16	248:22
242:19 245:16	practically 156:21	176:20 179:25	procedure 27:18
poisoning 163:16	<b>practice</b> 81:15 96:21	251:25 252:15	31:4,21 33:16 34:8
<b>POJ</b> 81:25	208:24	presently 26:15	129:9 136:5,14
policies 33:7	practices 93:24	129:15	192:13
<b>pool</b> 9:19	pragmatic 222:22	president 120:20	procedures 2:5
<b>poorly</b> 173:20	<b>pre</b> 8:19 12:20 76:8	pressure 120:4	27:13 33:7 131:16
<b>portion</b> 10:12 57:17	117:16 120:8	presumably 18:8	182:15 190:5
119:14 122:6	182:18 219:12	38:9	195:6 196:11
129:11,21 139:9	preceded 66:25	<b>pretty</b> 175:13 198:3	199:9 208:2
144:7,8 241:9	precedent 187:11	<b>prevail</b> 11:25 147:17	<b>proceed</b> 7:25 19:4,4
245:25	precedential 238:10	preventative 42:11	63:21 71:21
portions 20:12	preceding 240:21	prevents 223:20	proceeding 17:18
182:18 238:20	precise 174:13	previously 8:4 25:15	45:18 47:17 72:4
241:12	precisely 190:15	pre-bankruptcy	136:5 143:19
<b>posit</b> 148:18	precludes 24:11	241:7	230:19 232:20
<b>position</b> 64:12 69:9	25:14 26:11,21	pre-petition 8:5,15	proceedings 34:13
106:7,13 107:18	82:6	117:23 118:6	42:18 196:3
107:25 119:12	predecessors 172:5	pre-petitioned	200:17 254:6
144:24 197:7	predicate 176:24	124:7 127:3	process 22:20 27:23
219:10 221:22	predictions 212:13	primary 210:5	32:15 33:15,17,20
232:10 236:25	preferably 57:8	211:9	33:22 34:22 35:19
positioned 174:17	prejudge 145:16	principal 17:22	36:14 37:2 42:19
positions 232:18	prejudice 129:22	18:10	46:2 53:8 66:2,8
positive 138:4	222:11	<b>prior</b> 65:8 136:17	81:6 125:20
	l		l

	ı	T	
126:15 127:12	218:8,9 219:8,22	39:3,17 61:6	purchased 233:9
155:23 156:7,8,10	220:18 221:24	131:21 181:14	purple 144:4
163:25 164:3	222:6 225:13,17	184:21 185:4	purpose 128:11
174:18 184:6,7	226:6 227:12	186:2 187:6	184:19
192:25 193:11,21	229:14,15 231:23	195:20 206:17	purposes 91:13
194:4,4 197:4	232:7 233:25	216:16 242:3,23	128:10 140:13
208:7 210:2 237:9	234:7,10,13,16	244:14 248:24	169:2
237:13	240:9 241:8,10,17	proposition 135:18	<b>pursue</b> 181:18
processes 209:24	247:17 248:13	propriety 202:4	push 250:22,24
produced 170:9	programs 11:12	<b>pros</b> 217:6	<b>put</b> 10:7,13 12:2
<b>product</b> 241:19	115:21 116:2	prospect 167:4	30:10 56:10 60:6
<b>profit</b> 100:7,11	117:5,6 118:12,15	<b>protect</b> 246:15	65:25 77:3 80:22
116:16 164:18,19	118:16 128:4	protecting 132:23	80:24 81:24 95:24
progra 136:24	149:11 152:10	protections 25:5	98:9 114:10 117:9
program 2:11,24 3:5	167:21 173:7,12	protective 247:20	119:17 121:14
7:14 8:7,14,16,17	176:2 202:3	<b>provide</b> 60:9 121:12	127:23 128:16
8:20,21 9:5,13,15	206:10 214:24	provides 161:17	132:3,21 138:21
9:17 10:6,13,19	219:11 234:4	providing 11:10,12	144:20 150:22
11:13,14,17 12:6,7	progress 12:12	60:15 172:19	152:18 155:14
26:13 37:8,12 39:6	119:18 122:24	208:23	159:13 162:6
43:17 49:3 66:11	prohibits 24:19	<b>proving</b> 148:16	165:6 182:12
70:12 77:16 81:7	projections 238:14	<b>provision</b> 23:24 24:9	194:18 195:23
91:13 92:18 93:23	promulgation 23:5	24:19 25:10 26:10	210:6 214:11,22
94:22 95:21 96:20	<b>proof</b> 246:22	26:20 27:25 28:4	215:2,3 219:4
106:16 107:8,20	<b>proper</b> 133:6 136:4	41:14 42:12,16	222:8 225:10
108:10 109:3	179:11 202:25	67:5 82:21 89:18	232:7 234:4
110:3 111:20	203:24	199:22 200:4	puts 243:22
116:22 117:7	properly 36:17	206:12 251:22	putting 28:24
119:14,23 121:3,5	132:22 242:20	provisions 27:20	162:16 193:3
122:18,24 123:2	property 10:10	40:10 41:8 42:3	216:19
130:19,19 131:13	prophylactic 25:5,9	58:22 65:15 66:9	<b>puzzle</b> 11:16
132:19 135:15	27:10 29:6 30:3,9	70:20 73:17 74:14	<b>PWC</b> 46:3 188:24
136:16 138:10	30:17,24 38:23,25	77:3 88:18 89:8	
139:16 151:20	39:7,20,24 45:22	90:17,18 129:13	Q
152:18 153:7,21	65:14 77:2,18	187:8 191:13	<b>quarter</b> 9:5 85:4
153:22 158:3	88:18 89:8,14	200:11 206:3,15	88:12 234:10
161:20 167:25	90:17 132:14	247:20	243:23
168:21 171:15	185:16 187:22	provision's 200:7	<b>question</b> 25:7 31:3
175:8 177:13	191:11 194:14	proximity 141:15	35:13 60:21 72:15
185:22 186:20	195:17 206:3,15	213:24	78:6,7 80:18,19
187:6,12 188:8	proposal 86:24	<b>public</b> 12:8 21:20	86:5,12 92:15,22
189:18 197:13	124:18 242:15	93:9 108:12 109:5	92:23 93:3,7,10,15
200:22 206:20	proposals 181:13	184:13 202:20,22	93:15,19,21,25
210:7 211:14	<b>propose</b> 106:20	203:9	95:11,16,18,22
214:11 215:14	proposed 23:15	<b>publicly</b> 52:22 108:5	96:5,9,13,19 97:21
216:18 217:24	24:10 27:11 31:17	212:16	97:25 98:8,11
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

99:18 106:21	148:23	160:17 163:12,13	179:24 249:19
108:14,16 109:25	ranges 218:17	164:20 166:8	recess 113:14 114:6
160:15,20,23	rank 127:6 250:12	167:12 173:5	237:23
175:17 193:17	ranks 177:7,7	175:12 181:8	recheck 109:24
211:19 221:16	rates 125:19 141:24	202:14 226:13	<b>recipe</b> 127:16
232:21 234:8	ratification 183:20	228:6 230:7	recited 28:15
237:13	ratified 169:6	235:10,19 237:7	recognize 114:20
questionable 100:4	183:13	238:5 247:12	126:21 128:8
242:18	ratify 183:24 211:15	250:2,21	167:9 220:20,25
questioning 136:19	211:16	realm 157:25	221:3 223:16
<b>questions</b> 21:3,8,12	rationalize 52:19	<b>real-time</b> 161:11	249:7
22:4 23:14 40:25	reach 137:15 141:6	reason 40:4 41:23	recognized 223:14
43:3 47:23 48:4	152:3 156:9,15	42:8,11,11 48:14	241:3
55:17 56:6 62:16	197:8	49:18 77:19 81:3	recognizes 202:2
62:24 63:8 72:6	reached 83:25	87:22 148:25	220:4
80:14 84:11,18	196:21 203:10	149:2 152:21	recollection 66:7,24
87:8 91:20 92:15	234:11	180:15 187:18	recommend 73:10
94:14,16 95:5	reaching 144:19	191:25 232:19	110:10
97:23 98:18,22	146:4 215:17	250:21	recommendation
104:6,8 107:3,12	react 168:14	reasonable 116:19	70:16
134:25 150:3	reacting 167:8	122:22 132:17	recommendations
185:17 190:3	reaction 245:15	134:12 208:4	65:7
quick 44:2 70:25	249:2	218:18 226:6	record 18:21,24
74:19	reactions 167:14	227:12,16,25	19:8 28:14 61:24
quickly 35:19	read 30:19 46:18,22	228:12,15 229:15	62:3 113:8 114:21
quit 46:12 49:18	48:12 51:9 74:23	232:10,16,17,22	115:15 116:25
quite 72:14 97:20	79:21 89:23 99:14	243:11 248:14	122:16 123:6
169:18 176:8	101:14 107:15	250:20	125:3 128:23
188:2 189:20	140:8 185:16	reasonableness	140:2 144:3 162:6
190:23 231:12	reads 70:25	128:21 232:13	167:16 171:11,14
243:14	ready 80:25 203:22	reasonably 75:11	176:5 178:24
<b>quo</b> 142:20	real 44:2 68:25	78:24 235:14,16	179:22 185:2
quote 140:11,18	70:24 74:19	248:6	188:5 191:7
143:21,25 168:3,4	126:24 127:17	reasons 48:21 49:14	204:13 208:9,16
<b>quoted</b> 198:13	149:22,23 158:8	81:19 111:19,23	212:20 215:4
quoting 198:2	161:23 169:10,11	152:12 202:10	218:4,5,10,11
	172:21 224:8	<b>rebut</b> 167:16	219:3,4,9 220:11
R	231:6 235:5	recalibrate 220:8	221:7,8,12,25
<b>r</b> 1:21 2:4 4:2 7:3	reality 139:2 141:15	recall 70:5 82:8	222:15 226:6
19:20	160:22,24 161:11	87:18,22 88:11	230:21 232:20
raise 171:21	166:13 169:4	98:7 182:13	236:12 237:12
raised 47:15 112:16	209:10 213:9	226:12	244:3 246:25
135:24 188:15,20	222:21 235:6	receive 50:24 87:3	251:3
190:18 204:17	<b>really</b> 96:10 116:7	250:23,24	recorded 33:3 254:6
216:21 249:23	139:23 153:16	received 90:13	recording 33:2,5
range 93:24 96:21	156:17 159:10	173:15 178:19	records 68:2 190:22

32

recoup 83:4,20	relate 239:15	reorganize 148:14	26:12,21 31:4
red 141:17	related 34:13 205:15	repeat 35:13 114:9	73:25 126:16
redirect 61:22	245:22 250:4	rephrase 31:2 37:4	requiring 73:18
reduce 124:19 153:8	254:12	105:10	res 198:13
222:20	relates 10:11 12:20	replace 53:12,18	reserve 16:20 80:13
reduced 10:3 251:19	relating 7:20,22,23	54:6,13	114:15 128:25
reduction 234:24	119:10	replaced 53:5,7,8,22	reserved 84:9
252:17	relation 44:11	replacing 54:3	reserving 21:4
reductions 99:6	relations 61:3	reply 135:13 143:18	resolution 61:15
212:9	146:17 148:11	201:6	156:9 168:3
redundancy 235:22	202:20	report 12:13 65:22	resolve 211:11
refer 29:4 35:12	relationship 68:3	82:15,19 217:18	216:24
84:25 136:22	217:8	222:3	resolved 152:16
225:13	relationships 170:25	reported 52:22	resolving 227:8
reference 91:10	224:25	212:16	resources 48:7 65:8
163:7 185:15	relatively 58:11	reporting 196:23	200:14 240:15
216:10 226:12	129:20 148:7	221:22 252:22	247:11
239:4	209:21	reports 82:13	resources/executive
referred 22:2 61:24	releasing 210:24	189:24	243:4
61:25 91:3 238:2	relevant 79:14	represent 20:11	respect 15:20 16:21
referring 145:18	186:16	21:18 123:14	19:20 55:3 62:19
<b>Refesa</b> 184:14	reliance 76:20	representative 95:6	87:11 90:23
Refesem 21:24	relief 202:8,9 222:7	105:2,5 106:22	112:14,17 122:3
reflect 244:20	rely 16:18 44:24	107:11 133:15	130:16 132:5
reflecting 159:17	69:20,22 76:15	242:20	139:7 144:6
reflects 206:21	187:10 198:22	representativeness	183:18 187:17
refused 182:23	242:11	133:19	189:12 190:9,16
185:21	relying 68:10 79:6	represented 34:8,23	191:10 192:3
regard 106:8,15	79:10	105:6 189:7 212:4	194:14 196:2
107:19 108:2,9	remain 45:3	representing 111:2	211:7 239:14
109:2 111:5 190:4	remaining 18:22	143:15	243:23 246:6
242:8 243:24	remains 100:3	represents 115:16	247:21 248:9
regarding 10:9	remarks 204:14	118:24 120:13	249:12 251:16
43:12 44:16,18,18	remember 30:12	153:25	respectful 184:15
69:7 70:8 72:12	35:15 37:24 88:14	request 94:3,5 109:9	respects 211:22
185:18 189:24	107:16	111:5,19 131:6	respond 201:8
216:13,14,15	remiss 206:14	162:15 164:5,15	209:22
217:3,19 248:5	remove 205:12	204:5 250:3	responded 201:9
regardless 115:11	rendering 146:4	requested 87:23	respondents 219:14
regards 185:24	reorganizable	required 28:10	responding 125:8
regulated 178:15	223:10 225:6	42:23 183:6	response 73:20 74:3
rehabilitating	reorganization	186:18	185:11,12 225:21
180:11	127:2,18 150:25	requirement 25:13	250:16
Reichard 92:3	154:9 155:13	requirements 39:18	responses 61:25
Reichard's 99:2	161:16 169:20	89:17 187:13	responsibilities
rejection 181:18	174:18 222:12	requires 23:16	237:3
		•	

nognongihility 221.4	noviovving 12.11	rise 41:18	168:9
responsibility 221:4 222:18	reviewing 12:11 242:23	rises 101:19	sacrifices 111:17
	· -		
responsible 127:9	revised 253:3	risk 147:12 178:14	155:18,21 166:22
222:24	revisions 241:14	252:18	169:22
rest 82:3 89:2	revisit 217:12	risk/reward 148:15	sacrificing 134:17
216:18 222:8	revisiting 202:13	Roach 4:18 63:17	safe 65:14 66:8 67:5
Restated 2:6	reward 147:25,25	road 84:22 252:9	70:20 77:2 88:17
restatement 44:22	252:20	<b>ROBERT</b> 1:23 5:8	safeguard 194:4
188:20 197:6	rewarded 174:3	<b>Roche</b> 21:17	safeguards 187:16
restating 68:2	197:12	rod 130:13	194:5
restoration 128:14	rewards 57:12	role 65:17 246:5	safekeeping 194:10
215:15 230:8	re-cross 16:20 19:16	247:12	SAILER 5:10
restore 116:19	21:4	roll 26:3	salaried 212:6
119:15,22 120:11	re-direct 16:21	rolling 35:20	<b>salaries</b> 95:14 180:9
122:5 123:19	19:17 48:2 84:15	<b>room</b> 14:17 74:21	<b>salary</b> 11:3,11 51:8
211:18	87:8	120:19 156:2	52:5 56:9,14 57:6
restored 123:21	rhetoric 160:10	212:22 220:22	58:21 92:19 93:20
restrictions 248:2	<b>right</b> 16:20 20:16	236:18	95:14 116:23
restructure 166:19	21:4 35:21 38:11	<b>Rosen</b> 189:4	120:12,19,22
restructuring 86:23	39:10,15 40:17	<b>Rosenberg</b> 5:8 56:2	139:17 142:8,11
91:14 100:20	41:2 48:3 52:21	129:5 182:11	144:6 147:25
229:19 245:22	56:4,6 59:4,4 60:2	213:23 218:12	sale 33:3,4
restructurings	66:15 71:23 72:7	238:24 242:19	satisfied 133:9
169:13	75:3 84:20 87:5	Rosenberg's 149:2	satisfies 243:11
result 36:10 143:10	89:25 94:4 95:7	roughly 51:23 56:8	<b>satisfy</b> 127:12 249:6
156:4	96:13 97:4,12	56:10 118:25	save 112:22
resulted 177:14	105:3,14 111:11	240:3,4 246:18	saving 245:21
results 43:5 44:10	112:8 113:2,6,9	rule 25:22 76:13	<b>saying</b> 19:14 33:13
46:6 76:21 81:21	120:23 124:13	110:16 136:6	35:15 102:7
85:3	125:24 133:9	192:24 206:8	103:10 108:15
retaining 60:4	147:16 148:9	ruled 76:8 206:8	125:23 134:7
retention 8:20	158:7,13,14 164:3	rules 22:24 25:24	149:5 150:18
rethink 101:8	164:13 165:24	<b>ruling</b> 108:15 116:9	156:19 158:5,15
<b>retirement</b> 21:19,21	169:24 187:24	239:2 254:9	159:2 161:23
167:4 184:12,13	194:23 198:9	<b>rulings</b> 79:20	163:23 164:7
retroactively 120:5	201:15 204:10	run 13:3 25:4	168:22 181:10,11
203:12	211:4 213:20	132:15 171:21	191:2,3 204:21
revealing 79:23	219:22 220:24	201:17	212:14 219:14
review 38:18 172:18	224:6 225:16	<b>running</b> 176:11	227:25 229:11,16
172:21 190:17	229:2,7,9,23	rush 178:20 195:23	230:23,25 231:4,5
196:8 208:9,13	233:17 234:2	rushed 195:22	231:8 235:7,8
239:10 242:6	237:8,20 249:19		says 50:11 74:9
246:5	251:18	S	76:24 89:19
reviewed 98:25	<b>Riker</b> 13:20 18:12	<b>S</b> 4:2 7:3	146:22 150:13
121:16,17 172:16	92:8,14 94:17	sacrifice 100:25	156:23 190:9
216:20 218:19	95:11	101:15 111:8,10	204:23,24 209:11
			,

	1	Т	
230:6,7,13 232:14	107:15 132:20	177:19	101:24 102:21
scale 102:15	150:12 165:18	severance 8:6	143:12 153:12
scaled 102:14	176:21 192:14	SGNA 212:8	155:17 185:3
scenario 148:19	194:13,21 202:16	<b>share</b> 169:21	245:3
scheduled 213:10,12	202:24 217:16	<b>shared</b> 111:8,10,16	<b>signing</b> 58:16,19
238:22	220:8 247:19	139:6 222:18	59:5
schedules 178:23	250:21	<b>shares</b> 156:2 182:9	<b>sign-on</b> 54:9
scheduling 212:22	seeing 49:11 79:24	<b>sharing</b> 162:10,11	<b>similar</b> 183:14
scheme 241:6	seek 49:24 213:18	169:22	191:23
school 22:24	232:6 248:16	<b>Shaw</b> 14:9 18:17	similarly 67:17
scintilla 232:13,15	seeking 48:14	104:14,20 107:6	<b>SIMON</b> 5:18
<b>scope</b> 193:23 195:7	146:16 152:8	112:9 167:19	simple 240:14
Scotty 222:21	248:18	sheer 138:7	simply 41:8 49:25
screwing 170:20	seen 35:2 49:21 69:4	<b>sheet</b> 71:10	102:3 107:3
search 54:8	180:23 183:18	sheets 178:8	119:15 127:13
Seasoned 144:17	self 41:2 242:2	<b>short</b> 160:21 175:6	128:22 133:2
seated 7:4 114:7	self-dealing 241:18	185:4 188:2	145:8 168:10
SEC 67:14 71:24	semi-annual 238:5	shortfall 11:20	170:20 178:23
72:3 81:25 193:7	send 253:4	118:23 222:14	179:5 180:16
195:2 210:12	senior 9:22 54:5	shortly 66:21	181:2 193:3 194:7
248:3	182:7,7 224:25	short-hand 155:11	194:15 195:21
second 13:23 18:13	233:12	short-term 116:24	196:5 212:12
29:7 30:16,22	sense 65:12 144:16	116:25 160:24	single 129:11,12
71:22 86:20	165:12 168:15	161:3,11,21,24	sink 100:12
101:21 109:23	170:14 173:19,24	165:11	sir 24:9,24 26:18,18
122:10 132:13	174:8,10 181:3	shot 228:3	27:5 30:15,21
186:6 250:15	213:19 214:20	<b>show</b> 11:19 124:22	31:22 32:12 38:14
secondly 241:22	220:8 225:15	125:20 183:10	43:3 46:9,22 61:2
242:3 249:4	230:7 242:8 250:5	185:2 190:22	67:17 73:14 75:9
secretary 22:23 48:6	separate 176:6	196:25	82:25 84:5 91:6,12
217:19	211:22	showed 174:5	92:15 93:20,25
section 10:9 239:18	separated 36:22	<b>showing</b> 170:20	94:2,15 95:4,8
<b>Sections</b> 2:3,9 3:3	serious 130:12	<b>shown</b> 188:5	97:15 104:11,21
<b>secure</b> 99:25	144:18 158:17	<b>shows</b> 136:14 178:7	105:17 109:6,8,21
secured 178:17	192:8 196:3 249:3	185:2	109:25 110:9
235:25	<b>serve</b> 249:3	<b>shut</b> 103:20,24	sit 77:23 83:18
<b>securities</b> 13:9 22:3	<b>serves</b> 209:2	shuttered 167:2	91:23 92:23 93:16
23:21 24:4 26:15	session 217:12,15	side 42:17 82:2	95:19 98:11
26:25 46:20 63:20	<b>set</b> 7:10 59:8,10	145:13 184:4	104:11 110:2
77:14 186:4	75:21 139:18	220:21	115:8 123:4
188:16 199:23	179:8 181:13	sides 245:20	124:14 141:14
security 161:17	205:21 219:20	sign 23:17 165:6	142:5 197:15
<b>SEC's</b> 189:2	sets 212:24 236:14	254:14	sites 221:23
see 48:4 57:4 59:5	236:15	signal 154:21	<b>sitting</b> 90:11,14
68:25 77:25 84:24	settle 126:18 196:2	significance 77:13	124:24 135:8
89:21 95:4 104:21	seven 72:24 159:9	significant 60:4	152:4

-44-1 40.10		h	102.11
situated 48:18	someone's 249:24	spheres 212:2	183:11
situation 83:11,15	somewhat 195:4	spin 10:25	standpoint 111:7
86:15 99:23	soon 130:8	spins 148:12	start 58:6 102:19
146:21	sorry 30:4 40:12	<b>spoken</b> 135:4	116:12 161:6
situations 129:24	104:12 112:25	<b>Springer</b> 4:9 7:9	163:24 164:2
231:10	162:23 177:25	92:7,11,13 95:2	170:3 241:14
six 17:15 84:21 85:6	210:8 211:5,5	104:19 105:9,12	<b>started</b> 36:4,14
89:21 90:23 93:22	215:18,25 248:7	107:14 108:22	38:15 163:8,21
96:19 97:2 104:13	sort 88:20 91:6	110:8 111:3	164:9 245:10
121:14,15 123:22	127:3 155:11	168:16	starting 191:14
132:6,11 135:20	158:12 160:21	Springer's 169:19	startle 147:15
136:15 138:5	163:7 166:13	<b>spun</b> 92:16 95:12	state 21:19,21 100:4
142:24 151:13,17	167:23 168:17	Square 4:13	104:4 105:23
152:3,22 159:9	175:23 176:5	stack 54:2	stated 17:6 57:14
165:7 175:12	178:10 204:20	stage 169:23 172:6	statement 23:18
200:22 201:17	sought 53:25 118:12	181:11	31:16 70:6 73:22
202:14,18 204:8	241:13	stages 175:10 251:7	74:16,17,25 75:22
204:18 205:8,11	sound 39:10 95:5	stake 97:2 184:17,23	125:9 213:2
215:3 216:16	153:25 254:6	187:13 231:22,22	statements 32:21
219:25 220:3,6	sounds 19:6 230:6	stakeholders 143:14	131:3 190:7
223:7,25 227:18	source 2:6 224:2	143:15	196:24 197:8
233:8,25 243:10	<b>Southern</b> 1:3 185:10	stand 19:24 70:7	<b>States</b> 1:2,17 6:16
244:5 246:17	187:4	147:4 215:8	13:10 15:9 23:20
sixth 14:25	speak 19:25 20:2	235:20 236:20	122:4 127:8
size 239:24	27:12 29:15 64:7	standard 25:11 28:3	201:25 202:2
<b>Skadden</b> 4:3,11 7:7	102:8 153:6	31:11,23 32:5	212:7 223:6
slam-dunk 244:18	speakers 155:7	33:14 34:17 36:19	stating 62:15 202:8
<b>Slate</b> 4:3,11 7:8	156:24	37:3,13,23 38:2,3	status 142:20 146:14
slightly 57:25	speaks 28:13	38:7,19 40:6,7,9	217:9
132:10	special 44:15 186:10	42:2,7,13 74:23,24	statute 118:9
small 129:21 238:10	206:4	75:4,19,20,25	stay 36:16 118:4,5
smart 250:13,15	specially 7:10	76:17 78:3,18 79:3	118:12 125:8
smarter 158:21	specific 28:25	79:5 80:5,21 115:2	225:18
snuck 203:15	125:10	186:23 189:22,25	stay-for 8:20
socially 228:23	specifically 27:4,6	190:6 191:14,21	Steel 4:19 14:6
<b>Sole</b> 2:6	27:11 30:14 37:21	191:21,23,24	18:18 20:24 21:2
solely 121:11	74:9 122:25	192:12,15,24,25	55:8,10 62:25
solid 50:23	specified 246:5	193:5,6 196:8,10	104:14 105:2,14
<b>solution</b> 145:4 156:3	spectrum 154:18	196:10 199:5,7,18	104:14 103:2,14
156:15	speculation 110:6	201:4 225:14	107:17,25 108:7
solve 125:17 126:9	169:8	239:9 241:15	107.17,25 108.7
solved 131:25	speculative 144:13	standards 34:11	110:10 153:13,14
somebody 43:22	144:15	41:6,24 74:20 77:7	166:11 167:19
78:21 133:2 194:6		190:2 198:21	226:17
207:10 222:15	spell 198:16		
230:9	<b>spelled</b> 74:15 <b>spent</b> 242:22	207:15,17 219:20	<b>step</b> 94:17 112:9 187:23 193:9
430.7	Spent 242.22	standing 150:18	107.23 193.9

230:17	39:3 107:7 113:18	28:20 40:15 153:5	<b>surface</b> 78:17 81:9
steps 121:22	191:7 254:6	155:5	survival 223:16
<b>Steve</b> 18:14 87:23	substance 9:12	summarized 49:22	survives 102:23
94:20	123:2 128:4	summary 10:8	sustain 80:10
stick 245:3	130:24 131:22	12:10 67:4 230:19	sustainable 149:19
stipulations 15:19	136:9,14 218:8	<b>summer</b> 119:17	151:25
<b>stirred</b> 180:20	219:8 234:12	234:6	Sustained 105:11
stock 57:22 153:15	251:6	sums 98:13	110:7
177:23 178:2,5,6	substantial 99:5	<b>Suozzi</b> 6:2 166:11	swallow 150:11
178:10	142:25 143:6	superiors 246:8	sworn 20:3 54:23
stocks 50:23	145:6 176:16	supplemental 13:22	62:12 92:10 94:25
stop 223:11	177:6 179:24	17:19,23 18:11	104:18
<b>stopped</b> 37:16	182:25 245:25	<b>supplier</b> 2:6 182:15	system 21:19,21
storm 81:2 165:25	248:19 249:18	suppliers 93:2	157:8 184:12,14
strategic 128:11	252:17	118:14 182:17	208:21
169:2,9	substantially 155:13	224:15	
<b>strategy</b> 9:24 203:21	240:6	<b>supply</b> 182:22 183:2	T
221:21	substitute 220:13	183:5,9,16 223:12	t 202:19
straw 149:19	<b>success</b> 103:16	223:13,18,23	table 126:19 138:21
<b>Street</b> 5:20 6:17	110:25	224:4,5,14 225:5	149:6 161:4
strike 127:20	successful 61:15	<b>support</b> 2:14 14:4	tainted 241:18,25
stronger 162:9	111:14 150:23	94:2,5,9,10 96:11	take 19:24 40:6
structural 168:8	161:15 165:21	96:15,23 109:9,16	42:12 43:4,24
<b>structure</b> 10:23,23	successfully 148:14	110:2,11 111:19	48:13 59:6 65:6
10:24 11:8 48:12	sued 40:18,19 72:2,2	116:10 128:24	70:11 73:9 76:17
57:10 93:18	sufficient 242:16	129:16 156:16	78:20 99:3 100:7
116:20 119:2,3	<b>suggest</b> 99:14 176:6	235:20	100:10,16 108:16
176:13 220:17	178:25 181:22	supporting 110:16	110:22 113:25
235:3	197:2 219:4	235:23	119:13 125:23
structured 233:18	222:11 231:9,11	supports 144:3	126:2 127:22
233:24	suggested 101:17	243:16	128:5,17 138:23
structures 57:6,18	116:15 137:25	<b>suppose</b> 67:23 144:7	146:23 159:24
struggled 168:18	147:20 169:18	161:7 172:4	168:5 182:11
<b>stuff</b> 101:7 167:18	181:16 217:11	supposed 162:4	184:23 194:6
stumbling 146:3	suggesting 146:8	201:6	199:6 208:15
221:15	148:6 150:20	sure 29:8 37:5 56:19	214:7 221:22
<b>subject</b> 17:19 19:16	232:9	60:22 67:6 68:5	223:10 230:17
21:3 67:13,19	suggestion 101:23	70:6 97:20 101:21	233:15 252:17
88:17 219:2	137:14 195:9	102:10 108:13	taken 22:10 69:4,6
247:25	206:23 225:24	112:5 132:14	69:24 72:25 73:11
submission 40:17	suggests 140:5	163:15 193:20	97:11,18 98:4,15
71:24	148:15 205:23	198:3 210:10,15	128:15 204:4
<b>submit</b> 190:14	<b>suit</b> 24:6	221:5 227:21	205:2,7 211:19
191:12	Suite 6:3	232:3 235:17	233:11
submitted 13:21	sum 56:14 130:24	244:21	takes 20:23 62:25
22:13 30:8 31:23	summarize 22:16	surely 130:13	70:8 224:25 225:2

	Т	T	
249:20	target's 159:18	225:12 228:11	80:3 89:9,13 98:6
talent 225:2	Teacher's 21:18	Texas 4:21 21:18	100:24 102:4,11
talk 11:21 12:10	184:12	63:18 187:5	102:24 106:25
25:11 30:14 70:19	team 86:2 121:22	text 136:7	107:9 109:13
71:8 83:14 87:15	teams 85:19	<b>Thank</b> 17:8 20:21	110:21,23 114:11
108:18 119:19	teed 124:17	26:9 47:19 54:15	114:19 116:5,11
120:12 131:19	tell 27:16 31:15 32:8	54:16 60:19 61:19	122:7,8,9 123:7
209:15 214:4	64:11 68:8 75:24	84:5,6 94:15 104:9	128:20 133:5,5
215:21 233:10	78:15 91:8 114:11	114:4 129:3 135:9	134:7 137:24
talked 37:23 42:2,19	115:18	154:23 182:2	139:12 142:9
44:25 93:19 190:2	telling 228:7 229:14	184:9 201:13,21	143:12 144:2
196:17 216:23,25	temperature 122:2	204:9 237:18	146:11 147:14
217:2	ten 160:5 229:20	<b>Thanks</b> 104:5	150:6 151:15
talking 32:10 50:21	tends 134:21	<b>that'd</b> 109:7	152:10,13 153:8
52:3 138:3 150:7	tens 141:18	thematically 250:4	153:11 154:4,10
158:20 161:19	term 57:7 69:5	theme 251:5	154:12,16,20
164:21 169:7	160:22,23 175:7,8	theoretically 203:21	155:3,19 156:17
170:3,6 199:5,25	214:24	they'd 195:24	158:4,21 159:4
200:4,9 204:14	terminated 37:16	thing 120:23 146:7	160:9,16,19 161:7
225:14 227:24	46:12	149:10 167:10	161:13,18 162:5
talks 89:24	terms 66:14 69:23	168:8,13,13	163:13 164:8,14
target 9:18 40:22	70:14 79:8 81:18	171:24 172:2	164:19 166:5,7,12
43:22 51:22 56:7	88:20 90:16 98:10	187:14 188:3	167:5,11,12
56:14 57:11,12	132:9 134:15	190:10 196:12	168:12,14 170:5
59:8,17 72:3 86:16	139:8 157:7,10	199:17 203:5	174:17 175:5,20
90:22 135:21	160:19 164:11	212:14 213:16	178:8 179:8,13,18
140:10,12 166:19	166:23 167:2	220:18 224:13	179:23 180:3,12
174:15 204:25	216:17 232:2	244:21	181:8 184:25
226:14,18,20	242:25 249:21	<b>things</b> 33:18 35:18	187:23 188:5,9
240:6 244:25	terrible 148:10	41:3 42:19 53:22	189:3,19 190:12
245:8,12 251:21	test 75:14 122:13	71:16 78:12	190:21 191:22
252:20	241:25 242:14	113:13 128:17,19	192:6 193:17
targets 43:19 59:14	247:5,9	137:21 149:10,23	194:11,20 195:8
85:10 86:21	<b>testified</b> 35:9,10	159:16 165:23	195:13,15,16,22
122:19 137:11,24	75:18 109:14	172:10 185:6	195:24 197:9,11
139:18,20 140:24	144:18 215:6	188:2 195:15	198:7 200:6 201:5
141:9 157:24	<b>testify</b> 96:18 106:2	200:12 210:3	201:18 202:10
158:13 172:20	107:2	214:25 221:13	203:17,23 204:2
173:11 175:12	testimony 10:17	222:10 232:3,23	206:20,21 208:9
177:9 191:2 194:8	11:19 16:12 17:10	235:2	208:17,23 209:14
196:18,21 197:9	33:25 35:2,3,11	think 19:13 25:21	210:14,17 218:5
202:25 218:9,11	55:2 68:6 74:22	25:24 26:2,4 34:15	222:19 226:11,19
218:16,19 220:17	99:13 117:18	35:15 42:9 60:7	226:19 228:6
226:22 240:2	121:19 167:16	69:4 71:13 75:23	229:9 235:13,15
243:8 244:13,14	183:17 192:5,23	76:19 77:7,22 78:8	235:21 236:2,11
244:16 252:4	196:20 216:4	79:9,12,18,20,25	236:21 237:21
	l .	I	

	Ι		Γ
240:13 245:5	197:14 198:3,8	239:13 248:24	<b>trigger</b> 32:22 41:4
249:21 250:11	201:17 206:10	250:19 253:7	65:19 71:16 90:18
251:5,23	214:3,16 227:16	today's 171:11,14	198:12 207:3
thinking 158:7	228:2 236:11	179:21	triggering 71:10
210:7 220:19	238:15 239:4	told 49:18 71:25	72:7,24 80:22
thinks 111:16 214:5	241:13 242:22	97:25 131:8	troubles 103:13
third 5:5 11:22 14:5	243:15 248:17,18	170:18	troublesome 38:14
18:16 117:12	250:25 253:10	<b>Tom</b> 5:16 20:10	<b>Troy</b> 213:11
120:13 186:12	timely 195:14	60:23 135:10	true 20:18 31:9
207:17	times 4:13 74:10	tone 163:7,13 179:8	35:25 36:13 44:8
thirds 12:6	141:25	tools 148:3	44:14 45:25 64:25
thought 77:6 135:2	timetable 181:20	top 51:10,11 56:11	91:12,17 94:4,8
thousand 177:11	timing 33:5 101:17	120:16	95:10 97:15,19
thousands 141:18	103:6,14,16	topic 82:3 217:12	106:5,10,11,12
145:23 177:15	130:15 133:11,23	total 103:19	107:24 108:5,7,24
thread 49:23	133:25 148:25	totally 95:23	109:6,7,9,12,13,15
three 13:6 14:10	149:2 161:2 166:7	touch 104:24	109:19 111:4
17:16,17 57:5,9	195:16 200:20	<b>Touche</b> 201:13	131:2 137:21
120:6 127:25	237:7	touched 179:2	138:6 147:9,10
131:19 210:5	title 105:19	tough 157:13	167:24 168:10,19
211:9	today 8:24 10:3 11:9	tracks 211:22	169:8 179:6 180:4
threshold 42:2	11:21,25 12:11,17	traded 108:5	192:8,9 198:8
Thursday 213:13	12:24 13:14,18	trading 23:19	206:11,14 254:4
tie 213:21	14:12,17 19:8 23:7	Transcribed 3:21	truly 148:22
tied 80:2 246:2	24:10 62:4 67:2	transcription 254:5	<b>trust</b> 6:9 14:20 21:6
250:2	92:24 93:8,16,23	254:7	55:14 63:5 182:5,9
ties 72:11	95:4,19 96:6,18	transformation 94:7	194:19
till 84:18	97:3 98:12 99:13	109:11 120:24	<b>trustee</b> 6:16 13:10
time 9:9 15:22 18:23	99:15 104:21	126:8 155:9	15:9 55:24 133:16
21:3,8 26:19 31:10	105:2 106:3,6,14	164:13 166:15	182:6 201:25
34:3 39:2 46:17	106:16 107:17,20	168:4,7 169:12	202:2,7 204:5
55:11,17 58:3 63:3	108:8,10,25 109:4	210:2 211:8,24	249:9
64:18 66:18 73:20	110:2,12 111:21	212:6 220:24	<b>truth</b> 232:13
79:6 88:13 99:21	115:18 118:14	224:9	<b>try</b> 44:7 104:23
100:8,9 101:2,9	124:15 125:25	transgress 44:6	108:23 118:16
119:17 135:18	129:10,11,19	translate 157:6	120:23 125:16
139:11 141:21	135:4 141:14	transparent 208:20	126:12 127:11
142:20 147:18	142:6 149:3	transpired 227:17	155:5 157:6
148:10 150:11	156:13,19 157:23	trap 102:14	160:15 169:6
154:6 156:20	160:25 161:18	tremendous 225:2	209:21 221:4,18
159:8 161:4,12	162:7 164:21	227:2	<b>trying</b> 25:4,6 35:17
163:6 164:25	165:5 176:9 179:3	<b>trial</b> 62:4,4 76:9	35:19 88:20 103:4
170:3 177:2	184:17 185:2	146:18	119:22 121:24
180:16,24 181:7	187:7 191:8	trials 195:2	125:9 126:24
181:23 184:3	212:22 221:8	<b>tried</b> 71:12 115:13	127:20,20,24
194:11 195:25	222:8 236:19	225:10	128:6,16 136:8

	1	Г	
138:10 156:8	204:25 205:7	160:10,11 162:13	144:15 145:21
157:14 169:25	218:18	165:17 167:6	149:4,12,17
211:20 214:20	<b>Uh-huh</b> 39:16 45:23	175:7 178:5 204:2	150:11 152:13
216:24 223:22	46:24	211:21,23 224:19	153:10 155:22
232:2 235:12	ultimate 65:2	224:21 228:21	156:14,18 157:4
tunnel 172:9	193:21 241:20	231:3 236:23	158:25 161:23
turn 27:10 30:15	242:9	250:15	165:10 169:11
74:18 88:3 149:20	ultimately 23:6	understandable	170:23 173:7,18
172:10 185:6	126:6 151:24	227:2	174:19 175:25
188:17	158:23 160:12	understanding	180:21,22,23
turns 205:10	162:3,5 186:21	62:14,20 66:12	183:18 203:11
two 11:15 12:6 13:2	218:23 235:2	96:25 118:20	212:5,17 213:7
55:5 68:4 71:22	237:9,9 247:6	196:22	214:13 217:5,10
72:21 73:25 79:24	249:12 250:9	understatement	221:18 225:23
81:24 87:14 92:2	unable 148:13	130:12	226:8 231:12
92:19 93:17 95:20	183:24	undertaking 70:2	232:10 240:13
112:15 113:13,15	unacceptable	undoubted 227:9	245:23 250:11
135:14,25 137:21	228:23 233:5	unfair 251:16	251:9
146:25 160:16,17	unanimous 217:20	unfairly 132:25	union's 124:13
160:20 185:11,14	unavailable 11:17	unfairness 202:22	156:5 159:17
197:19,23,24	uncertainty 164:24	251:13	161:22
199:4 205:9 207:6	166:2 227:3,7	unfortunate 163:9	unitary 11:7,16
223:10 228:5	uncompetitive	163:13	United 1:2,17 4:19
248:22 252:21	125:19 126:11	unhappy 228:25	6:16 13:10 14:5
type 162:6 193:11	141:24 176:12	unhealthy 104:4	15:8 18:17 20:24
214:3	unconscionably	uninterrupted 224:6	23:20 55:8 62:25
types 32:4 59:16	178:22	union 2:20,21 5:11	95:7 97:8 104:7
173:6 236:9	uncontrovertable	20:5 85:16,19	105:2,14 106:6,13
244:15	225:11	87:25 91:11 94:5	108:24 122:4
typical 59:21,24	uncontroverted	105:16,20 106:3	127:7 201:25
typically 54:9,10	122:18 123:6	109:8 111:2	202:2 212:7 214:8
	125:2 128:24	144:24 157:4,12	223:5 226:17
U	221:9 222:14	167:17 168:17	unlawful 31:13
<b>u</b> 205:2	223:2 226:5	169:5 171:7	unpopular 236:25
UAW 5:19 13:25	237:11	174:20 205:16	unpronounceable
16:14 18:15 20:19	<b>underpaid</b> 179:4,21	215:22 219:13	158:12
55:6 62:21 94:22	underpinnings	221:11 222:17	unravels 227:6
97:25 100:17	242:15	225:20 226:13	unreasonable
116:14 133:21	underscored 128:22	227:3 232:12	145:12 219:6
155:3 156:16	understand 56:20	236:5 248:20	unreasonably
157:4 226:16,24	65:23 68:18 72:14	249:14	132:25
UAW's 155:4	73:14 102:17,18	unionized 61:8	unrebutted 175:24
<b>Ub</b> 140:10	103:6,14,25	251:10	unresolvable 152:17
UCC 216:15,21,25	108:14,19 123:12	unions 13:2,6 52:17	unsecured 5:4 9:3
<b>ug</b> 91:3,3,5,9,10,17	140:16,24 149:14	86:24 91:15	15:7 71:18 133:22
159:21 160:4	150:4 158:25	123:14 143:13,20	unsuccessful 148:12

unsure 66:6	view 41:7 60:3 86:15	176:13 178:24	252:14
unusual 140:14	97:7,7,16 98:12	184:17 204:12	ways 78:11 166:20
<b>update</b> 217:13	115:17 123:11,13	205:19 209:22	245:18 246:24
upfront 78:16	128:12 133:25	210:8,9,15 211:12	weaker 162:9
upper 52:8	136:12 139:6	212:12 222:10	web 221:23
ups 139:22 173:5	141:7 142:16	226:21 230:10	<b>Webber</b> 19:20,24
<b>upset</b> 145:14	151:21 156:2	233:10 244:19	20:2 22:5,9 28:18
urge 154:22	182:10 190:17	246:18	29:14,25 35:10
urged 239:23	209:4	<b>wanted</b> 87:19	48:5 54:17 56:6
USC 7:12	<b>viewed</b> 163:9	118:15 208:19	66:5 74:21 75:4,17
use 10:9 40:6 105:5	vine 129:14	<b>wanting</b> 211:17	80:17 85:9 185:19
128:9 154:16	violate 32:5	wants 213:21 215:2	187:5 191:19
168:24 244:19	violating 192:15	221:18	193:18
Usually 90:9	<b>violation</b> 23:20 33:6	ward 147:13	<b>Webber's</b> 17:22
USW 105:25 226:15	violations 33:9	warranted 146:19	20:13 68:6
<b>U.S</b> 133:16 189:5	virtually 122:17	148:21	<b>Weber</b> 16:16
202:6	virtue 55:2 62:14,17	wasn't 76:9 87:15	<b>wedge</b> 10:19
U.S.C 2:3	visceral 249:15	130:25 135:24	Wednesday 189:3
U.S.W 105:22	vis-a-vis 51:25	153:14 179:25	weed 79:7,11
	voluntarily 10:3	192:22 206:25	week 22:11 131:15
V	vote 146:6 180:18	226:20	132:22 134:14
<b>value</b> 139:14 178:9		WATKINS 5:2	135:8 156:24
202:3 224:8	W	<b>Watson</b> 54:18	161:7 184:7
238:10	<b>W</b> 5:20	wave 113:22	213:10 234:21
variation 251:5	Wacker 4:5	way 30:7 35:25 37:6	weeks 133:21
variety 17:12	wage 109:18 177:6	40:8 41:11 44:24	weigh 123:3,5
various 52:20 135:6	180:14 248:19	45:21 53:10 57:15	weighed 133:22
168:17 188:8	wages 95:13 100:5	57:15 77:4 95:17	232:2
207:22 242:21	146:24	97:17 98:3,14	weighing 146:13
243:13 246:14,23	wait 77:25 84:18	109:25 115:21	232:23
vary 54:4	124:11	117:12,13 120:11	WEISS 5:18
vast 132:20 179:14	<b>waiting</b> 139:19	122:18 135:5	well-known 154:15
vendors 182:22	184:5 202:13	146:9 149:20	went 214:8,15
183:9,15	wake 225:7	150:5 152:5	West 4:5
versa 210:21	walk 103:21	160:15 161:6	we'll 18:6,22 19:4
verses 183:23,25	wall 81:24 211:3	163:20,21 164:11	47:16 69:2 213:22
version 22:21 30:10	want 23:2 28:23	165:19,21 166:8	227:22,23
36:7 89:14	29:2 43:8 44:2,6	167:9 168:13,19	we're 10:7 12:10
versions 89:10	56:19 68:24 70:5,7	172:3 173:25	20:5 23:6 32:10
197:24	70:19 71:8 83:14	179:7 196:19	49:11 76:21 77:19
versus 100:12 137:6	84:16,19 100:19	204:4 205:20	77:21 122:11
vetted 175:14	106:23 113:16	207:16 212:3	126:12 131:14
226:13,18 241:23	132:10 134:5	214:5 218:10	136:8 138:8
vibrant 212:18	146:23,24,25	222:13 230:2	140:20 141:7
vice 210:20	149:15 154:15	233:18,23 234:23	146:17 148:6
Vienna 21:25	166:4 175:13	241:17 250:17	150:14,15 161:19
		211.1/230.1/	150.11,15 101.17

	Γ		
163:24 164:2	56:12 57:2,24 58:7	101:2,24 103:7,9	<b>Wyatt</b> 54:19 59:6,7
168:22 169:4,7,23	58:14,18 59:2,11	103:22 104:8,15	
170:7 172:8,13	59:22,25 60:7 62:6	105:3,14 106:6,13	<u>X</u>
181:3,9,10,10	62:12,17 84:6,23	107:4,17,25 108:8	<b>x</b> 1:5,12
193:13 194:16	85:7,12,17,22 86:9	108:24 109:17,20	Y
196:5 200:8,12	86:17,25 92:2,10	110:10 155:16	
201:6 204:21	94:19,25 99:11,20	158:7 159:25	yeah 34:15 53:13
207:21 210:6	100:23 102:8,11	160:3,8 164:23	75:23 109:7
230:7 234:6,17	103:15 104:9,13	166:12 167:7,19	138:22 145:19
we've 15:12 17:6	104:18 105:13	168:5 179:5 181:4	172:12 174:22
18:5 20:13 74:9	106:22,23 108:19	226:17 249:14,15	193:19 198:23
80:6 129:23 153:4	110:19 116:14	252:2	200:5 228:4
154:2,4 157:22	155:4 254:14	worker's 106:24	year 9:17 10:5 11:9
166:12 180:23	witnesses 17:16	157:15 158:6	50:13 53:4 54:10
183:17 187:15	18:19 91:25	163:11,12 172:7	66:9 88:13 119:25
191:7 196:6	104:14 136:19	workforce 157:3	120:3 137:3
206:16,18 217:25	168:17 169:17	179:12 212:6	142:24 143:7
223:14 225:10	<b>WM</b> 4:8	223:3,4	176:22 177:11
229:15 233:5	won 229:3	working 58:6	219:17,25 222:9
234:11	wondering 113:12	142:12 157:16	238:7,8,24 244:5
whatsoever 106:14	word 60:11 76:17	163:12 224:21,24	years 12:8 58:10
<b>whereof</b> 254:14	105:5 154:16	works 95:24 141:23	86:18 115:5 117:3
whistleblower 82:13	163:17 246:19	218:16	117:22,23 119:4
82:15,19	words 28:6,24 65:24	world 10:25 165:16	136:17 137:2
Whitehall 6:17	155:18 174:23	167:22 169:10,11	139:10,11 142:23
who've 233:11	work 8:13 9:11 12:4	224:17	143:12 144:12
wide 10:25 189:14	12:4 46:9 60:14	worlds 229:13	146:25 173:22
willfulness 78:20	61:8 100:12	worried 101:5	219:16,20 220:22
<b>willing</b> 249:24	107:21 123:19	164:23	yellow 10:20
Wilmer 46:3 188:25	125:18 126:19	worse 102:21 190:10	yesterday 97:23
Wilmington 6:9	139:23 141:12,23	190:12 252:6	98:19 V
14:20 21:6 55:14	143:3,23 157:15	worst 229:13	York 1:3,19,19 4:14
63:5 182:5,9	157:24 183:10	wouldn't 74:6 85:5	4:14 5:6,6,14,14
<b>Wilson</b> 47:12,13,19	221:2 223:6,8,24	116:2 123:16	5:21,21 6:4,4,12
<b>wiped</b> 59:19	235:4 241:8 246:4	174:6 195:3	6:12,18,18 87:24
wish 195:19	251:10	198:10,13 200:21	88:16 105:23
withdraw 35:22	<b>worked</b> 120:2	wrest 169:25	213:13
<b>withdrawn</b> 12:19,23	235:12,14,16	wrestle 169:2	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
16:11 112:18,23	worker 159:4	wrestled 134:8	zero 134:22 137:2
113:4	252:16	wrong 66:16 100:16	
withdrew 235:25	workers 4:19 5:12	154:21 179:8	\$
witness 20:3 47:8	14:6 18:18 20:24	194:12	<b>\$500,00</b> 234:22
48:8,20,24 49:20	21:2 55:9,10 57:23	wrongdoers 196:14	
50:7,16,20 51:20	58:4 62:25 95:7	wrongdoing 190:10	0
52:2,6,11,25 53:6	97:8,11,14,19 98:5	191:4 197:5	<b>01</b> 170:12
53:13,19 54:4,23	98:16 100:5,22	wrongful 186:8	<b>02</b> 170:13
L	L	L	<u> </u>

		T	
<b>03</b> 170:12	131:1 132:1 133:1	177:11 238:7	<b>1288</b> 15:12
<b>04</b> 170:12	134:1 135:1 136:1	<b>1st</b> 10:4 120:18,20	<b>1350</b> 6:3
<b>05</b> 66:20	137:1 138:1 139:1	120:22 216:9	<b>14</b> 140:7
<b>05-44481-rdd</b> 1:4	140:1 141:1 142:1	<b>10</b> 1:14 2:15 12:17	<b>15</b> 19:15,22 29:24
2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1	143:1 144:1 145:1	114:2 120:17	216:5
7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	146:1 147:1 148:1	138:2 183:4	<b>15th</b> 201:5
11:1 12:1 13:1	149:1 150:1 151:1	224:23 249:22	<b>16</b> 19:22 29:24
14:1 15:1 16:1	152:1 153:1 154:1	<b>10th</b> 216:23	<b>17</b> 54:22 192:4
17:1 18:1 19:1	155:1 156:1 157:1	<b>100</b> 124:9	<b>17th</b> 118:10 163:23
20:1 21:1 22:1	158:1 159:1 160:1	<b>100,000</b> 177:17	165:2 212:24
23:1 24:1 25:1	161:1 162:1 163:1	<b>10004</b> 6:18	213:5
26:1 27:1 28:1	164:1 165:1 166:1	<b>10016</b> 5:14	<b>18</b> 2:21 62:11
29:1 30:1 31:1	167:1 168:1 169:1	<b>10018</b> 6:4	<b>185,000</b> 121:13
32:1 33:1 34:1	170:1 171:1 172:1	<b>10022</b> 6:12	123:18 223:4
35:1 36:1 37:1	173:1 174:1 175:1	<b>10023</b> 5:6	<b>19</b> 92:6 254:16
38:1 39:1 40:1	176:1 177:1 178:1	<b>10036</b> 4:14 5:21	<b>19th</b> 88:14
41:1 42:1 43:1	179:1 180:1 181:1	<b>101</b> 2:21	<b>1999</b> 116:21
44:1 45:1 46:1	182:1 183:1 184:1	<b>105</b> 2:9 3:3 7:12	
47:1 48:1 49:1	185:1 186:1 187:1	<b>106</b> 147:23	2
50:1 51:1 52:1	188:1 189:1 190:1	<b>11</b> 2:3 7:11 115:8	<b>2</b> 16:5 216:11
53:1 54:1 55:1	191:1 192:1 193:1	118:8,14 119:11	<b>2:10</b> 1:15
56:1 57:1 58:1	194:1 195:1 196:1	138:3 140:15	<b>20</b> 51:23 56:8 92:6
59:1 60:1 61:1	197:1 198:1 199:1	175:9 214:3 216:7	120:16,21 135:21
62:1 63:1 64:1	200:1 201:1 202:1	216:7 217:17	138:9,11,19 147:6
65:1 66:1 67:1	203:1 204:1 205:1	224:23 240:10,22	164:6 165:8
68:1 69:1 70:1	206:1 207:1 208:1	245:6	173:21 174:14
71:1 72:1 73:1	209:1 210:1 211:1	<b>110</b> 147:22	181:23 240:3
74:1 75:1 76:1	212:1 213:1 214:1	<b>1113</b> 125:20 126:14	<b>200</b> 171:22
77:1 78:1 79:1	215:1 216:1 217:1	126:14 127:12	<b>2001</b> 50:12 86:12
80:1 81:1 82:1	218:1 219:1 220:1	131:15 134:14	<b>2002</b> 64:14 137:4
83:1 84:1 85:1	221:1 222:1 223:1	135:9 141:16	139:10
86:1 87:1 88:1	224:1 225:1 226:1	142:5 156:22	<b>2003</b> 198:3
89:1 90:1 91:1	227:1 228:1 229:1	162:20 181:12	<b>2004</b> 50:12 86:13
92:1 93:1 94:1	230:1 231:1 232:1	184:7 210:2	176:18,23
95:1 96:1 97:1	233:1 234:1 235:1	211:20	<b>2005</b> 7:15,18 8:22
98:1 99:1 100:1	236:1 237:1 238:1	<b>1133</b> 14:22	9:6,8 176:22
101:1 102:1 103:1	239:1 240:1 241:1	<b>1134</b> 14:7	177:12 234:10
104:1 105:1 106:1	242:1 243:1 244:1	<b>1135</b> 14:2	<b>2006</b> 1:14 7:19,22
107:1 108:1 109:1	245:1 246:1 247:1	<b>1141</b> 15:5	8:3 39:6 140:11
110:1 111:1 112:1	248:1 249:1 250:1	<b>1156</b> 15:14	254:16
113:1 114:1 115:1	251:1 252:1 253:1	<b>1157</b> 12:22	<b>2009</b> 224:22
116:1 117:1 118:1	254:1	<b>1159</b> 15:15	<b>205</b> 4:20
119:1 120:1 121:1		<b>1161</b> 14:24	<b>2054</b> 14:9
122:1 123:1 124:1	1	<b>1164</b> 13:16,22	<b>2099</b> 15:8
125:1 126:1 127:1	<b>1</b> 9:16 10:16 16:2	<b>12</b> 224:23	<b>21</b> 9:19 94:24 97:3,9
128:1 129:1 130:1	17:2 62:3 105:22	<b>120</b> 11:18	<b>21st</b> 6:17

r	1	T	т
<b>213</b> 7:16	<b>4:15</b> 114:3	<b>885</b> 5:5	
<b>22</b> 9:21 104:17	<b>40</b> 51:11 137:18		
<b>22nd</b> 19:15	138:23 139:3	9	
<b>2223</b> 13:23	<b>42nd</b> 5:20	<b>90</b> 174:14	
<b>2227</b> 12:25	<b>46,000</b> 8:12 121:7	<b>9019</b> 2:4	
<b>23</b> 9:22	211:25 223:3	<b>91</b> 185:13	
<b>236BR7</b> 153:13	<b>460</b> 120:25	<b>92</b> 185:13	
<b>24</b> 177:16	<b>466</b> 121:10 123:18		
<b>25</b> 16:5 17:3 51:10	222:23 233:3		
51:19 56:11			
177:10	5		
<b>25th</b> 125:6	<b>5</b> 29:9,19 30:16		
<b>26</b> 16:7 112:17,22,25	70:25 71:11 89:12		
113:3	89:16 246:25		
<b>27</b> 16:10 112:17	<b>5th</b> 39:6,12		
113:2,3 115:5	<b>5.7</b> 9:20		
<b>27th</b> 7:19	<b>50</b> 171:23 233:16		
<b>275</b> 5:13	234:24		
<b>28</b> 16:10 97:24 113:4			
216:5	<b>500,000</b> 177:18		
<b>29</b> 16:10 112:23	<b>501</b> 6:3		
113:4	<b>599</b> 6:11		
<b>29th</b> 182:14	6		
3	<b>6</b> 198:2		
	6th 39:11 201:7		
<b>3</b> 92:20	< =0.0 = 0.40		
<b>30</b> 17:3 140:10 164:6	<b>60</b> 201:8		
165:8 201:8 252:7	<b>60606</b> 4:6		
<b>30th</b> 7:22 8:3 9:16 238:8	<b>63</b> 2:15		
31 16:12	<b>65</b> 179:16		
<b>32</b> 55:4 140:7 204:16			
204:23,24	7		
<b>33</b> 6:17 16:12 62:18	<b>70</b> 137:16		
<b>330</b> 5:20	<b>7001</b> 136:6		
<b>333</b> 4:5	<b>75</b> 52:10 56:17		
<b>34,000</b> 212:3	<b>75638</b> 4:21		
<b>363</b> 2:9 3:3 7:12			
10:9	8		
<b>363(b)</b> 2:3 239:18	8th 8:3 11:9 118:18		
365(a) 2:4	126:2 135:19		
<b>37</b> 15:25 17:3	<b>80</b> 140:11 159:21		
<b>38</b> 51:24 147:7 240:4	160:3 174:13		
	205:5,17,21		
4	218:17		
<b>4</b> 92:21 143:19	<b>832</b> 2:21		